

EXHIBIT 62

C9 - 2020-02-11 Tanya Hughes UHG Deposition Transcript



		1	$\frac{EXHIBITS}{O}$
	1		MARKED:
		2	Exhibit 1 20(h)(6) Notice
	1 2 AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION	3	Exhibit 1 - 30(b)(6) Notice
	3	4	- Amore 2 - House for Fully a Hughestining
	4	5	Exhibit 3 - IDR Appeal Form 75
	In the Matter of the Arbitration between: RE: Case No.: 01-19-0001-0069		Exhibit 4 - Email re Colleague feedback 81
	7 Yufan Zhang	6	Exhibit 5 - Colleague feedback 82
	And 8 UnitedHealth Group, Inc. and Sujatha Duraimanickam	7	Exhibit 5 - Colleague leedback 82
	9		Exhibit 6 - Email re age discrimination
	10	8	allegations 84
;	12 DEPOSITION	9	Exhibit 7 - Email re IDR response 95
	The following is the deposition of	10	Exhibit 8 - 2/7/2017 lettre to Mr. Zhang 97
	TANYA HUGHES taken before Jolynn Graham, RPR, Notary Dublic, pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition,		
	at 120 South 6th Street, Suite 2600, Minneapolis,	11	Exhibit 9 - Demand for Arbitration 108
:	Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:09 a.m.,	12	Exhibit 10 - List of co-workers, 113
	18 February 11, 2020.	13 14	
	.9 20	15	
	21	16	
2	22	17	
1	23	19	
	25	20 21	
•	-	22	
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	23	
		24 25	
		20	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
1	APPEARANCES:		4
2 3	On Behalf of the Claimant, Yufan Zhang: Kaitlyn L. Dennis, Esquire	1	PROCEEDINGS
	&	2	(The deposition of TANYA HUGHES was commenced
4	Daniel J. Nordin, Esquire Gustafson Gluek PLLC	3	at 9:32 a.m. as follows:)
5	120 South 6th Street Suite 2600	4	TANYA HUGHES,
6	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	5	after having been first duly sworn,
7	Kdennis@gustafsongluek.com	6	deposes and says under oath as follows:
8	On Behalf of UnitedHealth Group, Inc. and	7	***
9	Sujatha Duraimanickam:	8	
	Sandra Jezierski, Esquire	9	MS. DENNIS: Kaitlyn Dennis from
10	Nilan Johnson Lewis 120 South 6th Street	09:32:56 10	Gustafson Gluek representing Claimant, Yufan
11	Suite 400 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	11	Zhang.
12	Sjezierski@nilanjohnson.com	12	MR. NORDIN: Daniel Nordin, Gustafson
13		13	Gluek, also on behalf of the Claimant.
14		14	MS. JEZIERSKI: Sandra Jezierski on
15	DEDOCITION RESERVOR	09:33:05 15	behalf of UnitedHealth.
	DEPOSITION REFERENCE INDEX	16	THE WITNESS: Tanya Hughes on behalf
16	Examination by Ms. Dennis: 4	17	of UnitedHealth.
17	•	18	EXAMINATION
18		19	BY MS. DENNIS:
19	<u>OBJECTIONS</u>	09:33:15 20	Q. So I was going to ask you to state
20	By Ms. Jezierski: 31, 36, 37, 41, 43, 44, 48, 49,	21	your name for the record but you already did that.
	50, 52, 68, 71, 72, 73, 76, 87, 89, 92, 94, 98,	22	So I'll be asking a series of
21	104, 105, 107, 108, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 119, 120, 121	23	questions today related to Mr. Yufan Zhang's
22 23		24	claims in this arbitration. And for the sake of
24 25		09:33:29 25	clarity, I know he's referred to in a lot of the
23	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

	5		7
1	documents as Frank, so I will refer to him as	1	Q. Can you please describe your
2	Frank; does that make sense?	2	educational background?
3	A. Yes.	3	A. I have a bachelor's degree in
4	Q. Have you been deposed before?	4	business management with a focus on human
09:33:40 5	A. I have not.	09:35:59 5	resources.
6	Q. Okay. So I'll just go over kind of	6	Q. And when did you get that degree?
7	the basic ground rules, and if you have any	7	A. I graduated in 2002.
8	questions, please let me know. So today I'll be	8	Q. Where was that degree from?
9	asking you a series of questions, like I said. We	9	A. That was at the University of
09:33:53 10	have a court reporter here who's taking down	09:36:12 10	Wisconsin-River Falls.
11	everything that we say. And for her sake it's	11	Q. Okay. Do you have any other
12	best if you wait for me to finish a question	12	professional certifications or credentials?
13	before beginning the answer just so we have a	13	A. No.
14	clear record, because she can't get down what both	14	Q. What is your current position at
09:34:12 15	of us are saying at the same time; does that make	09:36:38 15	UnitedHealth?
16	sense?	16	A. I'm employee relations case manager
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. Is that your official title?
18	Q. And on a similar note, if you could	18	A. Yes.
19	avoid answering questions with things like um-hmm	19	Q. Have you held any other positions
09:34:22 20	or uh-huh, it's just hard for or it's difficult	09:36:47 20	while at UnitedHealth?
21	to transcribe those for the record as well. So if	21	A. Yes.
22	you would give a clear verbal answer, that would	22	Q. What?
23	help make the record clear; does that make sense?	23	A. Prior to this I was a senior
24	A. Yes.	24	employee relations analyst.
09:34:33 25	Q. Thank you. If you don't understand JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	09:36:54 25	Q. And have you held any other titles JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	6		8
1	a question, let me know and I will do my best to	1	besides that at UnitedHealth?
2	rephrase it. But if you do answer a question I am	2	A. No.
3	going to assume that you understood it; is that	3	Q. And when did you change job titles
4	fair?	I 4	
	run .	4	at UnitedHealth?
09:34:48 5	A. Yes.	09:37:09 5	at UnitedHealth? A. April of 2014.
09:34:48 5		_	
_	A. Yes.	09:37:09 5	A. April of 2014.
6	A. Yes.Q. From time to time your attorney	09:37:09 5	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were
6	A. Yes.Q. From time to time your attorneymight make objections to questions I ask. Unless	09:37:09 5 6 7	A. April of 2014.(Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.)
6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please	09:37:09 5 6 7 8	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS:
6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand?	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes.	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth?
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well?
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one,	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14 15	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one, or I may ask you to let me finish my line of	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15 16	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes. Q. Can you take a look at what has been
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one, or I may ask you to let me finish my line of questioning before we take a break; is that fair?	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15 16 17	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes. Q. Can you take a look at what has been marked Exhibit 1. Can you take a minute to look
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one, or I may ask you to let me finish my line of questioning before we take a break; is that fair? A. Yes.	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15 16 17 18	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes. Q. Can you take a look at what has been marked Exhibit 1. Can you take a minute to look at that and tell me if you recognize this
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one, or I may ask you to let me finish my line of questioning before we take a break; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever been a plaintiff or a	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15 16 17 18 19	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes. Q. Can you take a look at what has been marked Exhibit 1. Can you take a minute to look at that and tell me if you recognize this document.
09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one, or I may ask you to let me finish my line of questioning before we take a break; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever been a plaintiff or a defendant in any other lawsuits?	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15 16 17 18 19 09:39:07 20	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes. Q. Can you take a look at what has been marked Exhibit 1. Can you take a minute to look at that and tell me if you recognize this document. MS. JEZIERSKI: She asked if you recognized it.
09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14 15 16 17 18 19 09:35:38 20 21	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one, or I may ask you to let me finish my line of questioning before we take a break; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever been a plaintiff or a defendant in any other lawsuits? A. No.	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15 16 17 18 19 09:39:07 20 21	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes. Q. Can you take a look at what has been marked Exhibit 1. Can you take a minute to look at that and tell me if you recognize this document. MS. JEZIERSKI: She asked if you recognized it. THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I do.
09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14 15 16 17 18 19 09:35:38 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one, or I may ask you to let me finish my line of questioning before we take a break; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever been a plaintiff or a defendant in any other lawsuits? A. No. Q. Are you taking any medication that	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15 16 17 18 19 09:39:07 20 21 22	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes. Q. Can you take a look at what has been marked Exhibit 1. Can you take a minute to look at that and tell me if you recognize this document. MS. JEZIERSKI: She asked if you recognized it.
6 7 8 9 09:34:58 10 11 12 13 14 09:35:14 15 16 17 18 19 09:35:38 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. From time to time your attorney might make objections to questions I ask. Unless she specifically tells you not to answer, please answer the question; do you understand? A. Yes. Q. Any time you need a break you can let me know and we can go off the record. If you need some more water or a bathroom break, or just need to walk around, that's fine. I may ask you to finish asking a question if I have asked one, or I may ask you to let me finish my line of questioning before we take a break; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever been a plaintiff or a defendant in any other lawsuits? A. No. Q. Are you taking any medication that may affect your memory or ability to testify	09:37:09 5 6 7 8 9 09:38:37 10 11 12 13 14 09:38:46 15 16 17 18 19 09:39:07 20 21 22 23	A. April of 2014. (Exhibit Nos. 1 & 2 were marked for identification.) BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you understand you are here today testifying as a representative of UnitedHealth? A. Yes. Q. And do you understand today you're also here to testify in your personal capacity as well? A. Yes. Q. Can you take a look at what has been marked Exhibit 1. Can you take a minute to look at that and tell me if you recognize this document. MS. JEZIERSKI: She asked if you recognized it. THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I do. Sorry about that.

	9		11
1		1	A. It was a report.
2	A. I understand that this is the	2	Q. Do you remember anything else about
3	arbitration document, or the deposition document I	3	the report?
4		4	A. No. It was an Excel spreadsheet
09:39:27 5	Q. You understand this is the	09:42:22 5	with the number of employees.
6	deposition notice to UnitedHealth Group as a	6	MS. DENNIS: Sorry, I didn't realize
7	corporation?	7	how loud it would be out there.
8	A. Yes.	8	BY MS. DENNIS:
9	Q. If you turn to page 4 of this	9	Q. So Topic 2 reads, respondent's
09:39:48 10	document, titled Schedule A at the top. Sorry, I	09:42:33 10	corporate or official procedures and actual
11	meant actually page 6, under the heading Topics.	11	practices for investigating and addressing reports
12	Have you reviewed the numbered items under the	12	or complaints of age discrimination; are you
13	heading Topics on page 6?	13	prepared today to testify on this topic?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. Yes.
09:40:20 15	Q. And have you reviewed the Topics	09:42:47 15	Q. And did you review any documents to
16	continuing on to pages 7 and 8?	16	prepare to testify on this topument? Sorry, I
17	A. Yes.	17	combined the words topic and document.
18	Q. When was the first time that you saw	18	Did you refer to any documents to
19	this document?	19	prepare to testify on this topic?
09:40:36 20	A. I believe it was on I'm not sure,	09:43:01 20	A. No.
21	Thursday maybe. Just trying to think of the date,	21	Q. Did you do anything to prepare to
22	so it might have been, like, the 4 or 5th.	22	testify on this topic today?
23	Q. That's fine. An approximation is	23	A. I'm very familiar with the topic so
24	fine. So I just am going to go through each topic	24	I just refreshed my own memory, or made sure that
09:41:05 25	before we jump into the questions and just make	09:43:17 25	I recalled, or made sure to recall each step. But
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	10		12
1	sure, or confirm whether or not you are prepared	1	it's a daily situation that I'm
2	to testify on each topic; is that okay?	2	Q. So you just relied on your personal
3	A. Yes.	3	recollection; is that correct?
4	MS. JEZIERSKI: For the record,	4	A. Yes.
09:41:19 5	Tanya is not testifying as to Topic 9.	09:43:34 5	Q. Topic 3 reads, respondent's policy
6	MS. DENNIS: Are you going to	6	and procedures for conducting and collecting
7	instruct her not to answer?	7	performance reviews; are you prepared to testify
8	MS. JEZIERSKI: She's not prepared	8	on this topic today?
9	for that. We're trying to find someone who can	9	A. Yes.
09:41:34 10	testify to that.	09:43:48 10	Q. And did you do anything to prepare
11	MS. DENNIS: Okay.	11	to testify on this topic?
12	MS. JEZIERSKI: We can talk about	12	A. Yes.
13	that during break.	13	Q. What? What did you do to prepare?
14	MS. DENNIS: All right.	14	A. I reviewed our common review
09:41:41 15	BY MS. DENNIS:	09:43:59 15	process.
16	Q. So I'll go through the other	16	Q. And how did you review that common
17	deposition topics. So Topic 1 reads, the	17	review process?
18	approximate number of people respondent employed	18	A. On our employee intranet site.
19	during the relevant period; are you prepared to	19	Q. Did you do anything else to prepare
09:41:59 20	testify on this topic today?	09:44:17 20	to testify on that topic?
21	A. Yes.	21	A. No.
22	Q. And did you review any documents to	22	Q. To clarify, you did not meet with
23	prepare to testify on this topic?	23	anyone to prepare to testify on this topic?
0.4	Λ ν		
24	A. Yes.	24	A. I met with Sandra.
09:42:07 25	A. Yes.Q. And what documents did you review?JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	24 09:44:37 25	A. I met with Sandra.Q. Anyone else?JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

	13		15
1	A. I spoke with our internal attorney,	1	discrimination policy, as applied to employee
2	Jennifer Service.	2	recruitment, diversity and work assignments; are
3	Q. And just your attorneys?	3	you prepared to testify on this topic today?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yes.
09:44:51 5	Q. Okay. Topic 4 reads, any formal or	09:47:35 5	Q. Did you do anything to prepare to
6	informal complaints, reports, grievances, concerns	6	testify on this topic?
7	or discussion of discrimination, retaliation,	7	A. Yes.
8	harassment, or disparate treatment based on age,	8	Q. What did you do?
9	that any of the respondent's current, former, or	9	A. I reviewed our company internet
09:45:16 10	potential employees, applicants, trainees, or job	09:47:44 10	site.
11	candidates (including Claimant) made regarding	11	Q. What did you review specifically on
12	Respondent Duraimanickam during the relevant	12	the
13	period; are you prepared today to testify on this	13	A. Under the careers section. I also
14	topic?	14	reviewed our company's Equal Employment
09:45:32 15	A. Yes.	09:48:13 15	Opportunity Policy.
16	Q. Did you do anything to prepare to	16	Q. Did you review anything else?
17	testify on this topic today?	17	A. No.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. And did you speak with anyone else
19	Q. What did you do?	19	to prepare, other than your attorneys?
09:45:37 20	A. I reviewed our case submission	09:48:22 20	A. No.
21	database.	21	Q. Topic 7 reads, Respondent's
22	Q. And did you do anything else to	22	investigation and/or assessment of Claimant's age
23	prepare to testify on this topic?	23	discrimination claims, including communication
24	A. No.	24	with Claimant's former coworkers and supervisors,
09:45:56 25	Q. Did you meet with anyone to prepare	09:48:38 25	collection and recording of statements, creation
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	14		16
1	to testify on this topic other than your	1	of reports, and any resulting action taken by
2	attorneys?	2	Respondent; are you prepared to testify on this
3	A. No.	3	topic today?
4	Q. So I'm going to read the next topic	4	A. Yes.
09:46:20 5	on page 7. It's listed as Topic 5, employee	09:48:47 5	Q. Did you do anything to prepare to
6	performance policies, rules, and disciplinary	6	testify on this topic today?
7	procedures applicable to team members, employees,	7	A. Yes.
8	or trainees under current or former supervision or	8	Q. What did you do?
9	management of Respondent Duraimanickam; are you	9	A. I reviewed the internal dispute
09:46:37 10	prepared today to testify on this topic?	09:48:57 10	resolution documents for Mr. Zhang; I reviewed
11	A. Yes.	11	Mr. Zhang's common review, interim review and
12	Q. Did you do anything to prepare to	12	colleague reviews associated with those, as well
13	testify on this topic?	13	as email correspondence between myself and
14	A. Yes.	14	Mr. Zhang; and Mr. Zhang's internal dispute
09:46:46 15	Q. What did you do?	09:49:28 15	resolution filing, corrective action plans, and
16	A. I reviewed our common review	16	case notes related to the internal dispute
17	process.	17	resolution case, and his other internal dispute
18	Q. Did you do anything else to prepare	18	resolution case.
19	to testify on this topic?	19	Q. Is that everything you reviewed?
09:46:59 20	A. No.	09:50:05 20	A. I believe so, yes.
21	Q. Did you speak to anyone other than	21	Q. Did you speak with anyone other than
22	your attorneys to prepare?	22	your attorneys to prepare to testify on this
23	A. No.	23	topic?
24	Q. Topic 6 reads, Respondent's	24	A. No.
09:47:22 25	initiatives, practices, or programs related to age	09:50:11 25	Q. Topic 8 reads, Respondent's
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

	17		19
1	investigation and/or assessment of Claimant's job	1	Respondents undertook to verify or deny
2	performance, including communication of	2	Respondents' specific factual allegations
3		3	reflected in paragraphs 34 to 39 of Claimant's
4	,	4	Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to
09:50:34		09:53:19 5	testify on this topic today?
6		09.55.19	A. Yes.
7		7	
8	•		, ,
g		8	sorry, did you do anything to prepare to testify
		9	on this topic today?
09:50:47		09:53:28 10	A. Yes.
11	_	11	Q. What did you do?
12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12	A. I reviewed his common reviews,
13		13	Mr. Zhang's common review, rather, interim review
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	14	colleague reviews, the internal dispute resolution
09:50:57 15	colleague reviews associated with those. I	09:53:46 15	documents, and the notes associated with his
16	reviewed his internal dispute resolution filing,	16	internal dispute resolution filing, and emails
17	his corrective action plans, and the notes	17	between Mr. Zhang and I related to his internal
18	associated with his internal dispute resolution	18	dispute resolution.
19	case.	19	Q. And would these be the same
09:51:17 20	Q. So these would be the same documents	09:54:05 20	documents you referred to to prepare to answer
21	you reviewed to prepare for the previous topic?	21	Topics 7 and 8?
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Would there be any difference in the	23	Q. Are there any additional documents
24	documents that you referred to to prepare yourself	24	you referred to to prepare to answer questions
09:51:30 25		09:54:20 25	related to Topic 10?
00.01.00	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	09.54.20 20	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	18	_	. 1994 1994
1	A. No.	1	A. No.
2		1	
			And it appears that Topics 11 and 12
~2	, ,	2	Q. And it appears that Topics 11 and 12
3	than your attorneys	3	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one
4	than your attorneys A. No.	3 4	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them.
09:51:37 5	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this	3 4 09:54:42 5	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of
09:51:37 5	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic?	3 4 09:54:42 5 6	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or
09:51:37 5 6 7	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No.	3 4 09:54:42 5 6 7	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research
09:51:37 5 6 7	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're	3 4 09:54:42 5 6 7 8	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny
09:51:37	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations
09:51:37	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're	3 4 09:54:42 5 6 7 8	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny
09:51:37	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes.	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations
09:51:37	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that	3 4 09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for
09:51:37	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes.	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this
09:51:37	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today?
09:51:37	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11 12 13	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes.
09:51:37	than your attorneys A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11 12 13	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11 12 13 14 09:55:07 15	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes.
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11 12 13 14 09:55:07 15 16	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask questions in your personal capacity, and I will be clear when I'm asking those questions, and I'll do	09:54:42	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same documents that you referred to to prepare for
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask questions in your personal capacity, and I will be	09:54:42	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same documents that you referred to to prepare for Topics 7, 8 and 10?
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask questions in your personal capacity, and I will be clear when I'm asking those questions, and I'll do it at a separate time to avoid confusion; is that fair?	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11 12 13 14 09:55:07 15 16 17 18 19 09:55:23 20	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same documents that you referred to to prepare for Topics 7, 8 and 10? A. Yes.
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask questions in your personal capacity, and I will be clear when I'm asking those questions, and I'll do it at a separate time to avoid confusion; is that fair? A. Yes.	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11 12 13 14 09:55:07 15 16 17 18 19 09:55:23 20 21	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same documents that you referred to to prepare for Topics 7, 8 and 10? A. Yes. Q. Did you refer to any additional
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask questions in your personal capacity, and I will be clear when I'm asking those questions, and I'll do it at a separate time to avoid confusion; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Let's turn to the last page of this	09:54:42	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same documents that you referred to to prepare for Topics 7, 8 and 10? A. Yes. Q. Did you refer to any additional documents?
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask questions in your personal capacity, and I will be clear when I'm asking those questions, and I'll do it at a separate time to avoid confusion; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Let's turn to the last page of this document, page 8. Topic 10 reads, content of	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11 12 13 14 09:55:07 15 16 17 18 19 09:55:23 20 21 22 23	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same documents that you referred to to prepare for Topics 7, 8 and 10? A. Yes. Q. Did you refer to any additional documents? A. No.
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask questions in your personal capacity, and I will be clear when I'm asking those questions, and I'll do it at a separate time to avoid confusion; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Let's turn to the last page of this document, page 8. Topic 10 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or	09:54:42	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same documents that you referred to to prepare for Topics 7, 8 and 10? A. Yes. Q. Did you refer to any additional documents? A. No. Q. Topic 13 is identical to 11 but it
09:51:37	A. No. Q to prepare to testify on this topic? A. No. Q. So, counsel indicated that you're not prepared to testify on Topic No. 9; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And with the understanding that UnitedHealth will designate another witness to testify on this topic, I will not be asking questions today in your capacity as a representative for UnitedHealth. But I may ask questions in your personal capacity, and I will be clear when I'm asking those questions, and I'll do it at a separate time to avoid confusion; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Let's turn to the last page of this document, page 8. Topic 10 reads, content of	09:54:42 5 6 7 8 9 09:54:55 10 11 12 13 14 09:55:07 15 16 17 18 19 09:55:23 20 21 22 23	are identical, so I'm just going to ask about one of them. So Topic 11 reads, content of documents and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any investigation or research Respondents undertook to verify or deny Respondents' specific factual allegations reflected in paragraph 43 of Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you prepared to testify on this topic today? A. Yes. Q. And did you do anything to prepare to testify on this topic? A. Yes. Q. And did you rely on the same documents that you referred to to prepare for Topics 7, 8 and 10? A. Yes. Q. Did you refer to any additional documents? A. No.

		21		23
-	1	any additional documents that you haven't	1	Q. Okay. And have you met with her at
Ź	2	identified to prepare to testify on Topic 13?	2	any other time to prepare to testify today?
;	3	Sorry, I can rephrase that.	3	A. No.
ı	4	A. Sure. Thank you.	4	Q. Did you speak to anyone else other
09;56;05	5	Q. I asked that in a different way than	09:58:45 5	than your attorneys to prepare to testify today on
(6	everything else, so I will just go through it.	6	any topic?
7	7	So 13 reads, content of documents	7	A. No.
{	8	and/or statements relied upon or discovered in any	8	MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the
ç	9	investigation or research Respondents undertook to	9	record for just a moment.
09:56:20 10	0	verify or deny Respondents' specific factual	09:59:07 10	(Off-the-record discussion.)
11	1	allegations reflected in paragraphs 46 to 47 of	11	MS. DENNIS: Let's go back on the
12	2	Claimant's Demand for Arbitration; are you	12	record.
13	3	prepared to testify on Topic 13 today?	13	BY MS. DENNIS:
14	4	A. Yes.	14	Q. What is the approximate number of
09:56:34 15	5	Q. And did you refer to any documents	10:01:24 15	people UnitedHealth employed during 2016?
16	6	to prepare to testify on Topic 13 today?	16	A. Nationally, around 144,000;
17	7	A. I reviewed the documents that I	17	internationally, around 175,000.
18		reviewed for Topics 7, 8, 10 and 11, which were	18	Q. Thank you.
19		the common review, interim review, emails	19	MS. JEZIERSKI: Just for the record,
09:56:57 20		associated with the internal dispute resolution	10:01:46 20	it appears we're doing the 30(b)(6) deposition
21	1	filing, the internal dispute resolution documents.	21	first; is that correct?
22	2	I'm not sure if I said this, the colleague reviews	22	MS. DENNIS: Yes. Sorry, I should
23	3	if associated with the internal dispute, and the	23	have been clear.
2 4		common and interim reviews as well as the	24	MS. JEZIERSKI: Okay.
09:57:17 25	5	corrective action plans.	10:01:55 25	BY MS. DENNIS:
		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
		22		24
1	1	Q. And did you speak to anyone other	1	Q. Whenever during this first part
2	2	than your attorneys to prepare for to testify	2	of the deposition, I'm going to be asking you
	3	on Topic 13?	3	questions in your capacity as a representative for
	4	A. No.	4	UnitedHealth. And when later when I ask you
09:57:27		Q. Okay. Besides the documents you've	10:02:10 5	questions in your personal capacity, I will make
6		identified that you relied on to prepare yourself	6	it clear when that division begins so we don't
7		to testify on these topics today, did you do	7	so everything is kept clear who you're testifying
8		anything else to prepare to testify on these	8	on behalf of; is that fair?
9		topics today?	9	A. Yes.
09:57:49		A. No.	_	
09:57:49 1 U 11		Q. Did you meet with Ms. Jezierski	10:02:23 10	Q. Does UnitedHealth have a policy on
12		•	11	age discrimination?
12		MS. DENNIS: Am I saying that	12	A. Yes.
40)	correct?	13	Q. What's the general purpose of that
13	4	MS. JEZIERSKI: Mm-hmm.	14	policy?
14		DV MC DENNIC.	10:02:39 15	A. That discrimination against any
14 15	5	BY MS. DENNIS:		
14 15 16	5 6	Q. to prepare for today's	16	
14 15 16 17	5 3 7	Q. to prepare for today's deposition?	16 17	other items, is prohibited.
14 15 16 17 18	5 6 7 8	Q to prepare for today'sdeposition?A. Yes.	16 17 18	other items, is prohibited. Q. Does that policy specify a certain
14 15 16 17 18 19	5 7 3	 Q to prepare for today's deposition? A. Yes. Q. And approximately how long did you 	16 17 18 19	Q. Does that policy specify a certain age?
14 15 16 17 18 19	5 7 3 9	 Q to prepare for today's deposition? A. Yes. Q. And approximately how long did you meet with her? And I'm not interested in any of 	16 17 18 19 10:03:01 20	other items, is prohibited. Q. Does that policy specify a certain age? A. The policy itself does not specify
14 15 16 17 18 19 19:58:08 20	5 7 8 9	Q to prepare for today's deposition? A. Yes. Q. And approximately how long did you meet with her? And I'm not interested in any of the substance, just kind of an estimate of how	16 17 18 19 10:03:01 20 21	other items, is prohibited. Q. Does that policy specify a certain age? A. The policy itself does not specify an age.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5 6 7 3 9 0 1	Q to prepare for today's deposition? A. Yes. Q. And approximately how long did you meet with her? And I'm not interested in any of the substance, just kind of an estimate of how much time.	16 17 18 19 10:03:01 20	other items, is prohibited. Q. Does that policy specify a certain age? A. The policy itself does not specify
14 15 16 17 18 19 19:58:08 20	5 6 7 3 9 0 1	Q to prepare for today's deposition? A. Yes. Q. And approximately how long did you meet with her? And I'm not interested in any of the substance, just kind of an estimate of how	16 17 18 19 10:03:01 20 21	other items, is prohibited. Q. Does that policy specify a certain age? A. The policy itself does not specify an age.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5 6 7 3 9 0 1 1 2	Q to prepare for today's deposition? A. Yes. Q. And approximately how long did you meet with her? And I'm not interested in any of the substance, just kind of an estimate of how much time.	16 17 18 19 10:03:01 20 21 22	other items, is prohibited. Q. Does that policy specify a certain age? A. The policy itself does not specify an age. Q. Why was that policy enacted?
14 15 16 17 18 19 09:58:08 20 21 22	5 6 7 3 9 0 1	Q to prepare for today's deposition? A. Yes. Q. And approximately how long did you meet with her? And I'm not interested in any of the substance, just kind of an estimate of how much time. A. About three hours.	16 17 18 19 10:03:01 20 21 22 23	Q. Does that policy specify a certain age? A. The policy itself does not specify an age. Q. Why was that policy enacted? A. Because of the Civil Rights Act of

	25		07
1	UnitedHealth has that policy?	1	27 discrimination can be reported to UnitedHealth?
2	A. To ensure that all employees are	2	A. Not that I'm aware of.
3	treated based on their merit and not as a result	3	Q. So let's talk about the HRdirect
4	of their age, race, religion, gender, ethnicity,	4	line; can you tell me generally how that works?
10:03:38 5	or health condition.	_	A. Yes. It is a 1-800 number that is
6	Q. And does UnitedHealth enforce this	10:07:52 5	
7	anti-age discrimination policy?	7	available to employees and former employees, that
8	A. Yes.	8	people can contact. And there are various areas
9	Q. How does UnitedHealth enforce this	9	within HRdirect that an employee would possibly
10:03:53 10	policy?	10:08:21 10	utilize: Payroll, benefits, recruitment, employee
11	A. We provide training for managers; we		relations. And if it's an employee relations
12	provide training for employees; we have an	11	claim, somebody will reach out to the employee who
13	HRdirect number for employees to report any	12	reported the issue to discuss the issue and
14		13	document in our case system. And depending on
10:04:18 15	concerns related to discrimination or any other	14	where it goes from there, you know, possibly begin
16	concerns related to their employment. Q. Does UnitedHealth enforce this		an investigation.
17		16	Q. And can you tell me generally how
18	policy in any other ways? A. We also have an anonymous compliance	17	the anonymous ethics line works?
19	A. We also have an anonymous compliance and ethics line where people can report concerns	18	A. Yes. Anyone can report through an
10:04:50 20	• •	19	email or through a phone number, either
10:04:50 20	related to their employment, including age discrimination.	10:09:31 20	anonymously or not. And they would report to
22		21	somebody within the compliance and ethics line.
23	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	22	Q. And would the next step after
24	is enforced? A. Not that I can recall.	23	someone used the anonymous ethics line be the same
10:05:09 25	_	24	as the HRdirect, in that it would be documented in
10:05:09 23	Q. Who is primarily responsible for JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	10:09:58 25	the case system and an investigation would
	26		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
1	enforcing UnitedHealth's antidiscrimination	1	possibly be begun?
2	policies?	2	A. Yes, it's the same.
3	A. All employees at UnitedHealth Group	3	Q. Is the difference between the two,
4	are responsible for enforcing UnitedHealth Group's	4	it's just that one is anonymous?
10:05:28 5	policy on discrimination.	10:10:11 5	A. Yes. And one of them comes through
6	Q. Is there anyone sorry, let me	6	the compliance and ethics line. And if it is an
7	back up.	7	HR concern versus what we would consider a
8	Is the responsibility shared equally	8	compliance issue, they will pass the case on to
9	among all employees?	9	our team
10:05:50 10	A. Yes.	10:10:25 10	Q. Okay.
11	Q. Besides the training for managers,	11	A to begin that same process.
12	training for employees, the HRdirect number for	12	Q. And when you say our team, what do
13	employees to report discrimination, and the	13	you mean?
14	anonymous ethics line, are there any other	14	A. Employee relations.
10:06:19 15	company-wide measures to address age	10:10:39 15	Q. And what does employee relations do?
16	discrimination in the workplace?	16	A. Employee relations is responsible
17	A. Not that I'm aware of.	17	for speaking with employees on employee
18	Q. How are allegations of age	18	complaints, speaking with managers with relation
19	discrimination reported to UnitedHealth?	19	to concerns about employees, responsible for
10:06:54 20	A. They can be reported to the HRdirect	10:11:11 20	investigating or facilitating investigations into
21	team; they can be reported through the compliance	21	employee complaints. We're also responsible for
22	and ethics line; and they can be reported to any	22	facilitating internal dispute resolutions.
23	level of management, or any other leader of the	23	Q. In your particular office, how
24	organization.	24	many just approximately how many people are on
10:07:16 25	Q. Are there any other ways age	10:11:50 25	the employee relations? Is it a department?
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
		L	TODALLI ON THE ON THE

		1	
1	29 A. Yes.		31
		1	organization.
2	Q. How many presently how many	2	Q. Have you provided training to
3 4	people are in the employee relations department?	3	managers on UnitedHealth's age discrimination
_	A. 70. Q. And are these employees all in one	4	policy?
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10:15:58 5	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection as to
6 7	geographic office? A. No.	6	form. Are you asking her as a 30(b)(6) witness or
8	_	7	personal? Sounded personal.
9	Q. So is that is the approximately 70 people, is that throughout the entirety of the	8	MS. DENNIS: I think it is covered
10:12:28 10	UnitedHealth Group?	9	under either.
10:12:28	A. Actually I was speaking to the US.	10:16:12 10	THE WITNESS: No. BY MS, DENNIS:
12	There are international employee relations people	12	Q. So has UnitedHealth Group, as a
13	as well, so I would have to add a few onto that.	13	• •
14	It's not nearly the same kind of number, but	14	company, provided training to UnitedHealth
10:12:43 15	Q. Yeah. So just for ease of clarity,	10:16:37 15	managers on age discrimination? A. Yes.
16	if I ask a question I will assume you're answering	16	Q. What is that? Is that training
17	for the United States instead of international.	17	separate from other types of antidiscrimination
18	A. Thank you.	18	training?
19	Q. So how is employees relations,	19	A. No.
10:13:06 20	what's kind of the how is it structured	10:16:44 20	Q. Can you describe generally the type
21	internally?	21	of training provided to managers on
22	A. Sure. There are employee relations	22	antidiscrimination policies?
23	analysts which handle more basic cases, or policy	23	A. Sure. We have a learned source tool
24	questions. There are senior employee relations	24	which is an electronic Just-In Time based
10:13:28 25	analysts that handle more complex cases for	10:16:59 25	training, which managers are responsible for
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	30		32
1	employees that are salary grade 28 or below. And	1	taking; that goes through expectations of
2	there are employee relations consultants that	2	leadership, including, you know, understanding of
3	handle employee cases for 28 and below, also help	3	our discrimination policies as well as other
4	manage the senior employee relations analyst.	4	policies.
10:13:52 5	There are employee relation case	10:17:16 5	In addition, we have a yearly code
6	managers who handle complex cases, and cases	6	of conduct attestation for all employees that
7	related to employees of grade 29 and above.	7	discusses this subject matter.
8	And then we have our leadership team	8	Q. Can you tell me more about that code
9	that manage the case managers and the senior	9	of context [sic] attestation?
10:14:14 10	employee relations analysts. We also have an area	10:17:36 10	A. Yes, it is a computer-based
11 12	for compliance; that's in employee relations but	11	attestation, and it goes through our policies, our
13	separate. Q. And can you remind me, what is your	12	code of conduct, including discrimination topics,
14	Q. And can you remind me, what is your current job title?	13	and we are then required to take a little quiz at
10:14:32 15	A. I'm an employee relations case	14	the end to make sure that we are understanding the
16:14:32	manager.	10:17:54 15	topics. And once we have completed the training
17	Q. And what are the main	17	we will receive a we'll attest that we
18	responsibilities in that role?	18	understand, and that happens on a yearly basis. Q. So I just want to go back to talking
19	A. Employee relations case managers	19	Q. So I just want to go back to talking about your role as an employee relations case
10:14:50 20	work on cases about employees grade 29 and above.	10:18:37 20	manager. Can you to walk me through what course
21	We work on downsizing cases as well. And that's	10:18:37 20	of action would happen after an employee made a
22	mainly the administration of that. We work with	22	claim of age discrimination?
23	employee complaints of discrimination, harassment,	23	A. Yes. There are two separate
24	or otherwise. We perform trainings and provide	24	processes. If they contact the HRdirect number to
10:15:29 25	counsel to managers and employees across the	10:19:36 25	file a complaint, employee relations will reach
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

	20		
1	out, after speaking with the employee, reach out	1	35 Q. Can I ask a quick question. When
2	to the appropriate level of Human Capital or	2	Q. Can I ask a quick question. When you say an appropriate leader, what do you mean by
3	leadership to discuss the concern and to ask them	3	that?
4	to investigate the allegations, while we provide	4	A. A leader that is not involved in the
10:20:00 5	them with guidance, facilitation of questions, and	10:23:14 5	complaint.
6	discussion of resolution.	10.23.14	Q. So does that mean sorry, I will
7	The second process is what's called	7	let you finish.
8	the internal dispute resolution process, which	8	A. A neutral a leader that is in a
9	typically is filed as a result of an action. An	9	neutral position, so not involved in any of the
10:20:20 10	employee has a choice to file an internal dispute	10:23:26 10	accusations, and/or any part of the employee's
11	resolution, and in that particular process, we	11	complaint.
12	reach out to the third level manager if the	12	Q. So, you said employee relations
13	employee is disputing a determination.	13	reaches out to an appropriate leader to discuss
14	Q. What do you mean by third level	14	with the leader the allegations; is that right?
10:20:39 15	manager?	10:23:45 15	A. Yes.
16	A. The employee's manager's manager's	16	Q. And what happens next?
17	manager. And if that person was not involved in	17	A. We have the leader investigate the
18	the action taken that the employee is disputing,	18	claims by either speaking with witnesses, if that
19	such as a termination, where they've also brought	19	is part of the claim, or reviewing anything that
10:20:55 20	in an age discrimination or other type of claim,	10:24:02 20	has been brought forward as support to the claim.
21	we will reach out to try to locate a neutral	21	And we also have the leader speak with the accused
22	party.	22	individual and try to understand what can be
23	We also help that party through the	23	substantiated of the employee's claims.
24	process of the internal dispute resolution serving	24	Q. And when you say leader, would you
10:21:17 25	as a neutral party between employee and manager,	10:24:27 25	speak to witnesses if they're a specific category
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	34		36
1	34 or human capital member if we're not able to find	1	
1 2		1 2	36
1 .	or human capital member if we're not able to find	1	36 of witnesses that the procedure requires them to
2	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the	2	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to?
3	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision.	2 3	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form.
2 3 4	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an	2 3 4	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you
2 3 4 10:21:47 5	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that.	2 3 4 5	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question.
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has	2 3 4 5 6	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of	2 3 4 5 6 7	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses.
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS:
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes.
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations?
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes.
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17 18	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17 18	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out to the witnesses, is it done in person?
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17 18 19	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims? A. Yes. Q. Could you describe that in general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17 18 19	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out to the witnesses, is it done in person? A. It depends. We have a large
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17 18 19	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims? A. Yes. Q. Could you describe that in general terms for me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17 18 19 10:25:23 20	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out to the witnesses, is it done in person? A. It depends. We have a large telecommuting population, so if that's the case,
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17 18 19 10:22:42 20 21	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims? A. Yes. Q. Could you describe that in general terms for me. A. Yes. Employee relations will reach	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17 18 19 10:25:23 20 21	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out to the witnesses, is it done in person? A. It depends. We have a large telecommuting population, so if that's the case, then no, it would be on the telephone. If they
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17 18 19 10:22:42 20 21 22	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims? A. Yes. Q. Could you describe that in general terms for me. A. Yes. Employee relations will reach out to the appropriate leader, we will discuss the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17 18 19 10:25:23 20 21 22	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out to the witnesses, is it done in person? A. It depends. We have a large telecommuting population, so if that's the case, then no, it would be on the telephone. If they are in the same location, then yes, they will
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17 18 19 10:22:42 20 21 22 23	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims? A. Yes. Q. Could you describe that in general terms for me. A. Yes. Employee relations will reach out to the appropriate leader, we will discuss the concern with the leader, and ask the leader to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17 18 19 10:25:23 20 21 22 23	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out to the witnesses, is it done in person? A. It depends. We have a large telecommuting population, so if that's the case, then no, it would be on the telephone. If they are in the same location, then yes, they will typically speak with the witnesses on-site.
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17 18 19 10:22:42 20 21 22 23 24	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims? A. Yes. Q. Could you describe that in general terms for me. A. Yes. Employee relations will reach out to the appropriate leader, we will discuss the concern with the leader, and ask the leader to speak with certain individuals to try to determine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17 18 19 10:25:23 20 21 22 23 24	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out to the witnesses, is it done in person? A. It depends. We have a large telecommuting population, so if that's the case, then no, it would be on the telephone. If they are in the same location, then yes, they will typically speak with the witnesses on-site. Q. Is there a circumstance where a
2 3 4 10:21:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:22:04 10 11 12 13 14 10:22:22 15 16 17 18 19 10:22:42 20 21 22 23	or human capital member if we're not able to find a manager that has not been involved in the decision. Q. And both of these tracks require an investigator? Let me rephrase that. Do either of these tracks require an investigation of the discrimination claim? A. Depending on what the employee has alleged. If they have provided any type of information to help to contribute to their claim of age discrimination, yes, they both require an investigation. But the internal dispute resolution process, regardless, requires an investigation. Q. Is there a specific procedure that UnitedHealth follows when investigating these claims? A. Yes. Q. Could you describe that in general terms for me. A. Yes. Employee relations will reach out to the appropriate leader, we will discuss the concern with the leader, and ask the leader to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10:24:54 10 11 12 13 14 10:24:56 15 16 17 18 19 10:25:23 20 21 22 23	of witnesses that the procedure requires them to reach out to? MS. JEZIERSKI: Object to form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. THE WITNESS: No, because the employee would typically provide the witnesses, or at least state that there are witnesses, and that is the situation in which they would speak with witnesses. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So it depends on the circumstances A. Yes. Q of the allegations? A. Yes. Q. And when the leader is reaching out to the witnesses, is it done in person? A. It depends. We have a large telecommuting population, so if that's the case, then no, it would be on the telephone. If they are in the same location, then yes, they will typically speak with the witnesses on-site.

<u> </u>		1	
4	37	4	39
1 2	sorry, I will start that question again.	1	would not be information disclosed to the accused
3	Would there be any circumstances in which a leader would request that one witness	3	individual.
4	gather information from another witness?	4	Q. Is there a reason why that would be
_	A. Yes. If a witness is a leader,		kept separately from the accused individual?
10:26:16 5	another leader if the witness is a nother	10:29:23 5	A. Well, the accused individual
7		6	wouldn't have any reason to know about the witness
8	leader, they may have that leader ask their direct	7	conversations if there's an investigation into the
9	reports to provide information, if appropriate. Q. Okay. Would the accused individual	8	an accused individual's into something that the
10:26:34 10		9	accused individual has allegedly done, especially
10:26:34	be asked to provide witness testimony on behalf of anyone else other than themselves?	10:29:41 10	if it relates to a particular specific claim of
12	·	11	discrimination.
13	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.		Q. Is this process set up in a way to
14	MS. DENNIS: Do you understand the	13	prevent retaliation from the accused individual on
10:26:51 15	question?	14	anyone who would provide testimony?
10:26:51 13	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it, please.	10:30:02 15	A. Absolutely. Q. How is it set up to achieve that?
17	MS. DENNIS: Sure.	17	
18	BY MS. DENNIS:	18	A. Well, as I stated, they're typically
19	Q. Would there be a circumstance where	19	not aware of who's provided the information as it
10:26:58 20	the person being accused of discrimination would	10:30:18 20	relates to an age discrimination claim or
21	be asked to provide testimony on behalf of another	10:30:18 20	something similar. They are typically not involved in the investigation related to an
22	witness?	22	
23	A. There may be. Um, I can't think of	23	accusation made of themselves, or about
24	examples right now, but I definitely think there	24	themselves. And they're also a non-retaliation
10:27:22 25	are situations, because so many situations depend	10;30;35 25	policy and information provided to all witnesses at the time of speaking with them that retaliation
10.27.22	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	10,30,33 20	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
		<u> </u>	OCETIVI CIVADAN INC. OTTINO
	38	1	40
1	on the details, that there's definitely a	1	40 is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like
1 2		1 2	
	on the details, that there's definitely a	l .	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like
2	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can	2	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part
2 3	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it	3	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process.
2 3 4	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from	2 3 4	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it?
2 3 4 10:27:41 5	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people.	2 3 4 10:30:47 5	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes.
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation?
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided?	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times,
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding.	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times,
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that.	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant.
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17 18	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were making regarding the reported age discrimination?	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17 18	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant.
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17 18 19	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were making regarding the reported age discrimination? A. Typically, no. So if we're speaking	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17 18 19	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant. Q. Would the leader look at any specific documents as part of this investigative process?
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17 18 19 10:28:39 20	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were making regarding the reported age discrimination? A. Typically, no. So if we're speaking of age discrimination, and the accused is accused	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17 18	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant. Q. Would the leader look at any specific documents as part of this investigative
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17 18 19 10:28:39 20 21	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were making regarding the reported age discrimination? A. Typically, no. So if we're speaking of age discrimination, and the accused is accused of age discrimination, and we're asking if that	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17 18 19 10:31:45 20 21	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant. Q. Would the leader look at any specific documents as part of this investigative process? A. If there were documents related to age discrimination, they may look at those
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17 18 19 10:28:39 20 21 22	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were making regarding the reported age discrimination? A. Typically, no. So if we're speaking of age discrimination, and the accused is accused of age discrimination, and we're asking if that accused individual would collect information about	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17 18 19 10:31:45 20 21 22	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant. Q. Would the leader look at any specific documents as part of this investigative process? A. If there were documents related to age discrimination, they may look at those documents.
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17 18 19 10:28:39 20 21 22 23	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were making regarding the reported age discrimination? A. Typically, no. So if we're speaking of age discrimination, and the accused is accused of age discrimination, and we're asking if that accused individual would collect information about age discrimination from themselves, collect the	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17 18 19 10:31:45 20 21 22 23	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant. Q. Would the leader look at any specific documents as part of this investigative process? A. If there were documents related to age discrimination, they may look at those documents. Q. How does a leader how would they
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17 18 19 10:28:39 20 21 22 23 24	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were making regarding the reported age discrimination? A. Typically, no. So if we're speaking of age discrimination, and the accused is accused of age discrimination, and we're asking if that accused individual would collect information about age discrimination from themselves, collect the information from other witnesses, typically that	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17 18 19 10:31:45 20 21 22 23 24	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant. Q. Would the leader look at any specific documents as part of this investigative process? A. If there were documents related to age discrimination, they may look at those documents. Q. How does a leader how would they determine whether or not there would be documents
2 3 4 10:27:41 5 6 7 8 9 10:28:03 10 11 12 13 14 10:28:18 15 16 17 18 19 10:28:39 20 21 22 23	on the details, that there's definitely a possibility that that an accused individual can also be a witness in other allegations, or that it would require them to provide information from other people. Q. Okay. Would witnesses that a leader talked to have the option of providing their feedback anonymously? A. No. Q. So would the accused individual know the substance of what the testimony was that the witnesses provided? A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure if I am understanding. Q. Sorry, I can rephrase that. Would the accused individual be aware of the statements other witnesses were making regarding the reported age discrimination? A. Typically, no. So if we're speaking of age discrimination, and the accused is accused of age discrimination, and we're asking if that accused individual would collect information about age discrimination from themselves, collect the	2 3 4 10:30:47 5 6 7 8 9 10:31:13 10 11 12 13 14 10:31:31 15 16 17 18 19 10:31:45 20 21 22 23	is prohibited, and what to do if they feel like they have been retaliated against for being part of the process. Q. Okay. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Besides speaking to witnesses, does the leader investigating a claim do anything else as part of the investigation? A. They speak with the accused individual, and if there are witnesses, again, but if they're not witnesses, then they would not be speaking with witnesses. And they would at times, depending on if it is the internal dispute resolution process, or the complaint process I mentioned earlier, they may speak with the complainant. Q. Would the leader look at any specific documents as part of this investigative process? A. If there were documents related to age discrimination, they may look at those documents. Q. How does a leader how would they

1	41 A. Well, the employee, the complainant,	1	43 If an allegation was about a pattern
2	would possibly indicate that there were particular	2	-
3	documents related to age discrimination. And if	3	of age discrimination, beyond a one-on-one conversation, would the investigator speak to
4	so they would review those documents.	4	other witnesses?
_	Q. Generally what type of evidence	_	
10:32:14 5	would be enough to substantiate a claim of age	10:36:34 5	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: If there were
7	discrimination in this investigative process?	7	
8	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	-	MS. DENNIS: I can try to figure out
9	THE WITNESS: If there is sorry,	8 9	a better way to phrase that.
10:33:01 10	could you repeat the question, please.	10:36:49 10	THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MS. DENNIS:
10:33:01	MS. DENNIS: Sure.	10:36:49	
12	BY MS. DENNIS:	12	Q. Would it be accurate to say that
13	Q. What type of evidence in this	13	sometimes age discrimination allegations involve
14	investigative process would be enough to	14	more than a one-on-one conversation involving age discrimination?
10:33:10 15	substantiate a claim of age discrimination?	10:37:09 15	
16	A. It's highly dependent on what the	16:37:09	.,
17			
	complainant has reported at the beginning of	17	involve kind of a pattern or practice of age
18 19	evidence. So, for example, if a complainant has indicated that they were discriminated against as	18	discrimination?
10:33:28 20	a part of a conversation between another	19	A. Yes, they definitely could.
10:33:28 20	•	10:37:24 20	Q. Do some age discrimination claims
22	individual, and that other individual denies the	21	involve sorry, do some age discrimination
23	claim, then we would consider that to be unsubstantiated. If the individual admits to the	22	claims
24		23 24	If an age discrimination claim
10:33:44 25	claim, they we would consider it to be substantiated.	10:38:19 25	alleged a pattern of age discrimination, would a
10:33:44 23	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	10:38:19 23	leader investigating that claim seek out JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	42	 	
1	Q. Are there any other ways a claim of	1	additional witnesses besides the accused?
2	age discrimination could be substantiated?	2	A. Only if that individual gave more
3	A. Yes. If there were witnesses that	3	context to the pattern they're alleging occurred.
4	supported the claim, that would be a way to	4	Simply indicating there's a pattern but with no
10:34:12 5	substantiate that there was a particular that	10:38:42 5	information to know what to look for, would not
6	there might have been something inappropriate,	6	necessarily be would not necessarily require
7	whether it be age discrimination or otherwise.	7	the investigator to reach out to random witnesses.
8	Q. And in the scenario where it	8	Q. So if the person making an
9	involved one individual's words against the other,	9	allegation of age discrimination pointed to
10:34:37 10	as part of the investigative process, would a	10:39:01 10	something specific, that would generally be
11	leader seek out other witnesses on their own?	11	investigated?
12	A. That's highly dependent on the	12	A. Yes.
13	situation. If it was a conversation between two	13	Q. Is there any type of evidence in an
14	individuals, they would not seek out other	14	investigation that would definitively rule out age
10:34:56 15	witnesses to ask about a conversation they were	10:39:25 15	discrimination?
16	not aware of, or that they may or may not have	16	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
17	known about.	17	THE WITNESS: Not I'm not sure.
18	Q. If a discrimination allegation was	18	BY MS. DENNIS:
19	based on more than a conversation, would the	19	Q. So there would not be one specific
10:35:20 20	leader do further investigation beyond sorry,	10:39:44 20	type of evidence that you would look that an
21	let me figure out a way to rephrase that.	21	investigator would look to and rely on that to
22	So if a claim of age discrimination	22	determine there was age discrimination; is that
23	involved something other than a single	23	right?
24	conversation sorry, that's not a better way to	24	A. I need just a minute to try to
O.E.			
10:36:00 25	say it either.	10:40:13 25	process what hypothetical sorry, could you just

Page 41 to 44 of 125

02/24/2020 04:45:47 PM

11 of 45 sheets

	15	T	/ ■
1	45 repeat the question one more time for me, please.	1	Q. What do they do?
2	MS. DENNIS: Sure. Could you read	2	A. If appropriate, it may not be
3	back the question.	3	appropriate in all cases, but if appropriate they
4	(Requested portion of testimony was read	4	may review colleague feedback submitted as part of
5	by the court reporter.)	10:43:48 5	that review. They may review previous reviews
6	THE WITNESS: Well, if the accused	6	submitted by previous managers that are not part
7	individual admitted to discriminating against	7	of that accusation.
8	somebody based on their age, then that would	8	They may, depending on the
9	definitely be something that they could look to to	9	situation, speak with other individuals. And they
10:40:55 10	substantiate that there had been age	10:44:05 10	may review or speak with individuals about any
11	discrimination.	11	particular action that the employee is claiming is
12	MS. DENNIS: I think there was a	12	part of the age discrimination complaint.
13	slight transcription error, at least to how I	13	Q. Does an investigator would there
14	understand I asked the question, was if there's a	14	be a circumstances in which an investigator would
10:41:06 15	specific type of information that would	10:44:41 15	look at any of the work performed by an employee
16	definitively rule out age discrimination in an	16	directly?
17	investigation.	17	A. In the internal dispute resolution
18	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.	18	process, it is not typically the responsibility of
19	BY MS. DENNIS:	19	the investigator at that point to review each
10:41:21 20	Q. Okay. It's all case specific?	10:44:55 20	individual assignment. It is to make a
21	A. Yes.	21	determination of whether the action the employee
22	Q. Does a leader performing an	22	is disputing, for example, termination, was an
23	investigation rely on an employee's performance	23	appropriate and warranted decision.
24	reviews to determine whether a claim of age	24	Q. Would there be any circumstances
10:41:47 25	discrimination would have merit?	10:45:23 25	where an investigator would look at the work
10.41.47	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	10.45.25	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	TOTAL CONTROL		
	46	ı	/IQ
1	46 A. It depends on if they're associating	1	48 performed by an employee directly as part of an
1 2	A. It depends on if they're associating	1 2	performed by an employee directly as part of an
_	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And	1	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation?
2	A. It depends on if they're associating	2	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety
2 3	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or	3	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's
2 3 4	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their	2 3 4	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like
2 3 4 10:42:11 5	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that	2 3 4 10:45:45 5	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim.	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee.
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee.
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination?	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question,	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated?
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please.	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No.
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. MS. DENNIS: Could you read that	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16 17	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. MS. DENNIS: Could you read that back, please.	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16 17	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be investigated just as thoroughly?
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16 17 18	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. MS. DENNIS: Could you read that back, please. (Requested portion of testimony was read	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16 17 18	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be investigated just as thoroughly? A. Yes. Q. Are there certain documents that
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16 17 18 19	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. MS. DENNIS: Could you read that back, please. (Requested portion of testimony was read by the court reporter.)	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16 17 18 19	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be investigated just as thoroughly? A. Yes.
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16 17 18 19	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. MS. DENNIS: Could you read that back, please. (Requested portion of testimony was read by the court reporter.) THE WITNESS: No.	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16 17 18 19 10:46:46 20	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be investigated just as thoroughly? A. Yes. Q. Are there certain documents that would have been available are there certain documents that would have been available in an
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16 17 18 19 10:43:18 20 21	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. MS. DENNIS: Could you read that back, please. (Requested portion of testimony was read by the court reporter.) THE WITNESS: No. BY MS. DENNIS:	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16 17 18 19 10:46:46 20 21	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be investigated just as thoroughly? A. Yes. Q. Are there certain documents that would have been available are there certain documents that would have been available in an investigation for a current employee that would no
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16 17 18 19 10:43:18 20 21 22	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. (Requested portion of testimony was read by the court reporter.) THE WITNESS: No. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Does an investigator do anything to	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16 17 18 19 10:46:46 20 21 22	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be investigated just as thoroughly? A. Yes. Q. Are there certain documents that would have been available — are there certain documents that would have been available in an investigation for a current employee that would no longer be available if the employee bringing the
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16 17 18 19 10:43:18 20 21 22 23	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. (Requested portion of testimony was read by the court reporter.) THE WITNESS: No. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Does an investigator do anything to independently substantiate the accuracy of a	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16 17 18 19 10:46:46 20 21 22 23 24	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be investigated just as thoroughly? A. Yes. Q. Are there certain documents that would have been available — are there certain documents that would have been available in an investigation for a current employee that would no longer be available if the employee bringing the claim had been terminated?
2 3 4 10:42:11 5 6 7 8 9 10:42:37 10 11 12 13 14 10:42:59 15 16 17 18 19 10:43:18 20 21 22 23 24	A. It depends on if they're associating an action as a result of age discrimination. And if they are, they would investigate that act or action taken, and if that is related to their performance, they would definitely review their performance reviews and try to understand that action that's being associated by the complainant as part of an age discrimination claim. Q. I see. Does the weight of that performance review does the weight in the investigation of that performance review change if the person who wrote the review is the person accused of age discrimination? A. Could you repeat the question, please. (Requested portion of testimony was read by the court reporter.) THE WITNESS: No. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Does an investigator do anything to independently substantiate the accuracy of a performance review?	2 3 4 10:45:45 5 6 7 8 9 10:46:01 10 11 12 13 14 10:46:32 15 16 17 18 19 10:46:46 20 21 22 23	performed by an employee directly as part of an investigation? A. Because there's such a wide variety of allegations and types of allegations, it's difficult to say no to any kind of question like that, so I guess I can't say no. But it is not typical as part of the internal dispute resolution process for the investigator to get into the minutiae of the particular projects of the employee. Q. I see. Is the investigative process any different for a current employee bringing age discrimination claim compared to an employee who has been terminated? A. No. Q. So either way the claim would be investigated just as thoroughly? A. Yes. Q. Are there certain documents that would have been available — are there certain documents that would have been available in an investigation for a current employee that would no longer be available if the employee bringing the

	49		51
1	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.	1	BY MS. DENNIS:
2	BY MS. DENNIS:	2	Q. What would happen if a claim of age
3	Q. For example, let me clarify that	3	discrimination by a current employee is
4	question. When an employee is terminated	4	substantiated?
10:47:40 5	sorry, strike that.	10:51:37 5	A. If we substantiated that there was
6	In an investigation for a current	6	age discrimination, there would be typically some
7	employee who brings a claim of age discrimination,	7	disciplinary action taken on the accused.
8	could that employee provide notes about the	8	Q. What does that mean?
9	alleged age discrimination that they put onto	9	A. Depending on the severity of the
10:48:14 10	their work computer?	10:51:51 10	situation, it could possibly lead to termination.
11	A. Yes.	11	For a less egregious substantiated allegation, it
12	Q. And for an employee who has been	12	might result in a final warning.
13	terminated that is alleging age discrimination,	13	<u>-</u>
14	would those documents on their previous work		MS. JEZIERSKI: Is this a good time
10:48:42 15	·	14	to take a break?
10:48:42	computer also be available as part of the	10:52:17 15	MS. DENNIS: Sure.
17	investigation?	16	(Short break.)
	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	17	MS. DENNIS: Let's go back on the
18	THE WITNESS: Typically, no.	18	record.
19	BY MS. DENNIS:	19	BY MS. DENNIS:
10:48:53 20	Q. Why is that?	11:01:25 20	Q. So I think before we went off the
21	A. Because the computer is typically	21	record we were talking about what would happen to
22	wiped when an employee is no longer employed in	22	a person accused of age discrimination if that
23	order to repurpose the computer.	23	claim was substantiated. And let me know if this
24	Q. So there would be no backup for the	24	is correct. If it was substantiated it could lead
10:49:22 25	documents contained on an employee's work	11:01:52 25	to possibly lead to termination, or if it was
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	50		52
1	computer?	1	less egregious could result in a final Corrective
2	A. If it is if we're talking about	2	Action Form; is that right?
2	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a	2 3	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology	2 3 4	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any
2 3 4 10:49:39 5	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty	2 3 4 11:02:28 5	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that.
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6 7	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents.	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6 7 8	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no	11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that?	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there.
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at
2 3 4 10.49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination
2 3 4 10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 10:50:19 15	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated?
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 10:50:19 15 16	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made.	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 10:50:19 15 16 17	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it?	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed.
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 10:50:19 15 16 17 18	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it? A. Yes.	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15 16	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed. MS. DENNIS: Okay.
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it?	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15 16 17	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed. MS. DENNIS: Okay. BY MS. DENNIS:
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it? A. Yes.	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15 16 17 18	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed. MS. DENNIS: Okay. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you know?
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 10:50:19 15 16 17 18 19	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Typically would sorry.	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15 16 17 18 19	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed. MS. DENNIS: Okay. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you know? A. I can't speak to that specifically.
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 10:50:19 15 16 17 18 19 10:50:46 20	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Typically would sorry. After UnitedHealth found that there	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15 16 17 18 19 11:03:43 20	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed. MS. DENNIS: Okay. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you know? A. I can't speak to that specifically. Q. So is that I understand your
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 10:50:19 15 16 17 18 19 10:50:46 20 21	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Typically would sorry. After UnitedHealth found that there was no age discrimination, would that employee	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15 16 17 18 19 11:03:43 20 21	Action Form; is that right? A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed. MS. DENNIS: Okay. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you know? A. I can't speak to that specifically. Q. So is that I understand your counsel has objected to it being outside of the
10:49:39	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Typically would sorry. After UnitedHealth found that there was no age discrimination, would that employee remain under the same supervisor typically?	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15 16 17 18 19 11:03:43 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed. MS. DENNIS: Okay. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you know? A. I can't speak to that specifically. Q. So is that I understand your counsel has objected to it being outside of the deposition topics, but you can still answer if you
10:49:39 5 6 7 8 9 10:49:59 10 11 12 13 14 10:50:19 15 16 17 18 19 10:50:46 20 21 22 23	A. If it is if we're talking about something on a hard drive, then, no, there's not a backup that I'm on aware of. I'm not a technology person, so I can't say with 100 percent certainty to my knowledge that there's any type of access to any backup documents. Q. Okay. So if a current employee claims that they are being discriminated against by a supervisor due to their age, and after an investigation UnitedHealth concludes there was no age discrimination, what would happen after that? A. In the case of an internal dispute resolution, the employee would receive a response in writing indicating the findings of the the allegations made. Q. Is that it? A. Yes. Q. Typically would sorry. After UnitedHealth found that there was no age discrimination, would that employee remain under the same supervisor typically? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	2 3 4 11:02:28 5 6 7 8 9 11:03:10 10 11 12 13 14 11:03:29 15 16 17 18 19 11:03:43 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. In the last four years have any claims of age discrimination let me strike that. Is the scenario you discussed about if the person accused of age discrimination was found to have engaged sorry, I'm not making sense there. In the last four years at UnitedHealth, has any claim of age discrimination been substantiated? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection. That's beyond the scope of the topics listed. MS. DENNIS: Okay. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Do you know? A. I can't speak to that specifically. Q. So is that I understand your counsel has objected to it being outside of the deposition topics, but you can still answer if you know.

	53		
1		1	55 A. Yes. There are performance reviews
2	,	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	, ,	3	is a proactive review given yearly regardless of
4		4	performance deficiencies. And that procedure
11:04:41 5	and the second district of pooling any	11:07:57 5	involves typically the employee being asked for a
6		6	self-evaluation, the manager collecting colleague
7		7	feedback, and the manager providing their
8		8	assessment based on their consideration of those
9		9	things as well as their own knowledge and
11:05:05 10	-	11:08:14 10	information to produce their common review.
11	advising the anti-age discrimination policy?	11	For the second type of review, it's
12	• •	12	what's called an interim review, and we consider
13	parpire in the same parpire in the same in	13	interim reviews to be both proactive and reactive,
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	meaning they can be done just dictated by the
11:05:21 15	- · · ·	11:08:37 15	business, the part of the business requires
16	_	16	•
17	analytic department that would be responsible for	17	perhaps. But more commonly they're done when there are concerns with performance that need to
18	posting it. There would be people in the employee	18	be addressed.
19	relations compliance department to make sure that	19	And in that process there are the
11:05:38 20	it's in compliance with any state or federal laws	11:08:49 20	only requirement in that process, is to have the
21	that it might intersect with. And so there are	21	manager complete the interim review. But a
22	various parties. But typically the majority are	22	typical part of that process would also be to
23	employee relations personnel.	23	collect colleague feedback to assist the manager
24	Q. So there would be no changes in the	24	in making the proper assessment.
11:05:56 25	last few years that would affect how	11:09:06 25	Q. And when you say collect colleague
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	54		56
1	investigations are conducted	1	feedback, is that is that done informally by
2	A. No.	2	the manager?
3	Q. is that right?	3	A. It can be. Typically it is done in
4	So I would like to switch gears a	4	the system. They send a request through the
11:06:23 5	little bit and talk about performance reviews at	11:09:21 5	people's soft tool for a person to complete a
6	UnitedHealth.	6	colleague review.
7	Does UnitedHealth have a policy	7	Q. And you said that's part of the
8	related to employee performance reviews?	8	common review process as well?
9	A. There's not a policy. There is a	9	A. Yes.
11:06:40 10	procedure with procedure documents out there, but	11:09:38 10	Q. So is that, reaching out for
11	I'm not aware of an official policy.	11	colleague feedback, is that a requirement for
12	Q. So would these procedure documents	12	these reviews for the common review?
13	you referred to be a part of an employee handbook?	13	A. No.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. So is that done at the discretion of
11:07:03 15	Q. Would they be reflected anywhere	11:09:59 15	the manager?
16	else?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. Well, our employee handbook is on	17	Q. If the manager does seek out
18	our company intranet site, and that's where they	18	colleague feedback for an employee's review, does
19	would be reflected. They're also reflected in the	19	the manager select the colleagues to provide
11:07:17 20	people soft tool that we have available, where the	11:10:18 20	feedback on that employee's performance?
21	actual reviews are completed. There's documents	21	A. It depends. Some managers ask the
22	for employees and managers.	22	employee to provide names that they can request
23	Q. Can you give me kind of a general	23	feedback from, and some managers will request
24	overview of what that procedure for performance	24	feedback from everyone on the team depending on
11:07:37 25	reviews entail?	11:10:32 25	how large the team is. And some will request
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

4	57		59
1	feedback from those that interact regularly with	1	or two during the review to assist with
2	the individual, so that would have the most	2	performance conversations, but there's no specific
3	information on the individual's performance.	3	identifying information provided.
4	Q. Okay. So it's discretionary?	4	Q. Okay. But the is it correct that
1:10:50 5	A. Yes.	11:14:18 5	the manager can identify who which colleagues
6	Q. And you said there's common review	6	provided what feedback?
7	and the interim review, are there other types of	7	A. Yes.
8	performance reviews?	8	Q. What is a Corrective Action Form?
9	A. No.	9	A. A corrective action plan actually is
1:11:11 10	Q. For the colleague feedback, would	11:14:47 10	what we refer to it as, and it is what other
11	they be well, I'll back up.	11	organizations may call a write-up or some sort o
12	Is there a standard form used for	12	document to document that there is a concern,
13	common reviews?	13	whether it be for performance, inappropriate
14	A. Yes.	14	behavior, policy violation, something like that.
1:11:34 15	Q. When colleagues are asked to provide	11:15:09 15	So it is a document utilized to address a
16	feedback on an employee, is that feedback does	16	performance or behavioral conduct issue.
17	it track the form with the common review format?	17	Q. And are there is there a
18	A. Yes.	18	particular policy at UnitedHealth that governs how
19	Q. So the colleagues are asked to	19	these corrective action plans are used?
11:12:11 20	provide feedback on the same criteria that are	11:15:40 20	A. There's not a policy. There is a
21	present in the common review; is that right?	21	procedure.
22	A. No.	22	Q. And is this procedure similar to the
23	Q. Okay. How is it different?	23	performance review procedure?
24	 A. There are questions for colleague 	24	A. No.
11:12:22 25	feedback to, first of all, identify the	11:16:00 25	Q. Okay. I'll back up. Is there
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	58	1	
	- 5		60
1	relationship between the colleague and the person	1	60 would this procedure be documented in any
1 2		1 2	
_	relationship between the colleague and the person		would this procedure be documented in any
2	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request	2	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents?
2 3 4	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what	2 3	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group
2 3 4	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well.	2 3 4	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correction
2 3 4 1:12:39 5	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote,	2 3 4 11:16:19 5	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correcti action process, yes.
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correcti action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correcti action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format.	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correcti action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correcti action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in hor
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correcti action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in ho to write one, and there's also documents to help
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correcti action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in hor
2 3 4 11:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review?	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correct action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in ho to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself.
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so.	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correcti action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in ho to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so.	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correct action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in ho to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself.
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 1:13:18 15	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correct action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in ho to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 1:13:18 15 16	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the employee being evaluated be provided with their	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15 16	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correct action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in ho to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action plan? A. Yes.
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 1:13:18 15 16 17	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the employee being evaluated be provided with their colleagues' feedback?	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15 16 17	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correct action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in he to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action plan? A. Yes. Q. And what is that procedure?
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 1:13:18 15 16 17 18 19	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the employee being evaluated be provided with their colleagues' feedback? A. They may in general be provided with	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15 16 17 18 19	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the corrective action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in hot to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action plan? A. Yes. Q. And what is that procedure? A. The internal dispute resolution
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 1:13:18 15 16 17 18 19	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the employee being evaluated be provided with their colleagues' feedback? A. They may in general be provided with some information. But they're not provided with	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15 16 17 18 19	would this procedure be documented in any UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correct action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in ho to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action plan? A. Yes. Q. And what is that procedure? A. The internal dispute resolution procedure.
2 3 4 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 1:13:18 15 16 17 18 19 19 1:13:49 20 21	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the employee being evaluated be provided with their colleagues' feedback? A. They may in general be provided with some information. But they're not provided with the actual review colleague reviews themselves,	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15 16 17 18 19 11:17:37 20 21	UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correct action process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in he to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action plan? A. Yes. Q. And what is that procedure? A. The internal dispute resolution procedure. Q. Can you explain to me how that if
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 1:13:49 20 21 22	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the employee being evaluated be provided with their colleagues' feedback? A. They may in general be provided with some information. But they're not provided with the actual review colleague reviews themselves, and they're not necessarily given and typically	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15 16 17 18 19 11:17:37 20 21 22	UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correction process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in hot to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action plan? A. Yes. Q. And what is that procedure? A. The internal dispute resolution procedure. Q. Can you explain to me how that if an employee took was just disputing the
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 1:13:18 15 16 17 18 19 1:13:49 20 21 22 23	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the employee being evaluated be provided with their colleagues' feedback? A. They may in general be provided with the actual review colleague reviews themselves, and they're not necessarily given and typically given the identity of the person giving the	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15 16 17 18 19 11:17:37 20 21 22 23	UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correction process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in hot to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action plan? A. Yes. Q. And what is that procedure? A. The internal dispute resolution procedure. Q. Can you explain to me how that if an employee took was just disputing the substance of a corrective action plan, how they
2 3 4 1:12:39 5 6 7 8 9 1:12:52 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 1:13:49 20 21 22	relationship between the colleague and the person they're getting feedback on. And then the request is for to determine the request is what could they do better, what are they doing well. So in essence, that's not a quote, but it is the so there's approximately four questions that are asked. So it is not the same format. Q. Okay. And are those colleague reviews used for any other purposes other than informing the, either the common review or the interim review? A. Not not as I am understanding the question. So no, I don't think so. Q. For the colleague feedback on common reviews and interim reviews, would a person the employee being evaluated be provided with their colleagues' feedback? A. They may in general be provided with some information. But they're not provided with the actual review colleague reviews themselves, and they're not necessarily given and typically	2 3 4 11:16:19 5 6 7 8 9 11:16:45 10 11 12 13 14 11:17:24 15 16 17 18 19 11:17:37 20 21 22	UnitedHealth documents? A. There are UnitedHealth Group documents that have information on the correction process, yes. Q. What would those documents be? A. In the employee intranet site there are there's a document for managers that is for corrective action guidance. There are corrective action plan examples to assist the manager in hot to write one, and there's also documents to help them in the system to create the actual form itself. Q. Is there a procedure for an employee to dispute the substance of a corrective action plan? A. Yes. Q. And what is that procedure? A. The internal dispute resolution procedure. Q. Can you explain to me how that if an employee took was just disputing the

	61		63
1	A. The first step to dispute a	1	corrective action plan, at that point, typically,
2	corrective action would be to enter employee	2	is that is the final corrective action plan
3	comments, if they choose to go through the	3	filed immediately prior to termination typically?
4	internal dispute resolution process. They can	4	A. No. Typically it is filed well,
11:18:20 5	enter comments regardless; but part of the process	11:21:54 5	I guess I'm not sure what immediately means. But
6	is to have them enter comments and speak with	6	typically the final level is issued, and then the
7	their manager about those comments.	7	employee would be terminated should they continue
8	Q. What would the next step be in the	8	to have an issue.
9	IDR process?	9	Q. So generally there is still an
11:18:37 10	A. The employee would if they're not	11:22:09 10	opportunity for an employee to correct
11	able to get resolution from the manager's	11	A. Yes.
12	conversation about their comments, then they would	12	Q their behavior or performance?
13	submit what's called an internal dispute	13	Is there any circumstance in which an
14	resolution form to employee relations indicating	14	employee would not be able to provide their own
11:18:54 15	what they're disputing, why.	11:22:31 15	comments or feedback on a corrective action plan?
16	Q. So if an employee didn't submit the	16	A. Not that I am aware of. Once the
17	IDR form and they just disputed a corrective	17	corrective action is submitted, the employee gets
18	action plan through their comments, the IDR	18	an email notifying them that there is a corrective
19	process wouldn't be initiated?	19	action, and they can provide comments if they
11:19:17 20	A. No.	11:22:51 20	choose to.
21	Q. So it requires the employee to	21	Q. Just going back to the performance
22	affirmatively reach out to the	22	reviews for a moment. We've discussed the common
23	A. Yes.	23	review and the interim review. Would there be any
24	Q. So are there various levels within	24	reason why a performance review would be submitted
11:19:52 25	the corrective action plan framework?	11:23:08 25	after an employee was terminated?
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	,,,,,,,,,	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	62		64
1	A. Yes.	1	A. Timing, typically. The performance
2	Q. Can you explain to me about those?	2	review process is a little extensive, so managers
3	A. There is an initial level, what's	3	start completing them in the fall. And typically,
4	called an elevated level, and what's called a		
	canca an elevated levely and what's canca a	4	then, they're making decisions on financial
11:20:10 5	final level. They are not required to be	11:23:33 5	then, they're making decisions on financial decisions, following that. So it's kind of this
11:20:10 5	,		
	final level. They are not required to be	11:23:33 5	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this
6	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a	11:23:33 5	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee
6 7	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use.	11:23:33 5 6 7	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes
6 7 8	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a	11:23:33 5 6 7 8	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the
6 7 8 9	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system.
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan.
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action	11:23:33	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that.
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more	11:23:33	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review.
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10 11 12 13 14	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10 11 12 13 14 11:24:00 15 16 17	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17 18	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the manager's discretion.	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10 11 12 13 14 11:24:00 15 16 17 18	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's employee handbook and other policies.
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17 18 19	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the manager's discretion. And then the final level would be	11:23:33	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's employee handbook and other policies. You said before that UnitedHealth
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17 18 19 11:21:04 20	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the manager's discretion. And then the final level would be considered the level used for the most severe	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10 11 12 13 14 11:24:00 15 16 17 18	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's employee handbook and other policies.
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17 18 19 11:21:04 20 21	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the manager's discretion. And then the final level would be considered the level used for the most severe of performance issues that have been either	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10 11 12 13 14 11:24:00 15 16 17 18 19 11:24:45 20 21	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's employee handbook and other policies. You said before that UnitedHealth
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17 18 19 11:21:04 20 21 22	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the manager's discretion. And then the final level would be considered the level used for the most severe of performance issues that have been either previously documented or extremely egregious, and	11:23:33	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's employee handbook and other policies. You said before that UnitedHealth has an employee handbook available on its intranet site, correct? A. Yes. It's we call it the
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17 18 19 11:21:04 20 21 22 23	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the manager's discretion. And then the final level would be considered the level used for the most severe of performance issues that have been either previously documented or extremely egregious, and also egregious policy violations or inappropriate	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10 11 12 13 14 11:24:00 15 16 17 18 19 11:24:45 20 21	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's employee handbook and other policies. You said before that UnitedHealth has an employee handbook available on its intranet site, correct?
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17 18 19 11:21:04 20 21 22 23 24	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the manager's discretion. And then the final level would be considered the level used for the most severe of performance issues that have been either previously documented or extremely egregious, and also egregious policy violations or inappropriate behavior.	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10 11 12 13 14 11:24:00 15 16 17 18 19 11:24:45 20 21 22 23 24	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's employee handbook and other policies. You said before that UnitedHealth has an employee handbook available on its intranet site, correct? A. Yes. It's we call it the intranet essentially the policy area of our intranet is the handbook.
6 7 8 9 11:20:33 10 11 12 13 14 11:20:48 15 16 17 18 19 11:21:04 20 21 22 23	final level. They are not required to be progressive, or there's no particular level that a manager is required to use. The initial level is for maybe a first typically can be used for a first time corrective action for a kind of less egregious violation, or a performance concern that's not been documented through the corrective action process. The elevated could also be used for similar reasons, but may be considered to be more of a serious performance issue, or more a serious violation of policy or behavior. But it's at the manager's discretion. And then the final level would be considered the level used for the most severe of performance issues that have been either previously documented or extremely egregious, and also egregious policy violations or inappropriate	11:23:33 5 6 7 8 9 11:23:47 10 11 12 13 14 11:24:00 15 16 17 18 19 11:24:45 20 21 22 23	decisions, following that. So it's kind of this long process, so it is possible that an employee could be terminated in between those timeframes and that the corrective action would be in the system. Q. Sorry, I'm not talking about the corrective action plan. A. Sorry about that. Q. The common review. A. Yes. The common review would be in the system. Q. Okay. I'd like to shift gears again and talk more generally about UnitedHealth's employee handbook and other policies. You said before that UnitedHealth has an employee handbook available on its intranet site, correct? A. Yes. It's we call it the intranet essentially the policy area of our

	65		67
1		1	And then they let employee relations
2	A. There's an attendance policy,	2	know the conversation had been held, and the
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	employee relations will enter the termination into
4		4	the system.
11:25:43 5		11:28:59 5	Q. And are there any circumstances
6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6	after a termination in which an employee would be
7		7	reinstated into their position?
8		8	A. Yes.
9		9	
11:26:06 10		_	
11:26:06 10		11:29:15 10	A. If an employee was successful in
12	_	11	proving their internal dispute resolution case, or
13		12	if during that review of the internal dispute
	p	13	resolution through the internal dispute
14	5 5	14	resolution process, it was determined that maybe
11:26:44 15		11:29:29 15	there weren't that the termination was not
16		16	warranted, or that a different decision could have
17	termination. So, for example, the attendance	17	been made, there may be a recommendation to
18	policy, within that attendance policy it talks	18	reinstate the individual.
19	about how many unplanned absences might result in	19	Q. So you say a recommendation; who has
11:27:01 20		11:29:44 20	the final say on that?
21	And then there's also a document in	21	A. There should I should have said
22		22	decision. The decision is with the leader that
23	policy, but it talks about employee termination.	23	reviews the internal that is responsible for
24	,	24	the internal dispute resolution, and they may
11:27:21 25	involuntary situation, who to call, things like	11:29:59 25	decide to reinstate the employee.
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
ند .	66		68
1	that.	1	Q. And in the last four years do you
2	Q. And could you walk me through the	2	know if UnitedHealth has reinstated an employee
3	1	3	after that employee's manager has terminated them?
4	,	4	MS. JEZIERSKI: I am going to
11:27:37 5	for their corrective action plan, and what they	11:30:13 5	object. This is beyond the scope of the topics
6	would do to initiate the termination procedure?	6	listed in the 30(b)(6) Notice.
7	A. Yes. The manager would contact	7	MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you
8	employee relations through HRdirect and open a	8	understood the question.
9	case, and one of our employees would contact the	9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11:27:59 10	manager to discuss the concerns to kind of	10	BY MS. DENNIS:
11	understand what the manager is indicating is, you	11	Q. And what were those circumstances?
12	know what the employee is not performing. The	12	MS. JEZIERSKI: Same objection.
13	employee relations person would review all the	13	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the
14	documents previously issued to the employee to	14	particular circumstances from a company
11:28:19 15	ensure that the employee was or just kind of	11:30:31 15	representative standpoint. I'm aware of my own
16	understand what the employee was being asked to do	16	cases and experiences, and I would say within the
		17	last four years I do not know of any specifics.
17	and understand the history of the employee. And		
17 18	and understand the history of the employee. And if they are they will provide a recommendation.	18	However, I do know that there has been
			However, I do know that there has been reinstatements.
18 19	if they are they will provide a recommendation.	18	
18 19	if they are they will provide a recommendation. But it is the manager's discretion as to whether	18 19	reinstatements.
18 19 11:28:36 20	if they are they will provide a recommendation. But it is the manager's discretion as to whether or not they take that termination action.	18 19 11:30:49 20	reinstatements. BY MS. DENNIS:
18 19 11:28:36 20 21	if they are they will provide a recommendation. But it is the manager's discretion as to whether or not they take that termination action. But employee relations typically	18 19 11:30:49 20 21	reinstatements. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Okay. Have there been any formal or
18 19 11:28:36 20 21 22	if they are they will provide a recommendation. But it is the manager's discretion as to whether or not they take that termination action. But employee relations typically will provide their recommendation on that. And	18 19 11:30:49 20 21 22	reinstatements. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Okay. Have there been any formal or informal complaints regarding Sujatha
18 19 11:28:36 20 21 22 23	if they are they will provide a recommendation. But it is the manager's discretion as to whether or not they take that termination action. But employee relations typically will provide their recommendation on that. And then the manager will communicate the termination	18 19 11:30:49 20 21 22 23	reinstatements. BY MS. DENNIS: Q. Okay. Have there been any formal or informal complaints regarding Sujatha Duraimanickam related to age discrimination

		1	
1	69 Q. Are there any documents you could	4	71
2	,,	1	There wouldn't be a need to document
3		2	any type of corrective action things because we
4	_	3	have access to that in a different system.
	A. There there are documents that I	4	Q. So, if a leader who is performing an
11:32:09 5	could internally review to see if there were	11:35:00 5	investigation for a claim of age discrimination
6		6	spoke with a witness, would that generally be
7	Q. Did you review those	7	reflected in the HR case system?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. If it is a regular employee
9	Q. Sorry. So are there any other	9	complaint and not an internal dispute resolution.
11:32:21 10	documents that would reflect complaints or reports	11:35:23 10	Q. And for the internal dispute
11	of age discrimination made regarding Ms.	11	resolution, are documents related to those kept in
12	Duraimanickam?	12	a different system?
13	A. Not that I'm aware of. Any	13	A. There are the employee the
14	complaints that are filed, or made I should say,	14	internal dispute resolution process requires that
11:32:45 15	would should be in the HR case system unless it	11:35:44 15	we provide a written response to the employee, and
16	wasn't reported.	16	contained within that response typically it is
17	Q. Can you tell me a little bit about	17	documented what was reviewed, and who was spoken
18	how the HR case system works?	18	with.
19	A. Yes. It is a database that we all	19	Q. Does the IDR process require any
11:33:06 20	have access to. When I say we all, I mean people	11:36:04 20	other documents other than the written response
21	in HRdirect. Employee relations has kind of a	21	and that document, what was reviewed and who was
22	heightened access, so to speak, so that we can	22	spoken to?
23	review confidential notes and such. And within	23	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection to form.
24	that system it is documented what the employee is	24	THE WITNESS: The employee's
11:33:20 25	complaining about, or the manager, if they're	11:36:16 25	internal dispute resolution filing. And both of
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	70		72
1	contacting us about an employee. There's	1	those items, I should mention, are contained
2	documentation about conversations between the	2	within our HR system as well, so I may have been
3	manager and/or employee with the employee	3	unclear on that. So the response to the employee
4	relations person, email correspondence between the	4	as well as the employee's initial filing are
11:33:34 5	two, if necessary, not always, but if relevant or	11:36:32 5	contained within our HR system.
6	if the employee relations case manager thinks that	6	Q. Okay. If a leader investigating a
7	it's something that should be added.	7	claim of age discrimination spoke to a witness, is
8	And it's a it's a system of	8	there any requirement in the IDR process that
9	records that we have the ability to look into	9	would require written notes of that sorry,
11:33:50 10	history with.	11:37:04 10	strike that.
11	Q. And are those documents sorry.	11	Do you know if the documents created
12	Once an investigation has concluded,	12	in the IDR process are are kept after an
13	are those documents kept in the HR case system?	13	investigation is concluded?
14	A. It depends.	14	A. Documents such as the employee's IDR
11:34:10 15	Q. What does it depend on?	11:37:46 15	filing and the IDR response are kept in our case
16	A. If we're talking about internal	16	system.
17	dispute resolution, that's a process of in and of	17	Q. Would there be any documents that
18	itself where the leader is highly responsible and	18	would be discarded after the conclusion of the IDR
19	contains their documents and their decision.	19	process?
11:34:23 20	If it's something that we have more	11:38:04 20	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; beyond
21	involvement in, we as an employee relations	21	the scope of the notice.
22	professional, we may decide to add documents in to	22	THE WITNESS: Uh, I'm not sure if I
23	the case system if we think it's relevant to the	23	understand that question.
24	situation. We will, you know, document the	24	BY MS. DENNIS:
11:34:39 25	conversation with the employee, et cetera.	11:38:14 25	Q. Are there any documents that are
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

	70	1	
1	73 that are part of the IDR process that would not be	1	75 was having a difficult time understanding what was
2	·	2	being said during the call. I know that it was
3	·	3	
4		4	brought up in the initial conversations with me,
11:38:32 5		_	and I know that it was brought up in the follow-up
11:38:32 6			emails that he sent to us after the meeting. But
7	_	6	my recollection of the meeting was that he
_		7	wasn't he didn't get through what he wanted to,
8	,,	8	and I was having a difficult time understanding
9	, 1	9	exactly what was being said. So I can't say for
11:38:52 10		12:41:56 10	certain. But I guess, if I had to guess, I would
11		11	say yes, he probably did say something.
12	,	12	Q. We can back up before we talk about
13	,	13	a specific call.
14		14	(Deposition Exhibit No. 3
11:39:02 15		12:42:49 15	was marked for identification.)
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16	BY MS. DENNIS:
17	3 ,	17	Q. You've just been handed a document
18		18	marked Exhibit 3; do you recognize this document?
19	,	19	A. Yes.
12:38:35 20		12:42:55 20	Q. What is this document?
21		21	A. This is an internal dispute
22	,	22	resolution filing from Yufan Zhang.
23		23	Q. And was this the first filing for
24		24	the internal dispute resolution process filed by
12:39:34 25	,	12:43:12 25	Mr. Zhang?
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	<u> </u>	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
1	74 a report of age discrimination to UnitedHealth?	1	76 A. Yes.
2		2	Q. And do you know what this dispute
3		3	resolution appeal form sorry, let me back up.
4		4	At the top of this document it's
12:39:51 5	_	12:43:27 5	marked Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form; is
6	,	6	that correct?
7		7	A. Yes.
8		8	Q. What is this form appealing?
9		1	
12:40:12 10	made if I remember correctly, he submitted his	9	., 5
	••	12:43:40 10	A. This form is appealing his
11	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the	12:43:40 10	A. This form is appealing his termination.
1	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang	12:43:40 10	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you
11 12 13	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another	12:43:40 10 11 12	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal
12	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up	12:43:40 10 11 12 13	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing?
12 13	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before.	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
12 13 14	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it,
12 13 14 12:40:36 15	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the
12 13 14 12:40:36 15	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of any complaint that he had filed with relation to that at all.	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the reasons for his termination, indicating he did not
12 13 14 12:40:36 15 16 17	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of any complaint that he had filed with relation to that at all. Q. Okay. You said you had a formal	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15 16 17	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the reasons for his termination, indicating he did not have the performance issues that were reported in
12 13 14 12:40:36 15 16 17 18	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of any complaint that he had filed with relation to that at all. Q. Okay. You said you had a formal conference call with Frank and David Drysdale; is	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15 16 17 18 19	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the reasons for his termination, indicating he did not have the performance issues that were reported in his corrective actions that preceded his
12 13 14 12:40:36 15 16 17 18	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of any complaint that he had filed with relation to that at all. Q. Okay. You said you had a formal	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15 16 17 18	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the reasons for his termination, indicating he did not have the performance issues that were reported in his corrective actions that preceded his termination. And he provided responses to the
12 13 14 12:40:36 15 16 17 18 19	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of any complaint that he had filed with relation to that at all. Q. Okay. You said you had a formal conference call with Frank and David Drysdale; is that right?	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15 16 17 18 19 12:44:26 20	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the reasons for his termination, indicating he did not have the performance issues that were reported in his corrective actions that preceded his termination. And he provided responses to the items that were within that corrective action
12 13 14 12:40:36 15 16 17 18 19 12:40:52 20 21	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of any complaint that he had filed with relation to that at all. Q. Okay. You said you had a formal conference call with Frank and David Drysdale; is that right? A. Yes.	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15 16 17 18 19 12:44:26 20 21	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the reasons for his termination, indicating he did not have the performance issues that were reported in his corrective actions that preceded his termination. And he provided responses to the items that were within that corrective action plan, and talked about specific projects that he
12 13 14 12:40:36 15 16 17 18 19 12:40:52 20 21 22	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of any complaint that he had filed with relation to that at all. Q. Okay. You said you had a formal conference call with Frank and David Drysdale; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And in that call did Frank raise his claim of age discrimination?	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15 16 17 18 19 12:44:26 20 21 22	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the reasons for his termination, indicating he did not have the performance issues that were reported in his corrective actions that preceded his termination. And he provided responses to the items that were within that corrective action plan, and talked about specific projects that he had performed in and why they he shouldn't have
12 13 14 12:40:36 15 16 17 18 19 12:40:52 20 21 22 23	internal dispute filing, and then after we had the formal conference call between myself, Mr. Zhang and Mr. Drysdale, he sent me an email with another alleged comment that hadn't been brought up before. But prior to that, I'm not aware of any complaint that he had filed with relation to that at all. Q. Okay. You said you had a formal conference call with Frank and David Drysdale; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And in that call did Frank raise his claim of age discrimination?	12:43:40 10 11 12 13 14 12:44:05 15 16 17 18 19 12:44:26 20 21 22 23	A. This form is appealing his termination. Q. And what specific facts do you understand this Internal Dispute Resolution Appeal Form to be disputing? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: As I understand it, Mr. Zhang, through this form, was disputing the reasons for his termination, indicating he did not have the performance issues that were reported in his corrective actions that preceded his termination. And he provided responses to the items that were within that corrective action plan, and talked about specific projects that he

		T	
1	77 Q. So when Frank filed this form, who	4	79
		1	grammatical errors, and make sure that it at least
3	was the first person to see it? A. Well, technically it would have been	2	speaks to the primary issues that the employee
4	A. Well, technically it would have been whoever receives our faxes and attaches them to	3	raised in the in the response
		4	Q. I see.
12:45:29 5	the cases. And then it would have been seen,	12:48:25 5	A in the dispute.
7	just not reviewed, but seen quickly by the person who assigns cases to us. So those would be		Q. Is there any sort of timeline or
8	the first two people and then myself would have	7 8	deadline to provide a response to an IDR Appeal
9	been the third.	9	Form? A. Are you speaking of the response to
12:45:43 10	Q. Do you know who else would have seen	12:48:41 10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
11	this particular form?	12:48:41 10	the employee, I am assuming? Q. Yes.
12	A. In this case the third level manager	12	
13	was first contacted, which is our process, to hear	13	A. Yes. The typical timeframe to receive a response after the meeting has been
14	his IDR, and so he did get a copy of this. I	14	held, the conference call that was mentioned, is
12:46:05 15	assume he reviewed it, but I don't know if he did.	12:48:56 15	30 days.
16	Q. Did anyone else see this form?	16	Q. Okay. And so after you received the
17	A. And then David Drysdale received a	17	IDR Appeal Form, was the next step to schedule
18	copy of this form.	18	that conference call?
19	Q. And who is David Drysdale?	19	A. The next step was for me to identify
12:46:25 20	A. He is the vice-president of Optum	12:49:12 20	who was going to hear his internal dispute
21	Human Capital, and he was chosen to hear	21	resolution, and then to schedule the conference
22	Mr. Zhang's IDR.	22	call.
23	Q. Who chose him to hear?	23	Q. And what was the purpose of the
24	A. I did.	24	conference call?
12:46:42 25	Q. Did Mr. Drysdale have any personal	12:49:29 25	A. The purpose of the conference call
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	78		80
1	or professional connection to Mr. Zhang other than	1	is to give the employee a chance to raise any
2	working also working at Optum?	2	additional issues that they may have. But the
3	A. He did not.	3	primary purpose and so that they can verbally
4	Q. Okay. So is that everyone who would	4	communicate the concerns that have already been
12:47:04 5	have received a copy of this particular form?	12:49:47 5	typically submitted through the IDR, but it's also
6	A. I'm just thinking to make sure. So,	6	for that person who investigates to ask questions,
7	there's a person that would have been oh,	7	so they understand what should be looked into and
8	there's a person that peer reviewed the internal	8	investigated.
9	dispute resolution response, and as part of that	9	Q. I see. So there wouldn't have
12:47:30 10	we supply them with the filing so they know what	12:50:01 10	been the investigation wouldn't have started
11	the response should be speaking to. That would	11	before that conference call?
12	have been somebody in employee relations on my	12	A. Correct.
13	team.	13	Q. Okay. What happens after the
14	And then our employment attorney	14	conference call?
12:47:42 15	also received a copy of this at the time of the	12:50:20 15	A. After the conference call I send the
16	response being reviewed for the same reason.	16	investigator a template for the response; I give
l		17	him a deadline to send it back to me, with it
17	Q. And so you said peer reviewed; is		·
18	that right?	18	filled out after they have investigated, so we can
18 19	that right? A. Yes.	18 19	filled out after they have investigated, so we can try to stay on track in terms of timing. So it's
18 19 12:47:56 20	that right? A. Yes. Q. And that's just to make sure it	18 19 12:50:41 20	filled out after they have investigated, so we can try to stay on track in terms of timing. So it's an email to the investigator with the template
18 19 12:47:56 20 21	that right? A. Yes. Q. And that's just to make sure it everything is sorry, can you just explain that	18 19 12:50:41 20 21	filled out after they have investigated, so we can try to stay on track in terms of timing. So it's an email to the investigator with the template attached.
18 19 12:47:56 20 21 22	that right? A. Yes. Q. And that's just to make sure it everything is sorry, can you just explain that one more time so I'm clear.	18 19 12:50:41 20 21 22	filled out after they have investigated, so we can try to stay on track in terms of timing. So it's an email to the investigator with the template attached. Q. What does the template include?
18 19 12:47:56 20 21 22 23	that right? A. Yes. Q. And that's just to make sure it everything is sorry, can you just explain that one more time so I'm clear. A. Sure. It's just to make sure, and	18 19 12:50:41 20 21 22 23	filled out after they have investigated, so we can try to stay on track in terms of timing. So it's an email to the investigator with the template attached. Q. What does the template include? A. The template typically includes the
18 19 12:47:56 20 21 22 23 24	that right? A. Yes. Q. And that's just to make sure it everything is sorry, can you just explain that one more time so I'm clear. A. Sure. It's just to make sure, and it's more of a glance at this, and then make sure	18 19 12:50:41 20 21 22 23 24	filled out after they have investigated, so we can try to stay on track in terms of timing. So it's an email to the investigator with the template attached. Q. What does the template include? A. The template typically includes the employee's name and address, the future dated, you
18 19 12:47:56 20 21 22 23	that right? A. Yes. Q. And that's just to make sure it everything is sorry, can you just explain that one more time so I'm clear. A. Sure. It's just to make sure, and	18 19 12:50:41 20 21 22 23	filled out after they have investigated, so we can try to stay on track in terms of timing. So it's an email to the investigator with the template attached. Q. What does the template include? A. The template typically includes the

	81		83
1	supposed to be returned to the employee. The	1	Q. But these colleague reviews were not
2	dates that the internal dispute resolution was	2	collected after the investigative process had
3	filed, as well as the date that we had the	3	started for this IDR; is that right?
4	meeting. And then typically I will start them out	4	A. No, they were not collected after.
12:51:14 5	with bullets that indicate what the employee is	12:54:32 5	I know an approximate date, if that's something
6	what are the main allegations the employee has	6	that you would like me to say.
7	alleged so that to make sure that the	7	Q. Sure.
8	investigator knows what direction they should be	8	A. Approximately, it was, like, June of
9	beginning the investigation in.	9	2016-ish, if I am remembering correctly, is when
12:51:27 10	Q. Okay.	12:54:46 10	they were collected.
11	(Deposition Exhibit No. 4	11	Q. Okay. And were these reviews edited
12	was marked for identification.)	12	in any way from their original source?
13	BY MS. DENNIS:	13	A. Formatting, yes. Otherwise, no. No
14	Q. So you are being handed a document	14	content, no material information, just truly the
12:52:04 15	marked Exhibit 4; do you recognize this document?	12:55:09 15	format.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Okay. As part of this investigative
17	Q. What is this document?	17	process, did David Drysdale seek out any other
18	A. This is an email from Mr. Drysdale,	18	feedback from Mr. Zhang's colleagues?
19	and this is him requesting the colleague reviews	19	A. Not that I'm aware of, aside from
12:52:21 20	from his review excuse me, Frank's review, and	12:55:29 20	Kim Myers, who was his colleague at the time,
21	apologizing for the delay in getting this	21	because on some level, because she was no
22	investigation closed out.	22	longer his manager. And they still worked
23	And then my response with the copied	23	together because she was a product owner of the
24	and pasted colleague reviews, because I wasn't	24	tools or the applications that he was responsible
12:52:38 25	able to pull it from the original form.	12:55:49 25	for.
1	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	12.55.45	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
***************************************	82		84
1	MS. DENNIS: Let's mark this.	1	Q. And can you explain a little bit
2	(Deposition Exhibit No. 5	2	more about how Kim Myers was part of this
3	was marked for identification.)	3	investigative process?
4	BY MS. DENNIS:	4	A. As I understand it, David spoke with
12:53:12 5	Q. You've been handed a document marked	12:56:05 5	Kim to get her take on or to get an
6	Exhibit 5; do you recognize this document?	6	understanding on Frank's performance deficiencies
7	A. Yes.	7	that were reported as they related to his previous
8	Q. What is this?	8	performance when she was his manager, and to talk
9	A. This is the copied and pasted	9	about the current performance deficiencies related
12:53:21 10	colleague reviews from Frank's review.	12:56:35 10	to the products that she was an owner of.
11	Q. And where did where were these	11	Q. And are there any documents
12	reviews copied and pasted from?	12	reflecting this communication with Kim Meyers?
13	A. From our internal review system, we	13	A. Not that I'm aware of.
14	call it MAP.	14	Q. So your understanding is that it was
12:53:44 15	Q. And so these reviews were initially	12:56:53 15	just David Drysdale just reported his
16	part of the colleague reviews requested by	16	conversation
17	Ms. Duraimanickam?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q and summarized
19	Q. And all of the reviews included in	19	A. Yes.
12:54:01 20	this document	12:57:10 20	Q. Thanks.
21	A. Yes.	21	(Deposition Exhibit No. 6
1		22	was marked for identification.)
22	Q. were from those? Do you know the		was marked for identification.
22 23	Q. were from those? Do you know the dates where these were when these were	23	BY MS. DENNIS:
			·
23	dates where these were when these were	23	BY MS. DENNIS:
23 24	dates where these were when these were collected?	23 24	BY MS. DENNIS: Q. So you were just handed a document

1	85		87
^	A. Yes.	1	errors caused by her. This is typical
2	Q. What is this document?	2	discrimination behavior. The same discrimination
3	A. This is an email exchange or an	3	occurred to the other examples stated by Sujatha.
4	email exchange between Frank and I, and then my	4	I can explain those examples later.
12:58:15 5	forwarding it to David for his investigation.	13:01:39 5	Is that an accurate reading of that
6	Q. And in the first full paragraph on	6	paragraph?
	the first page of this document, you indicate that	7	A. Yes.
	Frank has alleged that Sujatha made statements	8	Q. Okay. So do those sentences
9	comparing young developers to old developers; is	9	indicate that there was more his allegations of
	that correct?	13:01:55 10	age discrimination encompassed more than a
11	A. Yes.	11	conversation that he had with her?
12	Q. And you say, this clearly needs to	12	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
13	be investigated. We will need to add it to the	13	THE WITNESS: My interpretation of
	allegations listed on his IDR response; is that	14	what he was saying, is that he was pointing to
12:58:55 15	accurate?	13:02:13 15	discrimination as a reason for the performance
16	A. Yes.	16	discrepancies being reported. That does not to me
17	Q. So when you sent this email to David	17	indicate that there was any indication that this
18	Drysdale, do you know if it changed how the	18	had anything to do with anything besides what was
19	investigation was being conducted?	19	being reported.
12:59:15 20	A. I do not think that it changed the	13:02:29 20	So he's providing an assumption that
21	way the investigation was being conducted, except	21	he believes is typical for somebody to give a
22	for that it was an added allegation to	22	wrong provide incorrect information about
23	investigate.	23	someone if they're discriminating against them.
24	Q. And would this type of investigation	24	BY MS. DENNIS:
12:59:31 25	would there be different types of evidence that	13:02:48 25	Q. I see. So going to the last
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	86		88
1	David would need to look for as part of the	1	paragraph on this first page of Exhibit 6 that
2	investigation?	2	begins with, during; do you see that paragraph?
3	 A. It depends on what's being alleged. 	3	A. Yes.
4	In Frank's case he alleged that two statements	4	Q. Okay. So that paragraph begins,
12:59:48 5	were made that led him to believe that he was	13:03:21 5	during my last two months in Optum each time when
6	being discriminated against by his age, and	6	Sujatha met me in one-on-one meeting, Sujatha
7	therefore his age and so therefore those two	7	always said I had negative values to the team
8	statements were investigated.	8	without giving examples. But just saying how good
9	Q. In the part of the first page of	9	the other young teammates did. Did I read that
13:00:08 10	this document or part of this email chain from	13:03:41 10	correctly?
11	Frank, and the paragraph that begins, at first; do	11	A. Yes.
12	you see that?	12	Q. Is it your understanding that is the
13	A. Yes.	13	only direct allegation of age discrimination that
14	Q. So do you see the sentence that	14	Frank made?
13:00:43 15	begins, if you had chances? About three lines	13:03:55 15	A. My recollection is that he made an
	down in this paragraph (indicating).	16	allegation that she said that she said it
17	A. Yes, I do.	17	differently, that young people don't give as good
	Q. So this sentence reads, if you had	18	of I'm trying to think of it verbatim and it's
18	chances to review my explanation about these five	19	not going to come to me, but I'm sure I have it,
18 19	examples at first, which I sent to HR along with	13:04:14 20	I'm sure it's in one of these documents. But old
19		10.07.17	Jane 10 on one of these documents. Dut old
19 13:01:05 20		21	people don't have as much values as young poople
19 13:01:05 20 21	my dispute form, you should see that Sujatha said,	21 22	people don't have as much values as young people, is my recollection of his allegation
19 13:01:05 20 21 22	my dispute form, you should see that Sujatha said, on purpose, something not good for me, and hide	22	is my recollection of his allegation.
19 20 21 22 23	my dispute form, you should see that Sujatha said, on purpose, something not good for me, and hide the real situations and background stories which	22 23	is my recollection of his allegation. And then there was a I thought,
19 20 21 22 23 24	my dispute form, you should see that Sujatha said, on purpose, something not good for me, and hide	22	is my recollection of his allegation.

	00	T	
4	89	4	91
1	age, so it wasn't actually and in this	1	at the time, I'm sure that I looked at it. But my
3	statement it doesn't seem to me like he is saying	2	understanding now, three years later, would be
_	she is saying anything about age, but that she's	3	that it's Mary Zuelke.
13:04:50 5	talking about how good the other teammates did	4	Q. And as part of this investigation,
13:04:50 5	that were young.	13:07:58 5	were either Brady or Mary spoken with as part of
7	Q. I see. Was it your understanding	6	the investigation?
8	that Frank was making allegations of age discrimination beyond statements made by Ms.	7	A. Not to my knowledge. But I do know
9	Duraimanickam in their one-on-one meetings?	8	that they at least Mary supplied Exhibit 5, a
13:05:14 10	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	9	colleague review. If I'm if I remember
13:05:14	MS. DENNIS: Sorry, I can rephrase.	13:08:25 10	correctly, I could be mixing that up. Maybe there's a lot of names here. No, right here,
12	BY MS. DENNIS:	12	okay. Yeah.
13	Q. Aside from the comments that you	13	Q. Do you see what page on Exhibit 5
14	identified that Frank alleged Sujatha had made	14	that that Mary's review appears on?
13:05:30 15	let me just start over.	13:08:45 15	A. It's the page labeled
16	My understanding is is it correct	16	UHG-Zhang000921. It starts on that page and
17	that Frank reported a few instances of Sujatha and	17	continues to Zhang000922.
18	one-on-one meetings making comments that were	18	Q. On the page marked UHG-Zhang00092,
19	discriminatory based on age?	19	Exhibit 5, do you see the last full paragraph on
13:05:57 20	A. I would say two.	13:09:30 20	that page?
21	Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that	21	A. The paragraph at the bottom of the
22	he was making any allegations of age	22	page?
23	discrimination beyond one-on-one meetings that he	23	Q. Yes.
24	had held with Sujatha?	24	A. Yes, I do.
13:06:11 25	A. I know that Frank was saying he felt	13:09:39 25	Q. Okay. Do you see the sentence three
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	90		92
1	that this was all all of this was drummed up as	1	lines down on that paragraph that begins with,
2	a result of her not wanting somebody of his age to	2	with the group?
3	be on the team. I know that was his conjecture.	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Going back to this last paragraph,	4	Q. That sentence reads, with the group
13:06:36 5	do you see about three lines up from the bottom,	13:10:00 5	becoming much bigger and the makeup of members is
6	the beginning, if you have chances?	6	much younger, the collaboration of team members
7	A. Yes, I do see that.	7	seems to be in a fashion that is not comfortable
8	Q. Okay. So this sentence reads, if	8	to Frank. Is that an accurate reading of that
9	you have chances to talk to my teammates, Brady or	9	sentence?
13:06:57 10	Mary on Kim's team, you should know I am most	13:10:10 10	A. Yes.
11	experienced and technical developer in my team; is	11	Q. As part of the investigation into
12	that an accurate reading of that sentence?	12	Frank's claim of age discrimination, was that
13	A. Yes.	13	was that part of Mary's colleague review referred
14	Q. As part of this investigation, was	14	to?
13:07:13 15	well, let me back up.	13:10:30 15	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
16	Do you know who Brady is as referred	16	THE WITNESS: Referred to where?
17	to in this sentence?	17	MS. DENNIS: Sorry, I can rephrase
18	A. I think that Brady is a member of	18	that.
13:07:30 20	the team, that he's one of the developers.	19	BY MS. DENNIS:
13:07:30 20	Q. Okay. Is that Brady Grimm?	13:10:40 20	Q. As part of the investigation, was
21	A. Yes, that's my understanding of who Brady is.	21 22	this particular part of Mary's colleague review
23	Q. And do you know who Mary is as	22	looked into in any way?
24	referred to in that sentence?	23	A. Not to my knowledge. But Mr. Drysdale was responsible for the investigation, so
13:07:42 25	A. That is Mary Zuelke perhaps. I know	13:11:02 25	I can only speak to what I know. But not to my
10.07.42	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	13:11:02 23	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
İ	SOLITAN ORALIAM NEFORTING	I	JOLINN GRADAW REPORTING

	93		95
1	knowledge.	1	the investigation, speak to anyone else besides
2	Q. Just to clarify, I am still asking	2	Kim Myers?
3	you questions as a representative of UnitedHealth.	3	A. Yes, he spoke with Sujatha.
4	A. Thank you.	4	Q. And what did he speak to Sujatha
13:11:17 5	Q. Could you turn, on the same exhibit,	13:15:51 5	about?
6	to the page marked UHG-Zhang000917. Do you see	6	A. My understanding is he spoke to
7	the top of the page, the name Jennifer Viveros?	7	Sujatha about the allegations and comments made
8	A. Yes.	8	about age, and he spoke to Sujatha about the
9	Q. So what follows from that name, a	9	overall termination decision and why she made the
13:12:13 10	colleague review prepared by Jennifer Viveros	13:16:08 10	decision to terminate. And talked about the
11	Aguilar regarding Frank Zhang?	11	specific items that were mentioned sorry, let
12	A. Yes.	12	me just take a step back, I'm thinking at the same
13	Q. So do you see what's marked number 3	13	time.
14	underneath the name Jennifer Viveros Aguilar?	14	So he spoke with her about the
13:13:15 15	Sorry, let me be more clear because there's a	13:16:33 15	age-related comments that Mr. Zhang alleged. And
16	couple of these.	16	he spoke with her about the review that she gave
17	So there is a heading that is labeled	17	him about the corrective actions that she gave
18	number 3, what could he or she have done better;	18	him, and about the ultimate decision to terminate.
19	do you see that?	19	Q. Is that everything?
13:13:30 20	A. Yes.	13:16:55 20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. I will just read this out	21	Q. Okay.
22	loud so we have it on the record. Underneath that	22	(Deposition Exhibit No. 7
23	it reads, Frank could work on his communication	23	was marked for identification.)
24	skills, and not been afraid to ask questions when	24	BY MS. DENNIS:
13:13:52 25	something is not fully understood. Over the past	13:17:47 25	Q. You were just handed a document
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	94		96
1	months we had many changes in the team and have	1	marked Exhibit 7; do you recognize this document?
2	noticed Frank has struggled with this. For	2	A. Yes.
3	example, when developing the admin tool, we went	3	Q. What is this document?
4	over a couple phases and he was reporting to be on	4	A. This is an email exchange between
13:14:13 5	track to completing, but then during testing	13:17:57 5	David and I regarding the status of the internal
6	encounter he had not fully understood the task.	6	dispute resolution response.
7	In my opinion it was a communication issue on all	7	Q. And in the email from David Drysdale
8	sides since we went through many iterations of the	8	to you, sent January 24, 2017 at 1:51 p.m., does
9	design of the tool but I think Frank should have	9	that reflect a conversation Mr. Drysdale had with
13:14:32 10	stopped at the point of confusion and asked before	13:18:29 10	Kim Myers?
11	continuing; is that an accurate reading?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. And what does that indicate about
13	Q. As part of the investigation into	13	that conversation?
14	Frank's claims of age discrimination, was Jennifer	14	A. That she was the final person he was
13:14:52 15	Aguilar's colleague review taken into account?	13:18:39 15	looking to speak with before making a
16	A. Yes.	16	determination of whether or not he should deem the
17	Q. Was the particular statement she	17	termination warranted.
18	made regarding in her opinion it was a	18	Q. So besides this email, is there
19	communication issue on all sides since we went	19	anything that records his conversation with Kim
13:15:11 20	through many iterations of the design of the, tool	13:18:58 20	Myers?
21	was that specifically investigated?	21	A. He may have taken notes. I do not
22	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	22	have access to those notes or know if he did take
23	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.	23	notes. I just know that he spoke with her and
24	BY MS. DENNIS:	24	there's nothing formal that I'm aware of.
13:15:31 25	Q. So did David Drysdale, as part of	13:19:15 25	Q. And so between the conference call
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

f		T	
	97		99
1	that you held with Frank and David Drysdale, and	1	substantiate the claims that she made two comments
2	sorry, let me strike that.	2	that are in the paragraph related to age.
3	(Deposition Exhibit No. 8	3	BY MS. DENNIS:
4	was marked for identification.)	4	Q. Does this letter indicate that Mr.
13:20:22 5	BY MS. DENNIS:	13:23:44 5	Drysdale spoke to anyone else in an attempt to
6	Q. You were just handed a document	6	substantiate this claim?
7	marked Exhibit 8; do you recognize this document?	7	A. No.
8	A. Yes.	8	${f Q}_{f s}$ Can you look at the paragraph right
9	Q. What is this document?	9	above that that begins, with respect to your
13:20:32 10	A. The first page actually this is	13:24:03 10	contention; do you see that?
11	the employee's response for his to his IDR.	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. You said it's the employee's	12	Q. The last sentence of that paragraph
13	response to his IDR?	13	reads, I found that your final CAP was an
14	A. Response to the employee.	14	objective reflection of your performance; is that
13:20:49 15	Q. Okay, sorry. And was this the last	13:24:18 15	an accurate reading?
16	document that Mr. Zhang received as part of the	16	A. Yes.
17	IDR process?	17	Q. Based on strike that.
18	A. Yes, I believe so.	18	Was this finding that Mr. Zhang's
19	Q. So this would be the final closing	19	final CAP was an objective reflection of his
13:21:09 20	out step of this process?	13:24:57 20	performance based on any evidence that was not
21	A. Yes.	21	collected from sorry, I will go back on that
22	Q. Okay. And does this letter reflect	22	too.
23	everything that UnitedHealth relied on to reach	23	Does this paragraph indicate what
24	its conclusion that his termination, Mr. Zhang's	24	evidence Mr. Drysdale relied upon to reach his
13:21:31 25	termination, should stand?	13:25:29 25	conclusion that his that Mr. Zhang's final CAP
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	98		100
1	A. Yes.	1	was an objective reflection of Mr. Zhang's
2	Q. Can you turn to the second page of	2	performance?
3	this letter. Do you see the second full paragraph	3	A. I'm sorry, would you be able to
4	from the bottom that begins, with respect to your	4	repeat the question.
13:22:15 5	claim?	13:25:42 5	MS. DENNIS: Sure. Can you read
6	A. Yes.	6	that back.
7	Q. I'll read that out loud. With	7	(The requested portion was read by
8	respect to your claim that Ms. Duraimanickam made	8	the court reporter.)
9	a comment that young people made more	9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13:22:26 10	contributions and old people were not worthy of	10	BY MS. DENNIS:
11	what company pays for and that, quote, "old people	11	Q. And what evidence was that?
12	have less values than young," end quote, people, I	12	A. Feedback from his former supervisor,
13	was unable to substantiate your claim. I spoke	13	peers, and the client, as well as or I
14	with Ms. Duraimanickam who denied making any	14	shouldn't say as well as, those items, so he
13:22:45 15	statements related to age. Is that a correct	13:26:31 15	indicates that he reviewed information from his
16	reading?	16	supervisor, peers and the client.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. And as far as feedback from peers as
18	Q. So does that paragraph indicate that	18	referred to here, is that reflecting only the
19	that was that Mr. Drysdale's conversation with	19	colleague feedback that was reflected in
13:23:05 20	Ms. Duraimanickam was the only basis for him	13:26:59 20	Exhibit 6?
21	finding that he was unable to substantiate	21	A. That's my understanding.
22	Mr. Zhang's claim?	22	Q. Okay. And as far as feedback from
23	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	23	the client, does that only refer to the
24	THE WITNESS: My understanding of	24	conversation that Mr. Drysdale had with Kim Myers
13:23:25 25	what he's saying here, is that he was unable to	13:27:18 25	as a part of this investigation?
			<u>-</u>

	101		103
1	A. I would venture to say that some of	1	documents taken into consideration include any
2	the information was from Kim, as well as the	2	documents that were not sorry, let me back up.
3	information that was provided in the peer feedback	3	So those documents included
4	about his work product, as a result or as part	4	performance reviews created by Ms. Duraimanickam;
13:27:46 5	of and how it impacted the client too.	13:31:03 5	is that correct?
6	So to me it says that he reviewed	6	A. They did include that, yes.
7	or that there was feedback from his previous	7	Q. And those documents taken into
8	supervisor, peers and the client, but the peers	8	consideration included colleague reviews put
9	also in the colleague feedback provided	9	together by Mr. Zhang's colleagues; is that
13:28:03 10	information about the impact to the client as	13:31:17 10	correct?
11	well, so it could have been	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And who is the client?	12	Q. And what another documents besides
13	A. My understanding of the client is	13	those were taken into consideration?
14	Kim Myers.	14	A. His corrective actions, his initial
13:28:14 15	Q. And in this document when Mr.	13:31:29 15	corrective action, and his final corrective
16	Drysdale refers to the client, that's referring to	16	action.
17	Kim Myers; is that your understanding?	17	Q. And were those documents prepared by
18	A. Actually Kim Myers is the owner of	18	Ms. Duraimanickam?
19	the product, that impacts the client. So I guess	19	A. To my knowledge, yes, they were.
13:28:33 20	I don't know who her end-user is, because I'm not	13:31:36 20	Q. Were there any other documents taken
21	within the technology department. But the client	21	into consideration as part of this investigation?
22	would have been the end-user of Kim Myers'	22	A. Not to my knowledge. Oh, his
23	products.	23	internal dispute resolution filing and all the
24	Q. Okay. And in this paragraph, 'your	24	subsequent emails, too.
13:28:51 25	former supervisor' refers to Ms. Duraimanickam; is	13:32:08 25	Q. So would it be correct to say that
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	102		104
1	that correct?	1	the specific documents related to a particular
2	A. Yes.	2	work task were not reviewed? Sorry, that was kind
3	Q. So, the first paragraph of this	3	of a vague way of saying that.
4	page, it's not a full paragraph, but, do you see	4	So is it safe to say that Mr.
13:29:19 5	the last sentence that begins, I found that the	13:32:42 5	Drysdale did not look at particular task-related
6	termination	6	documents that were disputed in Mr. Zhang's IDR
7	A. Yes.	7	letter?
8	Q. So that sentence reads, I found that	8	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
9	the termination of your employment was appropriate	9	THE WITNESS: Yes. Mr. Drysdale,
13:29:33 10	based on a thorough assessment of your	13:33:07 10	first of all, isn't responsible for Mr. Zhang's
11	performance; is that a correct reading?	11	work product, so he would have a difficult time
12	A. Yes.	12	having any access or ability to interpret those
13	Q. So when Mr. Drysdale refers to a	13	tasks, especially when they are heavily technology
14	thorough assessment of Frank's performance, is it	14	technological. And also part of the internal
13:29:53 15	your understanding that that did not include	13:33:31 15	dispute resolution process, part of the
16	looking at sorry, let me back up.	16	interviewer's responsibility, is to look over what
17	When Mr. Drysdale refers to a	17	was assessed when making that initial decision to
18	thorough assessment of Frank's performance, what	18	terminate, to see if those items warranted
19	do you understand that to refer to?	19	termination, not to look at the specific tasks
13:30:15 20	A. I understand that to be referring to	13:33:45 20	that the individual brought forward as part of
21	David's review of all of the documents that were	21	their disagreement.
22	taken into consideration when determining his	22	Q. Okay. So what happened after
23	termination determining that termination would	23	Mr. Zhang was sent this letter?
24	be the decision based on his performance.	24	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
13;30;36 25	Q. And those documents did those	13:34:07 25	BY MS. DENNIS:
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

1 Q. After this letter was sent to 2 Mr. Zhang, was there any remaining procedures left 3 to follow up within the IDR procedural framework? 4 A. David would have sent me a copy of 5 the signed response. I would have attached it to 6 the case. I would have added any final notes into 7 the case, and I would have closed the case in our 8 internal HR system. 9 Those would be the process steps 123449 10 that follow the employee receiving, or being sent 11 the internal dispute resolution response. 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 1525500 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 MS. DENNIS: 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 Statements, creation of reports, and any resulting actions taken by Respondent. 3 And earlier today you said that you were prepared to testify about this topic; is that right? 4 NA. Yes. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that this topic does not include any investigation taken as a result of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 134730 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Can I ask a clarifying question? 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 13 MS. DENNIS: Sure. 14 THE WITNESS: Is number 7 about his discrimination, because I'm not 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 134820 20 THE WITNESS: I would not be prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's not something I was involved in, except for that I have knowledge of it. 26 Prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's not something I was involved in, except for that I have knowledge of it.		105	1	407
2 Mr. Zhang, was there any remaining procedures left to follow up within the IDR procedural framework? 4 A. David would have sent me a copy of the signed response. I would have added any final notes into 7 the case, and I would have added any final notes into 7 the case, and I would have added any final notes into 7 the case, and I would have closed the case in our 8 internal HR system. 5 Internal HR system. 5 Those would be the process steps that follow the employee receiving, or being sent the internal dispute resolution response. 6 A. David the the EEOC? 14 Ms. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 Ms. DENNIS: You can answer if you 17 Ms. DENNIS: Sure. 17 Ms. DENNIS: Sure. 18 EEOC claim, or is it about his allegations of age discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 THE WITNESS: No. 18 Ms. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC? 20 BY Ms. DENNIS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 Ms. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 Ms. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLINN GRAHAM REPORTING 26 A Defore we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 18 J YMS. DENNIS: I in front of you 11 THE WITNESS: No. 1 IN THE WITNESS: No. 2 IN THE WIT	1	_	1	107
A. David would have sent me a copy of the signed response. I would have added any final notes into 7 the case, and I would have beath the case in our 8 internal HR system. Those would be the process steps that follow the employee receiving, or being sent 11 the internal dispute resolution response. And outside the scope of the notice. MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. MS. DENNIS: Sure. MS. DENNIS: Out on t	2			
4 A. David would have sent me a copy of 6 the case. I would have attached it to 7 the case, and I would have closed the case in our 8 internal HR system. 9 Those would be the process steps 1340 10 that follow the employee receiving, or being sent 11 the internal dispute resolution response. 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 20 BY MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. DENNIS: Can We go off the 36 JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 37 Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that 38 this topic does not include any investigation 38 HE WITNESS: Is a result of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 39 HE WITNESS: St. Objection; form. 40 HE WITNESS: St. Objection; form. 41 THE WITNESS: St. Objection; form. 42 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 43 THE WITNESS: I should not be 44 Were prepared to testify about this topic; is that right? 45 A. Our attorney. 45 A. Jean Service. 47 Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that 48 this topic does not include any investigation 48 this topic does not include any investigation 49 THE WITNESS: Is it was a result of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 49 THE WITNESS: Is it should not be 40 discrimination, because I'm not				• •
5 the signed response. I would have attached it to 6 the case. I would have added any final notes into 7 the case, and I would have closed the case in our internal HR system. Those would be the process steps 9 Those would be the process steps 11 the internal dispute resolution response. When you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC. MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 160 claim, with the EEOC. MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 161 discrimination, because I'm not - 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 163 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 163 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 164 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 163 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 164 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 164 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 164 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 164 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 164 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 164 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 162 claim, or is it about his allegations of age 164 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 17 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 18 claim. MS. DENNIS: I think his age 18 claim. MS. DENNIS: I	4	•	1	•
6 the case. I would have added any final notes into 7 the case, and I would have closed the case in our 8 internal HR system. 9 Those would be the process steps 1133449 10 that follow the employee receiving, or being sent 11 the internal dispatch resolution response. 11 the internal dispatch resolution response. 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 MS. DEINIS: You can answer if you understand the question. 15 HE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 20 EY MS. DENNIS: 11 think his age 18 discrimination, because I'm not 2 claim. 18 THE WITNESS: No. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 to the EEOC charge? 20 EY MS. DENNIS: 19 THE WITNESS: I would not be 19 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim or something I was involved in, except for that I have knowledge of it. 21 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a quick break. 22 quicktion? 23 MS. DENNIS: 10 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 24 BY MS. DENNIS: 100 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 25 MS. DENNIS: 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 26 MS. DENNIS: 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 27 THE WITNESS: No. 29 THE WITNESS: I would not be 21 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms were a part of his EEOC claim. 10 discrimination dalms w	13:34:28 5			•
7 the case, and I would have closed the case in our 8 internal HR system. 9 Those would be the process steps 10 that follow the employee receiving, or being sent 1 the internal dispute resolution response. 11 the internal dispute resolution response. 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 1 a complaint with the EEOC? 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 LOR DI you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 20 BY MS. DENNIS: MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 MS. DENNIS: Would not be 21 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's 10 acquick break. 23 MS. DENNIS: No. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that	6			_
8 thisernal HR system. 9 Those would be the process steps 9 Those would be the process steps 11 that follow the employee receiving, or being sent 11 the internal dispute resolution response. 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 18 BY MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you 19 to the EEOC charge? 20 BY MS. DENNIS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 18 Trecord for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 106 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 108 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 108 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 108 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 108 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 108 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 108 108 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 108 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109	7			
Those would be the process steps 10 that follow the employee receiving, or being sent 11 the internal dispute resolution response. 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 Ms. DEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 15 Ms. DENNIS: You can answer if you 16 Ms. DENNIS: You can answer if you 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 Ms. DENNIS: You can answer if you 20 BY Ms. DENNIS: You can answer if you 21 to the EEOC charge? 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 Ms. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 Ms. DENNIS: Think his age 26 to the EEOC charge? 27 Ms. DENNIS: Can we go off the 30LYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 3 (Short break.) 5 Ms. DENNIS: 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 Asked if you were aware of the daim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Ms. DENNIS: Sure. 14 THE WITNESS: Is unumber 7 about his 12 question? 15 Ms. DENNIS: Sure. 16 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC 19 claim. 17 THE WITNESS: Is unumber 7 about his 18 Geoc claim, or is it about his allegations of age 18 discrimination. 19 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim, or is it about his allegations of age 18 discrimination. 19 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim, or something I was involved in, except for that I 18 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's 22 not something I was involved in, except for that I 23 have knowledge of it. 24 BY MS. DENNIS: 25 Q. Okay. And who would have knowledge 26 of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 27 A. Our attorney. 28 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen Service. 4 A. Jen Service. 5 Q. Gan you spell that name. 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 10 Ms. DENNIS: 10 Ms. DENNIS: 11 THE W	8		8	
10 that follow the employee receiving, or being sent 11 the internal dispute resolution response. 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC, 193632 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: Objection; form. 26 THE WITNESS: No. 27 THE WITNESS: No. 28 THE WITNESS: No. 29 THE WITNESS: I would not be 20 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's 20 THE WITNESS: I would not be 21 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's 22 not something I was involved in, except for that I 23 have knowledge of it. 24 BY MS. DENNIS: 25 Q. Okay. And who would have knowledg 30 JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 31 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 3 Q. You mean 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 3 Q. You mean 4 A Jen Service. 3 Q. Can you spell that name. 4 A Jen Service. 4 A Jen Service. 5 Q. Can you spell that name. 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 10 MS. DENNIS: 11 THE WITNESS: Can I ask a clarifying 12 Question? 15 deutsion? 16 discrimination, because I'm not 17 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination, because I'm not 19 claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC 19 claim. 19 discrimination, because I'm not 19 claim. 10 discrimination, because I'm not 19 claim. 10 discrimination, because I'm not 10 claim. 11 discrimination, because I'm not 10 claim. 11 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination, because I'm not 19 claim.	9	Those would be the process steps	9	
11 the internal dispute resolution response. 12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 Ms. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 150000 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 Ms. DENNIS: You can answer if you 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 Ms. DENNIS: You can answer if you 19 the filed a claim with the EEOC. 11 Q. Did you have any role in responding 20 to the EEOC charge? 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 Ms. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 Ms. DENNIS: Can we go off the 26 JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 27 THE WITNESS: No. 28 BY MS. DENNIS: 29 MS. DENNIS: Thould not be 20 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's 20 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's 21 not something I was involved in, except for that I 28 Ms. DENNIS: Can we go off the 29 JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 28 MS. DENNIS: 29 MS. DENNIS: 20 MS. DENNIS: Would not be 21 not something I was involved in, except for that I 22 not something I was involved in, except for that I 23 have knowledge of it. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: 26 MS. DENNIS: 27 No. DENNIS: 28 MS. DENNIS: 29 MS. DENNIS: 20 O, Okay. And who would have knowledge 30 (Short break.) 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 3 (Q. Pour mean 4 A. Jen Service. 4 A. Jen Service. 5 Q. Can you spell that name. 6 A. Jen Service. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 11 THE WITNESS: Sor. 12 (peposition Exhibit No. 9 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this	13:34:43 10	that follow the employee receiving, or being sent	13:47:50 10	2
12 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed 13 a complaint with the EEOC? 14 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 LORN DENNIS: Think his age discrimination, because I'm not 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age discrimination, daims were a part of his EEOC daim. 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 LORN DENNIS: I would not be 21 prepared to speak about his EEOC daim as that's 22 not something I was involved in, except for that I 23 have knowledge of it. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 26 MS. DENNIS: MS. DENNIS: 106 27 THE WITNESS: No. 28 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 29 LOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 20 MS. DENNIS: 106 21 THE WITNESS: I would not be 21 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's 22 not something I was involved in, except for that I 23 have knowledge of it. 29 LOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 20 MS. DENNIS: 106 21 THE WITNESS: I would not be 21 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's 22 not something I was involved in, except for that I 23 have knowledge of it. 29 MS. DENNIS: 106 20 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 21 A. Our attorney. 30 (Short break.) 31 GShort break.) 32 Q. You mean 44 A. Jen Service. 44 A. Jen Service. 55 Q. Can you spell that name. 65 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 75 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 10 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 or do you have 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 Was marked for identification.) 14 MS. DENNIS: 18 discrimination, because I'm not 17 MS. DENNIS: 18 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination, because I'm not 19 di	11		11	
14 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 26 THE WITNESS: No. 27 A. Our attorney. 28 GNort break.) 29 BY MS. DENNIS: 30 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filled with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, Yep. 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 discrimination, because I'm not 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age discrimination, claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 dism. 19	12	Q. Were you aware that Mr. Zhang filed	12	· -
135000 15 And outside the scope of the notice. 16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 130582 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the 30LYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 1304597 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 5 casked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 12 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 15 descrimination, because I'm not 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age 16 discrimination, because I'm not 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age 16 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 19 discr	13	a complaint with the EEOC?	13	·
16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 133832 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 26 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 27 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 28 BY MS. DENNIS: 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 A. Jen Service. 134647 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 12 A. Oh, the first one? 10 discrimination, because I'm not 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination, because I'm not 19 claim. 18 discrimination, because I'm not 19 claim. 18 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination or 19 claim. 18 discrimination or 19 claim. 18 discrimination or 18 discrimination or 18 discrimin	14	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	14	THE WITNESS: Is number 7 about his
16 MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you understand the question. 17 understand the question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 153632 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 153642 25 MS. DENNIS: 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 106 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 A. Jen Service. 1346347 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13.4615 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 14 A. Got it. 16 discrimination, because I'm not 17 MS. DENNIS: I think his age 18 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination, because I'm not 18 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination or laims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination or laims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination or laims were a part of his EEOC claim. 18 discrimination or laims. 18 discrimination or laims. 19 discrimination or laim. 18 discrimination or laims. 18 discrimination or laim. 18 discrimination or laim. 19 discrimination or laim. 18 d	13:35:08 15	And outside the scope of the notice.	13:48:06 15	EEOC claim, or is it about his allegations of age
17 understand the question. 18	16	MS. DENNIS: You can answer if you	16	
18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that 19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 183322 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: 26 MS. DENNIS: 27 DLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 28 PY MS. DENNIS: 29 DENNIS: 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 Did you have any role in responding to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: 26 DLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 27 DLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 28 DLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 29 TO MAY AND WOULD HAVE AND WOULD HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE WITNESS: I would not be prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's not something I was involved in, except for that I have knowledge of it. 29 MS. DENNIS: 100 THE WITNESS: I would not be prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's not something I was involved in, except for that I have knowledge of it. 29 MS. DENNIS: 100 Of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 20 A. Our attorney. 31 Q. You mean 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 A. Jen Service. 31 Q. You were a part of his EEOC 4 inim. 314 A. Got it. 318 discrimination claims were a part of his EEOC 4 inim. 318 Distinct of his EEOC 4 inim. 318 Distinct on the WiTNESS: I would not be prepared to speak about his EEOC claim, as that's not something I was involved in, except for that I have knowledge of it. 20 Drokay. And who would have knowledge of it. 21 Of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 22 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen Service. 3 Q. Can you spell that name. 4 A. Jen Service. 4 A. Jen Service. 5 Q. Can you would anyone besides an attorney have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to you're knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen Service. 5 Q. Can you spel	17	understand the question.	17	
19 he filed a claim with the EEOC. 19 133432 20 BY MS. DENNIS: 21 Q. Did you have any role in responding to the EEOC charge? 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 3 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 106 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 134837 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 19 claim. 11 134822 20 THE WITNESS: I would not be 21 prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's and shat's not something I was involved in, except for that I and shat's	18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I was aware that	18	_
21 Q. Did you have any role in responding 22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 26 Trecord for a few minutes. Why don't we take a quick break. 27 quick break. 28 Q. Okay. And who would have knowledge JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 29 A. Our attorney. 30 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 A. Jen Service. 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 5 Q. Can you drind Exhibit 1 in front of you actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you have	19	he filed a claim with the EEOC.	19	·
22 to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 324 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 106 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 A. Jen Service. 1245-87 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 108 108 20 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109	13:35:32 20	BY MS. DENNIS:	13:48:22 20	THE WITNESS: I would not be
to the EEOC charge? 23 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 24 THE WITNESS: No. 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 106 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a quick break. 26 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filled with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 29 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 10 A. Got it. 10 A. Got it. 10 Can you smething I was involved in, except for that I have knowledge of it. 20 have knowledge of it. 21 A. Ok, JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 20 A. Okay. And who would have knowledge JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 108 109 A. Our attorney. 31 A. Our attorney. 32 A. Our attorney. 33 A. Our attorney. 34 A. Jen Service. 35 A. Jen-N-N-I-F-ER. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 36 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-ER. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 37 A. Our would anyone besides an attorney whave knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to your knowledge? 38 have knowledge of it. 30 A. Our attorney. 39 A. Our attorney. 30 A. Jen Service. 30 A. Jen Service. 31 A. Jen Service. 31 A. Jen Service. 31 A. Jen Service. 32 A. Our attorney. 33 A. Jen Service. 34 A. Jen Service. 35 A. Jen Service. 36 A. Jen Service. 37 A. Jen Service. 38 A. Jen Service. 39 A. Jen Service. 30 A. Jen Service. 31 A. Jen Service. 32 A. Our attorney. 33 A. Jen Service. 34 A. Jen Service. 34 A. Jen Service. 35 A. Jen	21	Q. Did you have any role in responding	21	prepared to speak about his EEOC claim as that's
24 THE WITNESS: No. 1335348 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 106 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 134534 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 134635 10 Q. Yep. 13 Q. Yep. 13 Q. Yep. 13 Q. Yep. 13 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 24 BY MS. DENNIS: 106 107 108 108 1 of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 2 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen Service. 9 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 134636 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 14 A. Got it. 134635 15 Q. You're being handed a document	22	to the EEOC charge?	22	
1335348 25 MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 106 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 134547 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 134615 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 134635 15 Q. Okay. And who would have knowledge JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 108 108 1 of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 2 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 134814 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 Was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 134835 15 Q. You're being handed a document	23	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	23	have knowledge of it.
JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING 106 1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 106 108 1 of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 2 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen Service. 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 13:48:14 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:48:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document	24	THE WITNESS: No.	24	BY MS. DENNIS:
1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:16 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 13:48:56 15 Q. Can you spell that name. 1 of Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim? 2 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:48:56 5 Q. Can you spell that name. 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:48:56 15 Q. You're being handed a document	13:35:48 25	MS. DENNIS: Can we go off the	13:48:34 25	Q. Okay. And who would have knowledge
1 record for a few minutes. Why don't we take a 2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filled with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 13:46:55 15 Q. Our attorney. 2 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen Service. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 13:48:14 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:48:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
2 quick break. 3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 A. Jen Service. 13:45:47 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 13 Q. Yep. 13 A. Got it. 13:48:55 15 Q. Can you mean 4 A. Our attorney. 3 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen Service. 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 13:49:14 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9) 13 Was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:48:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document				
3 (Short break.) 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 BY MS. DENNIS: 4 A. Jen Service. 13:46:47 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. You mean 4 A. Jen Service. 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 13:49:14 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 was marked for identification.) 14 A. Got it. 13:49:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document	-		1	_
4 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:46:47 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 13:46:55 15 Q. Can you spell that name. 4 A. Jef. Service. 9 Q. Can you spell that name. 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 13:49:14 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9) 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:49:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document		•		
13.45.47 5 Q. Before we went off the record I had 6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13.46.15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 13.46.55 15 Q. Can you spell that name. 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 13.48.14 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 Was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13.48.55 15 Q. You're being handed a document	_	•	1	
6 asked if you were aware of the claim Mr. Zhang had 7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 15:46:55 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 6 A. J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R. S-E-R-V-I-C-E. 7 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 Was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:48:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document	_		•	_
7 filed with the EEOC, and your counsel objected to 8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 17 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 Would anyone besides an attorney 14 No. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 15 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9) 16 Would anyone besides an attorney 17 Q. Would anyone besides an attorney 18 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 19 your knowledge? 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 Was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 18 Have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 19 your knowledge? 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 Was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 18 Have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 19 your knowledge? 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 Was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS:				,
8 that topic being outside of the 30(b)(6) topics. 9 Is it your understanding that 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 15:46:55 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 8 have knowledge about Mr. Zhang's EEOC claim, to 9 your knowledge? 13:49:14 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:49:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document		·	-	_
9 your knowledge? 13:46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 15.46:15 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 19 your knowledge? 11 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:49:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document				,
13.46:15 10 actually, could you find Exhibit 1 in front of you 11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 13.46:55 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 13.46:55 10 MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13.46:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document				
11 or do you have 12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 13.46:55 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 15.55 15 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 16.55 16 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 17.55 17.55 18.55 19.55 Not to my knowledge. 18.55 18.55 19.55 Not to my knowledge. 19.65 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 19.75 13 was marked for identification.) 19.75 19.55 19.55 Not to my knowledge. 19.75 Not to my knowledge.				-
12 A. Oh, the first one? 13 Q. Yep. 14 A. Got it. 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 16 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 17 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 18 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 19 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 19 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 10 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 11 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 13 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 15 Q. You're being handed a document		,		
13 Q. Yep. 13 was marked for identification.) 14 A. Got it. 13.46:55 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 15 Q. You're being handed a document				-
14 A. Got it. 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:46:55 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 14 BY MS. DENNIS: 13:49:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document		,		
13.46:55 15 Q. Can you turn to page 7 of this 13.49:55 15 Q. You're being handed a document		·		•
, at your a soing handed a document		_		
		,		
17 A. Yes. 17 You can take a moment to look at it to see what		_		
18 Q. Do you see the paragraph marked with 18 this is.	18	_		
19 the number 7? 19 A. Yes, I do.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
13:47:07 20 A. Yes. 13:50:13 20 Q. What is this document?				·
21 Q. And that paragraph reads, 21 A. This is his Demand for Arbitration.				
22 Respondent's investigation and/or assessment of 22 Q. When was the first time you saw this				
23 Claimant's age discrimination claims, including 23 document?	23			,
24 communication with Claimant's former co-workers 24 A. About a week and a half ago.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13:47:18 25 and supervisors, collection and recording of 13:50:38 25 Q. Are you familiar with the content of	13:47:18 25	and supervisors, collection and recording of		
JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING				•

	109		111
1	this document?	1	Drysdale engaged as part of the IDR process?
2	A. Yes.	2	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
3	Q. And you understand that this	3	THE WITNESS: I don't believe there
4	document reflects Mr. Zhang's claims of age	4	was an investigation, per se, outside of the IDR
13:51:05 5	discrimination?	13:56:29 5	actually, I don't know anything about the EEOC
6	A. Yes.	6	claim. I'm sure there was something going on
7	Q. Can you turn to page 8 of this	7	there. But in terms of my knowledge, the internal
8	document. Do you see the paragraph numbered 34?	8	dispute resolution process was the investigation.
9	A. Yes.	9	BY MS. DENNIS:
13:51:38 10	Q. Did UnitedHealth do any	13:57:00 10	Q. Okay. Can you look at page 9 of
11	investigation or research to verify or deny the	11	Exhibit 9, and look at paragraphs 37, 38 and 39,
12	specific factual allegations reflected in this	12	and just let me know when you've had an
13	paragraph?	13	opportunity to read through those.
14	A. Can I refer to the internal dispute	14	A. Okay.
13:52:24 15	resolution form?	13:58:23 15	Q. So I understand you aren't able to
16	Q. Sure.	16	testify as to if there was an investigation done
17	A. The response.	17	related to EEOC claim. But aside from that, and
18	Q. Is that marked Exhibit 8?	18	the investigation undertaken by Mr. Drysdale as
19	A. Yes, Exhibit 8. Thank you. I just	19	part of the IDR process, do you know if there was
13:53:16 20	wanted to review the paragraph regarding his	13:58:53 20	any investigation to verify or deny any of the
21	Mr. Drysdale's response to his allegation about	13:58:53 20	factual allegations in these paragraphs?
22	the termination being warranted.	22	· · ·
23	Q. So with regard to paragraph 34 of	23	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
24	Exhibit 9, was there any investigation aside from	24	THE WITNESS: There's to my
13:53:53 25	what was reflected in Exhibit 8 to verify or deny	13:59:11 25	knowledge, again outside of the EEOC claim, like
13.03.33	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	13:59:11 23	you stated, and outside of the IDR process, there JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	110	1	112
1	the factual allegations of this paragraph?	1	would not be an investigation because there were
2	A. If this project that's being	2	no claims made there were no claims made
3	referred to in number 34, which is very vague and	3	outside of those processes.
4	difficult for me to understand which project this	4	BY MS. DENNIS:
13:54:15 5	might be talking about, especially, you know,	13:59:24 5	Q. Okay. Could you turn to page 10 of
6	having little IT knowledge. But if this is	6	this Deposition Exhibit 9. Do you see the
7	addressed in his corrective action, likely in the	7	paragraph numbered 43 on that page?
8	progress update section of his elevated corrective	8	A. Yes.
9	action, which we haven't taken a look at yet here,	9	Q. Can you just read through that and
13:54:34 10	but then, yes, it was reviewed.	14:00:01 10	let me know when you've had an opportunity to do
11	I can only speak to my assumption	11	S0.
11 12	I can only speak to my assumption that this item was addressed in either the initial	11 12	so.
			A. Was it just paragraph 43?
12	that this item was addressed in either the initial	12	A. Was it just paragraph 43?Q. Just paragraph 43.
12 13	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale.	12 13	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay.
12 13 14	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale.	12 13 14	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential
12 13 14 13:54:51 15	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36	12 13 14 14:00:24 15	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and
12 13 14 13:54:51 15 16	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36 on page 8 of Exhibit 9?	12 13 14 14:00:24 15 16	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and outside of the IDR investigation, did UnitedHealth
12 13 14 13:54:51 15 16 17	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36 on page 8 of Exhibit 9? A. Yes.	12 13 14 14:00:24 15 16 17	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and outside of the IDR investigation, did UnitedHealth undergo any investigation to verify or deny any of
12 13 14 13:54:51 15 16 17 18	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36 on page 8 of Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You can take a couple of minutes to	12 13 14 14:00:24 15 16 17 18	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and outside of the IDR investigation, did UnitedHealth undergo any investigation to verify or deny any of the facts in paragraph 43?
12 13 14 13:54:51 15 16 17 18 19	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36 on page 8 of Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You can take a couple of minutes to just read through that. I don't think we need to	12 13 14 14:00:24 15 16 17 18 19	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and outside of the IDR investigation, did UnitedHealth undergo any investigation to verify or deny any of the facts in paragraph 43? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
12 13 14 13:54:51 15 16 17 18 19 13:55:16 20	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36 on page 8 of Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You can take a couple of minutes to just read through that. I don't think we need to read it out loud. Just let me know when you've	12 13 14 14:00:24 15 16 17 18 19 14:00:43 20	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and outside of the IDR investigation, did UnitedHealth undergo any investigation to verify or deny any of the facts in paragraph 43? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: Not outside of the IDR
12 13 14 13:54:51 15 16 17 18 19 13:55:16 20 21	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36 on page 8 of Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You can take a couple of minutes to just read through that. I don't think we need to read it out loud. Just let me know when you've had an opportunity to do that.	12 13 14 14:00:24 15 16 17 18 19 14:00:43 20 21	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and outside of the IDR investigation, did UnitedHealth undergo any investigation to verify or deny any of the facts in paragraph 43? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
12 13 14 13:54:51 15 16 17 18 19 13:55:16 20 21 22	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36 on page 8 of Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You can take a couple of minutes to just read through that. I don't think we need to read it out loud. Just let me know when you've had an opportunity to do that. A. Okay.	12 13 14 14:00:24 15 16 17 18 19 14:00:43 20 21 22	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and outside of the IDR investigation, did UnitedHealth undergo any investigation to verify or deny any of the facts in paragraph 43? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: Not outside of the IDR process that I'm aware of. BY MS. DENNIS:
12 13 14 13.54.51 15 16 17 18 19 13.55.16 20 21 22 23	that this item was addressed in either the initial or the final level corrective actions, which would have been reviewed by Mr. Drysdale. Q. Do you see the paragraph marked 36 on page 8 of Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You can take a couple of minutes to just read through that. I don't think we need to read it out loud. Just let me know when you've had an opportunity to do that. A. Okay. Q. Do you know if there was any	12 13 14 14:00:24 15 16 17 18 19 14:00:43 20 21 22 23	A. Was it just paragraph 43? Q. Just paragraph 43. A. Okay. Q. So aside from any potential investigation as part of the EEOC claim, and outside of the IDR investigation, did UnitedHealth undergo any investigation to verify or deny any of the facts in paragraph 43? MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form. THE WITNESS: Not outside of the IDR process that I'm aware of.

	113		115
1		1	
2	-	1, 2	
3			
4		4	Q. So would it be fair to say in the
14:01:19 5		14:05:00 5	last eight years you've seen kind of in the
6	(Deposition Exhibit No. 10	6	ballpark about 15 age discrimination claims?
7		7	
8	·	8	start investigating age discrimination claims
9	Q. You were just handed a document	9	until April 2014. So I would not have had
14:02:43 10		14:05:24 10	exposure in UnitedHealth Group in that capacity.
11		11	So just maybe like 10 to 12 specifically related
12		12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	A. This is a list of employees that	13	Q. Okay. Have any of the age
14		14	discrimination claims you've dealt with since 2014
14:02:56 15		14:05:49 15	been substantiated?
16		16	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
17	_	17	THE WITNESS: I don't recall. We
18		18	work about 1,000 cases a year, so I'm not
19		19	between 500 and 1,000 cases a year, so I can only
14:03:05 20	The second of th	14:06:19 20	guess. I I can't give a straight answer.
21		21	BY MS. DENNIS;
22	•	22	Q. So what would you guess?
23		23	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
24		24	THE WITNESS: I would guess that the
14:03:15 25		14:06:33 25	majority of them were not substantiated, but that
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING	14.00,33	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	114		116
1	Q. Do you recognize the format of this	1	there may have been substantiation to some claims
2	document?	2	within the allegations. But I really don't know.
3	MS. JEZIERSKI: Same objection.	3	BY MS. DENNIS:
4	THE WITNESS: It looks like an Excel	4	Q. Are there other types of
14:03:37 5	document.	14:06:54 5	discrimination claims that you deal with more
6	MS. DENNIS: I don't have any more	6	commonly?
7	questions about that document.	7	A. Yes.
8	BY MS. DENNIS:	8	Q. What are those?
9	Q. I would like to shift gears and ask	9	A. More common allegations of
14:03:45 10	you questions in your personal capacity rather	14:07:01 10	discrimination would be discrimination based on
11	than in your capacity as the representative for	11	race and based on medical condition.
12	UnitedHealth.	12	Q. With regard to the claims you deal
13	A. Okay.	13	with related to race discrimination, about how
14	Q. How long have you worked at	14	many of those per year would you, roughly, say
14:04:07 15	UnitedHealth?	14:07:21 15	that you see?
16	 A. Eight and a half years I believe. 	16	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
17	Q. And can you approximate, like, over	17	THE WITNESS: With the exception of
18	the last year, how many claims of age	18	the last year, maybe maybe five, six a year
19	discrimination you've been involved with in a	19	each that's probably overestimating. Maybe
14:04:32 20	professional capacity?	14:07:42 20	four a year. In the last year my primary
21	A. In the last year?	21	responsibilities have been focused on other
22	Q. Yes.	22	activities not related to that particular case
23	A. Um, maybe two.	23	type.
24	Q. Is that pretty typical as far as,	24	BY MS. DENNIS:
14:04:45 25	like, how often they come up in a year?	14:07:57 25	Q. Since 2014, have any of the
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

1	117		119
2	complaints of race discrimination you've had	1	discrimination?
3	involvement with, have any of those been substantiated?	2	A. We have had managers disciplined for
4		3	events that have occurred that were alleged to be
_	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.	4	race discrimination.
14:08:14 5	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. BY MS. DENNIS:	14:12:14 5	Q. And what how were these managers
7		6	disciplined?
_	Q. Have you ever been involved in an	7	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
8	IDR process where the manager accused of	8	THE WITNESS: Typically it would be
14:08:51 10	discrimination has faced disciplinary action?	9	termination depending on the egregiousness of
	A. I'm going to have to think about	14:12:32 10	whatever was substantiated.
11	this, because the distinction of the IDR process	11	BY MS. DENNIS:
12	is what I'm having to try to make sure that that's	12	Q. So there have been managers who have
13	my memories are of that particular type of	13	been terminated as a result of allegations of race
14	investigation.	14	discrimination?
14:09:03 15	Q. Well, I can expand the question just	14:12:47 15	A. Allegations of a particular event.
16	so I'm clear. So there's the HRdirect process and	16	So there's not again with you know, trying
17	the IDR process; is that right?	17	to understand the motive for somebody's behavior
18	A. Yes.	18	isn't necessarily what somebody would be
19	Q. And those are done through different	19	terminated for. It would be somebody's specific
14:09:18 20	procedures; is that right?	14:13:04 20	action. And there have been managers terminated,
21	A. Yes.	21	you know, a few, very rarely.
22	Q. And in either HRdirect well, you	22	But managers who have we have
23	can just answer them separately in a have you	23	substantiated incidents that are considered
24	ever, at any case you've worked on involving race	24	inappropriate behavior or a violation of our
14:09:41 25	discrimination let me start at the beginning of	14:13:19 25	policies, as it relates to any type of racial
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	118		120
1	that.	1	comments or actions, have been terminated.
2	Are you aware of any supervisor that	2	Q. Are you familiar with a program
3	has had a claim of race discrimination	3	called the technology development program?
4	substantiated with regard to sorry, that was	4	A. I am familiar with the terminology,
14:10:12 5	also not a very clear way of describing that.	14:13:49 5	yes.
6	Since 2014, in your experience with	6	Q. What do you understand the
7	the IDR process, has an employee's claim of racial	7	technology development program to mean?
8	discrimination ever been substantiated?	8	A. My outside view of the TDP program
9	A. No.	9	is that there are employees fresh out of school
14:10:38 10	Q. Since 2014, in your experience in	14:14:07 10	that go through some sort of program. I'm not
11	the HRdirect process, has an employee's claim of	11	sure of the details of how that works, if they,
12	race discrimination ever been substantiated?	12	like, go into several jobs or it's some sort of
13	A. Claim, I'm going to say no. And can	13	track in the organization to, I believe if my
14	I give a caveat?	14	understanding is correct, I think they're in
14:11:07 15	Q. Sure.	14:14:35 15	different types of technical roles at different
16	A. Okay. No. But discrimination is	16	times. But I can't be sure.
17	typically a motive versus an act, so if there is a	17	Q. Has in any investigation you've
18	you know, if an employee alleges a slew of	18	participated in in the last well, since 2014,
19	examples of things that have occurred, and things	19	has any investigation you've participated in
14:11:26 20	within those allegations have been substantiated,	14:14:59 20	involved the technology development program in any
21	let's say they're based on race, we have	21	way?
22	substantiated items within complaints that have	22	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection; form.
23	alleged discrimination.	23	THE WITNESS: Yes, I think I'm
	_	l	
24	Q. Have any managers been disciplined	24	pretty sure that there's one case that I can think
24 14:11:49 25	as the result of an allegation of race	14:15:30 25	of, but

	404	1	
1	BY MS. DENNIS:	1	TANYA HUCHES do horoby cortify that I
2	Q. Can you tell me about that case and	2	I, TANYA HUGHES , do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing deposition and found the
3	how it involves the technology development	3	same to be true and correct except as follows,
4	program?	4	·
_		1	(noting the page and line number of the change or
	MS. JEZIERSKI: Objection to the	5	addition as desired and the reason why):
6	extent it calls for attorney-client privileged	6	DEDOCATION EDDATA GUEST
7	communication. So don't talk about any	7	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
8	communications with attorneys.	8	Page NoLine NoChange To:
9	THE WITNESS: I'm trying really hard	9	
14:16:00 10	to remember, and it was a long time ago, so just	10	Reason for change:
11	give me a second here to try to recall the details	11	Page NoLine NoChange To:
12	of that.	12	
13	The investigation, as I recall, was	13	Reason for change:
14	involving two leaders within the TDP program that	14	Page NoLine NoChange To:
14:16:24 15	were over the program itself, and their	15	
16	interaction with staff that were a part of the	16	Reason for change:
17	program develop or the recruitment of people	17	Page NoLine NoChange To:
18	within the program. It wasn't about people that	18	
19	are actually in the TDP program.	19	Reason for change:
14:16:44 20	Q. I see. So it was an issue related	20	Page NoLine NoChange To:
21	to recruitment rather than	21	
22	A. Correct.	22	Reason for change:
23	Q kind of the program itself?	23	Page NoLine NoChange To:
24	Do you know if people who are	24	
14:17:05 25	participants in the TDP are subject to different	25	Reason for change:
	JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING		JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING
	122		124
1	policies compared to non-TDP, UnitedHealth	1	Page NoLine NoChange To:
2	employees?	2	
3	A. To my I mean with relation to	3	Reason for change:
4	standard company policies, no, they would not be	4	
14:17:25 5	subject to different company policies.	5	Page NoLine NoChange To:
6	In terms of job expectations and	6	
7	things like that, I imagine there probably are in	7	Reason for change:
8	the initial stages of that program. I really	8	Page NoLine NoChange To:
9	don't know. But there could be different	9	
14:17:39 10	expectations based on how the program is designed.	10	Reason for change:
11	Q. Okay.	11	Page NoLine NoChange To:
12	MS. DENNIS: Could we go off the	12	
13	record.	13	Reason for change:
14		14 15	Page NoLine NoChange To:
	(Short break.)	16	Reason for change:
14:25:36 15	MS. DENNIS: I'm done. I have no	17	Reason for change.
16	further questions.	18	
17	MS. JEZIERSKI: No questions, and	19	TANYA HUGHES
18	we'll read and sign.	20	Please send Original Errata sheet to:
19	•	21	Kaitlyn Dennis, Esquire
20			Gustafson Gluek PLLC
21		22	120 South 6th Street
22			Suite 2600
22 23		23	Suite 2600 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
		23 24	Suite 2600 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
23			

JOLYNN GRAHAM REPORTING

'your [1] - 101:24 000922 [1] - 91:17 01-19-0001-0069 [1] -1:6 **1** [5] **-** 3:2, 8:6, 8:17, 10:17, 106:10 1,000 [2] - 115:18, 115:19 **1-800** [1] - 27:5 10 [9] - 3:11, 18:23, 19:25, 20:19, 21:18, 112:5, 113:6, 113:10, 115:11 100 [1] - 50:5 **11** [5] - 1:18, 20:2, 20:5, 20:24, 21:18 **12** [2] - 20:2, 115:11 **120** [4] - 1:16, 2:5, 2:10, 124:22 13 [6] - 20:24, 21:2, 21:7, 21:13, 21:16, 22:3 **144,000** [1] - 23:16 **15**[1] - 115:6 175,000 [1] - 23:17 **1964** [1] - 24:24 **1:51** [1] - 96:8 **2** [3] - 3:3, 8:6, 11:9 2002 [1] - 7:7 **2014** [7] - 8:5, 115:9, 115:14, 116:25, 118:6, 118:10, 120:18 2016 [2] - 23:15, 53:3 2016-ish [1] - 83:9 2017 [1] - 96:8 **2020** [2] - 1:18, 125:20 24[1] - 96:8 24th [1] - 125:20 2600 [3] - 1:16, 2:5, 124:22 **28** [2] - 30:1, 30:3 29 [2] - 30:7, 30:20 **3**[6] - 3:4, 12:5, 75:14, 75:18, 93:13, 93:18 30 [1] - 79:15 30(b)(6 [5] - 23:20, 31:6, 68:6, 106:8, 113:20 34 [4] - 19:3, 109:8, 109:23, 110:3 **36** [2] - 110:15, 110:25 37 [1] - 111:11 38 [1] - 111:11 39 [2] - 19:3, 111:11 4[7] - 2:16, 3:5, 9:9, 9:22, 13:5, 81:11, 81:15 400 [1] - 2:11 43 [5] - 20:10, 112:7,

112:12, 112:13, 112:19 **46** [3] - 20:25, 21:11, 112:25 **47** [3] - 20:25, 21:11, 112:25 **5** [7] - 3:6, 14:5, 82:2, 82:6, 91:8, 91:13, 91:19 500 [1] - 115:19 55402 [3] - 2:6, 2:11, 124:23 5th [1] - 9:22 6 [8] - 3:7, 9:11, 9:13, 14:24, 84:21, 84:25, 88:1, 100:20 6th [5] - 1:16, 2:5, 2:10, 22:25, 124:22 7 [12] - 3:8, 9:16, 14:5, 15:21, 19:21, 20:19, 21:18, 95:22, 96:1, 106:15, 106:19, 107:14 **70** [2] - 29:4, 29:9 8 [14] - 3:9, 9:16, 16:25, 18:23, 19:21, 20:19, 21:18, 97:3, 97:7, 109:7, 109:18, 109:19, 109:25, 110:16 9 [10] - 3:10, 10:5, 18:9, 108:12, 108:16, 109:24, 110:16, 111:10, 111:11, 112:6 9:09 [1] - 1:17 **9:32** [1] - 4:3 **a.m** [2] - 1:17, 4:3 ability [3] - 6:23, 70:9, 104:12 able [6] - 34:1, 61:11, 63:14, 81:25, 100:3, 111:15 absences [1] - 65:19 absolutely [1] - 39:15 access [6] - 50:6, 69:20, 69:22, 71:3, 96:22, 104:12 account [1] - 94:15 accountable [1] accuracy [1] - 46:23 accurate [7] - 43:11, 85:15, 87:5, 90:12, 92:8, 94:11, 99:15 accusation [2] -

39:22, 47:7

35:10

accusations [1] -

accused [23] - 35:21,

37:9, 37:20, 38:2, 38:10, 38:16, 38:20, 38:22, 39:1, 39:4, 39:5, 39:8, 39:9, 39:13, 40:9, 44:1, 45:6, 46:13, 51:7, 51:22, 52:8, 117:8 achieve [1] - 39:16 Act [1] - 24:23 act [2] - 46:3, 118:17 Action [2] - 52:2, 59:8 action [45] - 16:1, 16:15, 17:14, 17:17, 21:25, 32:21, 33:9, 33:18, 46:2, 46:4, 46:7, 47:11, 47:21, 51:7, 59:9, 59:19, 60:5, 60:9, 60:10, 60:15, 60:23, 61:2, 61:18, 61:25, 62:10, 62:12, 63:1, 63:2, 63:15, 63:17, 63:19, 64:8, 64:11, 65:12, 66:5, 66:20, 71:2, 76:21, 103:15, 103:16, 110:7, 110:9, 117:9, 119:20, 125:15 actions [7] - 17:5, 76:19, 95:17, 103:14, 107:2, 110:13, 120:1 activities [1] - 116:22 actual [4] - 11:10, 54:21, 58:21, 60:12 add [3] - 29:13, 70:22, 85:13 added [3] - 70:7, 85:22, 105:6 addition [4] - 24:16, 32:5, 113:15, 123:5 additional [5] - 19:23, 20:21, 21:1, 44:1, 80:2 address [4] - 26:15, 59:15, 65:16, 80:24 addressed [3] - 55:18, 110:7, 110:12 addressing [1] - 11:11 admin [1] - 94:3 administration [1] -30:22 admits [1] - 41:23 admitted [1] - 45:7 advising [1] - 53:11 affect [2] - 6:23, 53:25 affirmatively [1] -61:22 afraid [1] - 93:24

14:25, 15:22, 24:11, 24:16, 24:19, 24:21, 25:4, 25:7, 25:20, 26:15, 26:18, 26:25, 31:3, 31:14, 32:22, 33:20, 34:11, 38:18, 38:20, 38:21, 38:23, 39:19, 40:21, 40:25, 41:3, 41:6, 41:15, 42:2, 42:7, 42:22, 43:2, 43:12, 43:13, 43:16, 43:17, 43:20, 43:21, 43:23, 43:24, 44:9, 44:14, 44:22, 45:8, 45:10, 45:16, 45:24, 46:2, 46:8, 46:13, 47:12, 48:12, 49:7, 49:9, 49:13, 50:10, 50:12, 50:21, 51:2, 51:6, 51:22, 52:5, 52:8, 52:12, 53:11, 68:23, 69:11, 71:5, 72:7, 73:23, 74:1, 74:4, 74:5, 74:23, 86:6, 86:7, 87:10, 88:13, 89:1, 89:3, 89:7, 89:19, 89:22, 90:2, 92:12, 94:14, 95:8, 95:15, 98:15, 99:2, 106:23, 107:15, 107:17, 109:4, 114:18, 115:6, 115:8, 115:12, 115:13 age-related [1] - 95:15 ago [2] - 108:24, 121:10 Aguilar [2] - 93:11, 93:14 Aguilar's [1] - 94:15 allegation [10] - 42:18, 43:1, 44:9, 51:11, 85:22, 88:13, 88:16, 88:22, 109:21, 118:25 allegations [28] - 19:2, 20:9, 21:11, 26:18, 33:4, 35:14, 36:15, 38:3, 43:12, 48:4, 50:16, 68:24, 81:6, 85:14, 87:9, 89:7, 89:22, 95:7, 107:15, 109:12, 110:1, 111:21, 116:2. 116:9, 118:20, 119:13, 119:15 alleged [13] - 34:9, 43:24, 49:9, 74:13, 81:7, 85:8, 86:3, 86:4, 88:25, 89:14,

95:15, 118:23, 119:3 allegedly [1] - 39:9 alleges [1] - 118:18 alleging [2] - 44:3, 49:13 **AMERICAN** [1] - 1:2 analyst [2] - 7:24, 30:4 analysts [3] - 29:23, 29:25, 30:10 analytic [1] - 53:17 AND [1] - 125:20 anonymous [5] -25:18, 26:14, 27:17, 27:23, 28:4 anonymously [2] -27:20, 38:8 answer [17] - 5:13, 5:22, 6:2, 6:8, 6:9, 10:7, 19:20, 19:24, 36:4, 52:22, 68:7, 105:16, 113:21, 113:22, 113:24, 115:20, 117:23 answering [2] - 5:19, 29:16 anti [2] - 25:7, 53:11 anti-age [2] - 25:7, 53:11 antidiscrimination [4] - 26:1, 31:17, 31:22, 53:2 apologizing [1] -81:21 appeal [1] - 76:3 Appeal [4] - 76:5, 76:12, 79:7, 79:17 appealing [2] - 76:8, 76:9 APPEARANCES [1] -2.1 applicable [1] - 14:7 applicants [1] - 13:10 applications [1] -83:24 applied [1] - 15:1 appropriate [10] -33:2, 34:22, 35:2, 35:13, 37:8, 47:2, 47:3, 47:23, 102:9 approximate [4] -10:18, 23:14, 83:5, 114:17 approximation [1] -9:23 **April** [2] - 8:5, 115:9 arbitration [2] - 4:24, 9:3 ARBITRATION [1] -Arbitration [5] - 1:5,

age [100] - 11:12, 13:8,

19:4, 20:11, 21:12, 108:21 area [2] - 30:10, 64:23 areas [1] - 27:7 aside [6] - 83:19, 89:13, 109:24, 110:25, 111:17, 112:15 assessed [1] - 104:17 assessment [8] -15:22, 17:1, 55:8, 55:24, 102:10, 102:14, 102:18, 106:22 assignment [1] -47:20 assignments [1] -15:2 assigns [1] - 77:7 assist [3] - 55:23, 59:1, 60:10 associated [7] -16:12, 17:15, 17:18, 19:15, 21:20, 21:23, 46:7 associating [1] - 46:1 ASSOCIATION [1] -1:2 assume [3] - 6:3, 29:16, 77:15 assuming [2] - 79:10, 80:25 assumption [2] -87:20, 110:11 attached [2] - 80:21, 105:5 attaches [1] - 77:4 attempt [1] - 99:5 attendance [3] - 65:2, 65:17, 65:18 attest [1] - 32:16 attestation [3] - 32:6, 32:9, 32:11 attorney [6] - 6:6, 13:1, 78:14, 108:2. 108:7, 121:6 attorney-client [1] -121:6 attorneys [9] - 13:3, 14:2, 14:22, 15:19, 16:22, 18:3, 22:2, 23:5, 121:8 available 171 - 27:6. 48:20, 48:21, 48:23, 49:15, 54:20, 64:20 avoid [2] - 5:19, 18:19 aware [24] - 26:17, 27:2, 38:17, 39:18, 42:16, 45:18, 49:1, 50:4, 54:11, 63:16,

68:13, 68:15, 68:25, 69:13, 74:3, 74:15, 83:19, 84:13, 96:24, 105:12, 105:18, 106:6, 112:22, 118:2 bachelor's [1] - 7:3 background [2] - 7:2, 86:23 backup [3] - 49:24, 50:4, 50:7 ballpark [1] - 115:6 based [16] - 13:8, 25:3, 31:24, 32:10, 42:19, 45:8, 55:8, 89:19, 99:17, 99:20, 102:10, 102:24, 116:10, 116:11, 118:21, 122:10 basic [2] - 5:7, 29:23 basis [2] - 32:17, 98:20 bathroom [1] - 6:13 BE[1] - 125:3 becoming [1] - 92:5 begin [2] - 27:14, 28:11 beginning [5] - 5:13, 41:17, 81:9, 90:6, 117:25 begins [9] - 24:6, 86:11, 86:15, 88:2, 88:4, 92:1, 98:4, 99:9, 102:5 begun [1] - 28:1 Behalf [2] - 2:2, 2:8 behalf [6] - 4:13, 4:15, 4:16, 24:8, 37:10, 37:21 behavior [7] - 59:14, 62:17, 62:24, 63:12, 87:2, 119:17, 119:24 behavioral [1] - 59:16 believes [1] - 87:21 below [2] - 30:1, 30:3 benefits [1] - 27:9 best [2] - 5:12, 6:1 better [4] - 42:24, 43:8, 58:4, 93:18 between [16] - 1:5, 16:13, 19:17, 28:3, 33:25, 41:20, 42:13, 58:1, 64:7, 70:2, 70:4, 74:11, 85:4, 96:4, 96:25, 115:19 beyond [8] - 42:20, 43:2, 52:15, 68:5, 72:20, 89:8, 89:23, 113:19

bigger [1] - 92:5

birth [1] - 113:15

bit [3] - 54:5, 69:17, 84:1 bottom [3] - 90:5, 91:21, 98:4 Brady [6] - 90:9, 90:16, 90:18, 90:20, 90:22, 91:5 break [12] - 6:11, 6:13, 6:17, 10:13, 51:14, 51:16, 73:17, 73:19, 106:2, 106:3, 122:14 bringing [2] - 48:12, 48:23 brings [1] - 49:7 brought [6] - 33:19, 35:20, 74:13, 75:3, 75:4, 104:20 bullets [1] - 81:5 business [3] - 7:4, 55:15 but.. [1] - 29:14 BY [57] - 4:19, 8:8, 8:24, 10:15, 11:8, 22:15, 23:13, 23:25, 31:11, 36:11, 37:18, 41:12, 43:10, 44:18, 45:19, 46:21, 49:2, 49:19, 51:1, 51:19, 52:17, 68:10, 68:20, 72:24, 73:11, 73:21, 75:16, 76:25, 81:13, 82:4, 84:23, 87:24, 89:12, 92:19, 94:24, 95:24, 97:5, 99:3, 100:10, 104:25, 105:20, 106:4, 107:24, 108:14, 111:9, 112:4, 112:23, 113:8, 113:25, 114:8, 115:3, 115:21, 116:3, 116:24, 117:6, 119:11, 121:1 candidates [1] - 13:11 CAP [3] - 99:13, 99:19, 99:25 capacity [9] - 8:13, 18:15, 18:17, 24:3, 24:5, 114:10, 114:11, 114:20, 115:10 Capital [2] - 33:2, 77:21 capital [1] - 34:1 careers [1] - 15:13 Carol [1] - 1:10 case [35] - 7:16, 13:20, 16:16, 16:17, 16:18, 17:19, 27:13, 27:25,

30:15, 30:19, 32:19, 36:20, 45:20, 50:13, 66:9, 67:11, 69:15, 69:18, 70:6, 70:13, 70:23, 71:7, 72:15, 77:12, 86:4, 105:6, 105:7, 116:22, 117:24, 120:24, 121:2 Case [2] - 1:6, 1:10 cases [13] - 29:23, 29:25, 30:3, 30:6, 30:20, 30:21, 47:3, 68:16, 77:5, 77:7, 115:18, 115:19 category [1] - 35:25 caused [1] - 87:1 causes [1] - 86:24 caveat [1] - 118:14 certain [5] - 24:18, 34:24, 48:19, 48:20, 75:10 certainty [1] - 50:5 CERTIFICATE [1] -125:1 certifications [1] -7:12 certify [1] - 123:1 cetera [1] - 70:25 chain [1] - 86:10 chance [1] - 80:1 chances [4] - 86:15, 86:19, 90:6, 90:9 change [15] - 8:3, 46:11, 53:8, 123:4, 123:10, 123:13, 123:16, 123:19, 123:22, 123:25, 124:3, 124:7, 124:10, 124:13, 124:16 changed [3] - 53:2, 85:18, 85:20 changes [4] - 53:5, 53:9, 53:24, 94:1 charge [1] - 105:22 charged [2] - 125:17, 125:18 choice [1] - 33:10 choose [2] - 61:3, 63:20 chose [1] - 77:23 chosen [1] - 77:21 circumstance [4] -36:24, 37:19, 63:13, 67:9 circumstances [7] -36:13, 37:2, 47:14, 47:24, 67:5, 68:11,

Civil [1] - 24:23 claim [56] - 27:11, 32:22, 33:20, 34:7, 34:10, 34:25, 35:19, 35:20, 39:10, 39:19, 40:7, 41:6, 41:15, 41:22, 41:24, 42:1, 42:4, 42:22, 43:23, 43:25, 45:24, 46:8, 48:13, 48:16, 48:24, 49:7, 51:2, 51:23, 52:12, 71:5, 72:7, 74:4, 74:23, 92:12, 98:5, 98:8, 98:13, 98:22, 99:6, 105:19, 106:6, 107:9, 107:15, 107:19, 107:21, 108:1, 108:8, 111:6, 111:17, 111:24, 112:16, 113:2, 118:3, 118:7, 118:11, 118:13 Claimant [4] - 2:2, 4:10, 4:13, 13:11 Claimant's [8] - 15:22, 15:24, 17:1, 19:3, 20:10, 21:12, 106:23, 106:24 claiming [1] - 47:11 claims [25] - 4:24, 15:23, 34:17, 35:18, 35:23, 43:16, 43:20, 43:22, 50:9, 52:5, 74:5, 94:14, 99:1. 106:23, 107:18, 109:4, 112:2, 114:18, 115:6, 115:8, 115:14, 116:1, 116:5, 116:12 clarify [3] - 12:22, 49:3, 93:2 clarifying [1] - 107:11 clarity [2] - 4:25, 29:15 clear [11] - 5:14, 5:22, 5:23, 18:18, 23:23, 24:6, 24:7, 78:22, 93:15, 117:16, 118:5 clearly [1] - 85:12 client [12] - 100:13, 100:16, 100:23, 101:5, 101:8, 101:10, 101:12, 101:13, 101:16, 101:19, 101:21, 121:6 closed [2] - 81:22, 105:7 closing [1] - 97:19 co [1] - 106:24

68:14

28:8, 30:5, 30:9,

co-workers [1] -106:24 code [4] - 32:5, 32:8, 32:12, 65:3 collaboration [1] -92:6 colleague [31] - 16:12, 17:15, 19:14, 21:22, 47:4, 55:6, 55:23, 55:25, 56:6, 56:11, 56:18, 57:10, 57:24, 58:1, 58:9, 58:15, 58:21, 81:19, 81:24, 82:10, 82:16, 83:1, 83:20, 91:9, 92:13, 92:21, 93:10, 94:15, 100:19, 101:9, 103:8 colleagues [6] -56:19, 57:15, 57:19, 59:5, 83:18, 103:9 colleagues' [1] - 58:18 collect [4] - 38:22, 38:23, 55:23, 55:25 collected [5] - 82:24, 83:2, 83:4, 83:10, 99:21 collecting [2] - 12:6, collection [3] - 15:25. 17:4, 106:25 combined [1] - 11:17 comfortable [1] - 92:7 commenced [1] - 4:2 commencing [1] -1:17 comment [3] - 74:13, 88:25, 98:9 comments [14] - 61:3, 61:5, 61:6, 61:7, 61:12, 61:18, 63:15, 63:19, 89:13, 89:18, 95:7, 95:15, 99:1, 120:1 common [23] - 12:14, 12:16, 14:16, 16:11, 17:14, 19:12, 19:13, 21:19, 21:24, 55:2, 55:10, 56:8, 56:12, 57:6, 57:13, 57:17, 57:21, 58:11, 58:15, 63:22, 64:13, 64:14, 116:9 commonly [2] - 55:16, 116:6 communicate [2] -66:23, 80:4 communication [8] -15:23, 17:2, 84:12, 93:23, 94:7, 94:19, 106:24, 121:7

communications [1] -121:8 company [8] - 15:9, 26:15, 31:13, 54:18, 68:14, 98:11, 122:4, 122:5 company's [1] - 15:14 company-wide [1] -26:15 compared [2] - 48:13, 122:1 comparing [1] - 85:9 complainant [5] -40:16, 41:1, 41:17, 41:18, 46:7 complaining [1] -69:25 complaint [8] - 32:25, 35:5, 35:11, 40:14, 47:12, 71:9, 74:16, 105:13 Complaint's [1] - 17:3 complaints [12] -11:12, 13:6, 28:18, 28:21, 30:23, 68:22, 69:3, 69:6, 69:10, 69:14, 117:1, 118:22 complete [2] - 55:21, 56:5 completed [2] - 32:15, 54:21 completing [2] - 64:3, 94:5 complex [2] - 29:25, 30:6 compliance [8] -25:18, 26:21, 27:21, 28:6, 28:8, 30:11, 53:19, 53:20 computer [6] - 32:10, 49:10, 49:15, 49:21, 49:23, 50:1 computer-based [1] -32:10 concern [5] - 28:7, 33:3, 34:23, 59:12, 62:11 concerns [8] - 13:6, 25:14, 25:15, 25:19, 28:19, 55:17, 66:10, 80:4 concluded [3] - 70:12, 72:13, 73:2 concludes [1] - 50:11 conclusion [3] -72:18, 97:24, 99:25 condition [2] - 25:5, 116:11 conduct [5] - 32:6,

32:12, 59:16, 65:3,

65:4 conducted [3] - 54:1, 85:19, 85:21 conducting [1] - 12:6 conference [11] -74:11, 74:19, 79:14, 79:18, 79:21, 79:24, 79:25, 80:11, 80:14, 80:15, 96:25 confidential [1] -69:23 confirm [1] - 10:1 confusion [2] - 18:19, 94:10 conjecture [1] - 90:3 connection [1] - 78:1 consider [5] - 28:7. 41:22, 41:24, 55:12, 65:22 consideration [6] -55:8, 102:22, 103:1, 103:8, 103:13, 103:21 considered [4] - 55:2, 62:15, 62:20, 119:23 consultants [1] - 30:2 contact [4] - 27:7, 32:24, 66:7, 66:9 contacted [1] - 77:13 contacting [1] - 70:1 contained [4] - 49:25, 71:16, 72:1, 72:5 contains [1] - 70:19 content [5] - 18:23, 20:5, 21:7, 83:14, 108:25 contention [1] - 99:10 context [2] - 32:9, 44:3 continue [1] - 63:7 continues [1] - 91:17 continuing [2] - 9:16, 94:11 contribute [1] - 34:10 contributions [1] -98:10 conversation [17] -41:20, 42:13, 42:15, 42:19, 42:24, 43:3, 43:13, 61:12, 67:2, 70:25, 84:16, 87:11, 96:9, 96:13, 96:19, 98:19, 100:24 conversations [4] -39:7, 59:2, 70:2, 75:3 copied [3] - 81:23, 82:9, 82:12

copy [5] - 77:14, 77:18, 78:5, 78:15, 105:4 corporate [1] - 11:10 corporation [1] - 9:7 correct [21] - 12:3, 18:10, 22:13, 23:21, 51:24, 59:4, 63:10, 64:21, 76:6, 80:12, 85:10, 89:16, 98:15, 102:1, 102:11, 103:5, 103:10, 103:25, 120:14, 121:22, 123:3 Corrective [2] - 52:1, 59:8 corrective [35] -16:15, 17:13, 17:17, 21:25, 59:9, 59:19, 60:4, 60:9, 60:15, 60:23, 61:2, 61:17, 61:25, 62:10, 62:12, 63:1, 63:2, 63:15, 63:17, 63:18, 64:8. 64:11, 65:12, 66:5, 71:2, 76:19, 76:21, 95:17, 103:14, 103:15, 110:7, 110:8, 110:13 correctly [5] - 74:9, 83:9, 88:10, 88:24, 91:10 correspondence [2] -16:13, 70:4 cost [1] - 125:17 counsel [5] - 18:8, 30:25, 52:21, 106:7, 125:13 COUNTY [1] - 125:2 couple [3] - 93:16, 94:4, 110:18 course [2] - 32:20, 50:25 court [4] - 5:10, 45:5, 46:19, 100:8 covered [1] - 31:8 coworkers [2] - 15:24, 17:3 create [1] - 60:12 created [2] - 72:11, 103:4 creation [3] - 15:25, 17:4, 107:1 credentials [1] - 7:12 criteria [2] - 57:20, 66:4 current[11] - 7:14, 13:9, 14:8, 30:14,

84:9 daily [1] - 12:1 Daniel [2] - 2:4, 4:12 database [2] - 13:21, 69:19 date [5] - 9:21, 80:25, 81:3, 83:5 dated [1] - 80:24 dates [4] - 81:2, 82:23, 113:15 David [14] - 74:19, 77:17, 77:19, 83:17, 84:4, 84:15, 85:5, 85:17, 86:1, 94:25, 96:5, 96:7, 97:1, 105:4 David's [1] - 102:21 **DAY** [1] - 125:20 days [1] - 79:15 deadline [2] - 79:7, 80:17 deal [2] - 116:5, 116:12 dealt [1] - 115:14 decide [2] - 67:25, 70:22 decision [12] - 34:3, 47:23, 66:24, 67:16, 67:22, 70:19, 95:9, 95:10, 95:18, 102:24, 104:17 decisions [2] - 64:4, 64:5 deem [1] - 96:16 **defendant** [1] - 6:20 deficiencies [3] -55:4, 84:6, 84:9 definitely [6] - 37:24, 38:1, 43:15, 43:19, 45:9, 46:5 definitively [2] -44:14, 45:16 degree [3] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:8 delay [1] - 81:21 Demand [4] - 19:4, 20:10, 21:12, 108:21 denied [1] - 98:14 denies [1] - 41:21 Dennis [4] - 2:3, 2:16, 4:9, 124:21 **DENNIS** [93] - 4:9, 4:19, 8:8, 8:24, 10:6, 10:11, 10:14, 10:15, 11:6, 11:8, 22:12, 22:15, 23:8, 23:11, 23:13, 23:22, 23:25, 31:8, 31:11, 36:4, 48:12, 48:22, 49:6, 36:11, 37:13, 37:17, 50:8, 50:25, 51:3, 37:18, 41:11, 41:12,

copies [2] - 125:18,

125:18

43:7, 43:10, 44:18, 45:2, 45:12, 45:19, 46:16, 46:21, 49:2, 49:19, 51:1, 51:15, 51:17, 51:19, 52:16, 52:17, 68:7, 68:10, 68:20, 72:24, 73:11, 73:16, 73:20, 73:21, 75:16, 76:25, 81:13, 82:1, 82:4, 84:23, 87:24, 89:11, 89:12, 92:17, 92:19, 94:24, 95:24, 97:5, 99:3, 100:5, 100:10, 104:25, 105:16, 105:20, 105:25, 106:4, 107:13, 107:17, 107:24, 108:14, 111:9, 112:4, 112:23, 113:8, 113:21, 113:25, 114:6, 114:8, 115:3, 115:21, 116:3, 116:24, 117:6, 119:11, 121:1, 122:12, 122:15 deny [8] - 19:1, 20:8, 21:10, 109:11, 109:25, 110:24, 111:20, 112:18 department [5] -28:25, 29:3, 53:17, 53:19, 101:21 dependent [2] - 41:16, 42:12 deposed [1] - 5:4 deposes [1] - 4:6 **DEPOSITION** [3] -1:12, 2:15, 123:7 deposition [14] - 1:13, 4:2, 9:3, 9:6, 10:17, 22:17, 23:20, 24:2, 52:22, 123:2, 125:4, 125:10, 125:12, 125:17 Deposition [11] - 1:15, 8:6, 75:14, 81:11, 82:2, 84:21, 95:22, 97:3, 108:12, 112:6, 113:6 describe [3] - 7:1, 31:20, 34:19 describing [1] - 118:5 design [2] - 94:9, 94:20 designate [1] - 18:13 designed [1] - 122:10 desired [1] - 123:5

120:11, 121:11 determination [3] -33:13, 47:21, 96:16 determine [5] - 34:24, 40:24, 44:22, 45:24, 58:3 determined [1] - 67:14 determining [2] -102:22, 102:23 develop [1] - 121:17 developer [1] - 90:11 developers 131 - 85:9. 90:19 developing [1] - 94:3 development [4] -120:3, 120:7, 120:20, 121:3 dictated [1] - 55:14 difference [2] - 17:23, different [13] - 21:5, 48:12, 57:23, 67:16, 71:3, 71:12, 85:25, 117:19, 120:15, 121:25, 122:5, 122:9 differently [1] - 88:17 difficult [6] - 5:20, 48:5, 75:1, 75:8, 104:11, 110:4 direct [2] - 37:7, 88:13 direction [2] - 81:8, 125:8 directly [2] - 47:16, 48:1 disagreement [1] -104:21 discarded [1] - 72:18 disciplinary [3] - 14:6, 51:7, 117:9 disciplined [3] -118:24, 119:2, 119:6 disclosed [1] - 39:1 discovered [3] -18:25, 20:7, 21:8 discrepancies [1] -87:16 discretion [4] - 56:14, 62:18, 66:19, 73:10 discretionary [1] -57:4 discriminated [3] -41:19, 50:9, 86:6 discriminating [2] -45:7, 87:23 discrimination [112] -11:12, 13:7, 15:1, 15:23, 24:11, 24:15, 25:7, 25:14, 25:21, 26:5, 26:13, 26:16, 26:19, 27:1, 30:23,

31:3, 31:14, 32:3, 32:12, 32:22, 33:20, 34:7, 34:11, 37:20, 38:18, 38:20, 38:21, 38:23, 39:11, 39:19, 40:21, 40:25, 41:3, 41:7, 41:15, 42:2, 42:7, 42:18, 42:22, 43:2, 43:12, 43:14, 43:16, 43:18, 43:20, 43:21, 43:23, 43:24, 44:9, 44:15, 44:22, 45:11, 45:16, 45:25, 46:2, 46:8, 46:13, 47:12, 48:13, 49:7, 49:9, 49:13, 50:12, 50:21, 51:3, 51:6, 51:22, 52:5, 52:8, 52:12, 53:11, 68:23, 69:11, 71:5, 72:7, 73:24, 74:1, 74:4, 74:6, 74:23, 87:2, 87:10, 87:15, 88:13, 89:8, 89:23, 92:12, 94:14, 106:23, 107:16, 107:18, 109:5, 114:19, 115:6, 115:8, 115:14, 116:5, 116:10, 116:13, 117:1, 117:9, 117:25, 118:3, 118:8, 118:12, 118:16, 118:23, 119:1, 119:4, 119:14 discriminatory [1] -89:19 discuss [5] - 27:12, 33:3, 34:22, 35:13, 66:10 discussed [2] - 52:7, 63:22 discusses [1] - 32:7 discussion [3] - 13:7, 23:10, 33:6 disparate [1] - 13:8 Dispute [2] - 76:5, 76:12 dispute [54] - 16:9, 16:14, 16:16, 16:17, 17:16, 17:18, 19:14, 19:16, 19:18, 21:20, 21:21, 21:23, 28:22, 33:8, 33:10, 33:24, 34:12, 40:13, 47:17, 48:7, 50:13, 60:15, 60:19, 60:24, 61:1, 61:4, 61:13, 67:11, 67:12, 67:13, 67:24,

71:14, 71:25, 74:2, 74:6, 74:10, 75:21, 75:24, 76:2, 78:9, 79:5, 79:20, 81:2, 86:21, 96:6, 103:23, 104:15, 105:11, 109:14, 111:8, 113:3 disputed [2] - 61:17, 104:6 disputing [7] - 33:13, 33:18, 47:22, 60:22, 61:15, 76:13, 76:16 distinction [1] -117:11 diversity [1] - 15:2 division [1] - 24:6 document [55] - 8:19. 9:1, 9:3, 9:10, 9:19, 11:17, 18:23, 27:13, 59:12, 59:15, 60:8, 65:21, 70:24, 71:1, 71:21, 75:17, 75:18, 75:20, 76:4, 81:14, 81:15, 81:17, 82:5, 82:6, 82:20, 84:24, 84:25, 85:2, 85:7, 86:10, 95:25, 96:1, 96:3, 97:6, 97:7, 97:9, 97:16, 101:15, 106:16, 108:15, 108:16, 108:20, 108:23, 109:1, 109:4, 109:8, 113:9, 113:10, 113:12, 113:17, 114:2, 114:5, 114:7 documentation [1] -70:2 documented [6] -27:24, 60:1, 62:12, 62:22, 69:24, 71:17 documents [67] - 5:1, 10:22, 10:25, 11:15, 11:18, 16:10, 17:20, 17:24, 18:24, 19:15, 19:20, 19:23, 20:6, 20:18, 20:22, 21:1, 21:7, 21:15, 21:17, 21:21, 22:5, 40:18, 40:20, 40:22, 40:24, 41:3, 41:4, 48:19, 48:21, 49:14, 49:25, 50:7, 54:10, 54:12, 54:21, 60:2, 60:4, 60:6, 60:11, 66:14, 69:1, 69:4, 69:10, 70:11, 70:13, 70:19, 70:22, 71:11, 71:20, 72:11, 72:14, 72:17, 72:25, 73:13, 84:11,

88:20, 102:21, 102:25, 103:1, 103:2, 103:3, 103:7, 103:12, 103:17, 103:20, 104:1, 104:6 done [11] - 36:18, 39:9, 55:14, 55:16, 56:1, 56:3, 56:14, 93:18, 111:16, 117:19, 122:15 down [4] - 5:10, 5:14, 86:16, 92:1 downsizing [1] -30:21 drive [1] - 50:3 drummed [1] - 90:1 Drysdale [25] - 74:12, 74:19, 77:17, 77:19, 77:25, 81:18, 83:17, 84:15, 85:18, 92:24, 94:25, 96:7, 96:9, 97:1, 99:5, 99:24, 100:24, 101:16, 102:13, 102:17, 104:5, 104:9, 110:14, 111:1, 111:18 Drysdale's [2] - 98:19, 109:21 due[1] - 50:10 duly [2] - 4:5, 125:5 Duraimanickam [15] -1:8, 2:8, 13:12, 14:9, 68:23, 69:3, 69:12, 82:17, 89:9, 98:8, 98:14, 98:20, 101:25, 103:4, 103:18 during [12] - 10:13, 10:19, 13:12, 23:15, 24:1, 59:1, 67:12, 74:25, 75:2, 88:2, 88:5, 94:5 ease [1] - 29:15 edited [1] - 83:11 educational [1] - 7:2 **EEOC** [15] - 105:13, 105:19, 105:22, 106:7, 107:9, 107:15, 107:18, 107:21, 108:1, 108:8, 111:5, 111:17, 111:24, 112:16, 113:2 egregious [5] - 51:11, 52:1, 62:10, 62:22, 62:23 egregiousness [1] -119:9 eight [2] - 114:16,

details [3] - 38:1,

70:17, 71:9, 71:10,

115:5 either [13] - 17:25, 27:19, 31:9, 34:6, 35:18, 42:25, 48:16, 58:11, 62:21, 74:7, 91:5, 110:12, 117:22 electronic [1] - 31:24 elevated [3] - 62:4, 62:14, 110:8 email [14] - 16:13, 27:19, 63:18, 70:4, 74:12, 80:20, 81:18, 85:3, 85:4, 85:17, 86:10, 96:4, 96:7, 96:18 emails [4] - 19:16, 21:19, 75:5, 103:24 employed [3] - 10:18, 23:15, 49:22 employee [125] - 7:16, 7:24, 12:18, 14:5, 15:1, 27:8, 27:9, 27:10, 27:11, 28:14, 28:15, 28:16, 28:17, 28:21, 28:25, 29:3, 29:12, 29:22, 29:24, 30:2, 30:3, 30:4, 30:5, 30:10, 30:11, 30:15, 30:19, 30:23, 32:19, 32:21, 32:25, 33:1, 33:10, 33:13, 33:18, 33:25, 34:8, 34:21, 35:12, 36:7, 41:1, 47:11, 47:15, 47:21, 48:1, 48:10, 48:12, 48:13, 48:22, 48:23, 49:4, 49:7, 49:8, 49:12, 49:22, 50:8, 50:14, 50:21, 50:25, 51:3, 53:13, 53:18, 53:23, 54:8, 54:13, 54:17, 55:5, 56:22, 57:16, 58:17, 60:7, 60:14, 60:22, 61:2, 61:10, 61:14, 61:16, 61:21, 63:7, 63:10, 63:14, 63:17, 63:25, 64:6, 64:18, 64:20, 65:23, 66:4, 66:8, 66:12, 66:13, 66:14, 66:15, 66:16, 66:17, 66:21, 66:24, 67:1, 67:3, 67:6, 67:10, 67:25, 68:2, 69:24, 70:1, 70:3, 70:6, 70:21, 70:25, 71:8, 71:13, 71:15, 72:3, 78:12, 79:2, 79:10, 80:1, 81:1, 81:5, 81:6, 97:14,

105:10, 118:18, 125:15 Employee [1] - 69:21 employee's [17] -33:16, 35:10, 35:23, 45:23, 49:25, 56:18, 56:20, 66:25, 68:3, 71:24, 72:4, 72:14, 80:24, 97:11, 97:12, 118:7, 118:11 employees [27] - 11:5, 13:10, 14:7, 25:2, 25:12, 25:13, 26:3, 26:9, 26:12, 26:13, 27:6, 28:17, 28:19, 29:5, 29:19, 30:1, 30:7, 30:20, 30:25, 32:6, 54:22, 65:14, 66:9, 113:13, 120:9, 122:2 employment [5] -25:15, 25:20, 66:25, 78:14, 102:9 Employment [1] -15:14 enacted [1] - 24:22 encompassed [1] -87:10 encounter [1] - 94:6 end [4] - 32:14, 98:12, 101:20, 101:22 end-user [2] - 101:20, 101:22 enforce [3] - 25:6, 25:9, 25:16 enforced [1] - 25:23 enforcing [2] - 26:1, 26:4 engaged [2] - 52:9, 111:1 ensure [2] - 25:2, 66:15 entail [1] - 54:25 enter [5] - 61:2, 61:5, 61:6, 65:24, 67:3 entirety [1] - 29:9 Equal [1] - 15:14 equally [1] - 26:8 ERRATA [1] - 123:7 Errata [1] - 124:20 error [1] - 45:13 errors [2] - 79:1, 87:1 especially [4] - 39:9, 86:25, 104:13, 110:5 Esquire [4] - 2:3, 2:4, 2:9, 124:21 **essence** [1] - 58:5 essentially [1] - 64:23 estimate [1] - 22:21 et [1] - 70:25

ethics [7] - 25:19, 26:14, 26:22, 27:17, 27:21, 27:23, 28:6 ethnicity [1] - 25:4 evaluated [1] - 58:17 evaluation [1] - 55:6 event [1] - 119:15 events [1] - 119:3 evidence [9] - 41:5, 41:13, 41:18, 44:13, 44:20, 85:25, 99:20, 99:24, 100:11 **exactly** [1] - 75:9 examination [1] - 2:16 **EXAMINATION** [1] -4.18 example [5] - 41:18, 47:22, 49:3, 65:17, examples [7] - 37:24, 60:10, 86:20, 87:3, 87:4, 88:8, 118:19 Excel [2] - 11:4, 114:4 except [3] - 85:21, 107:22, 123:3 **exception** [1] - 116:17 exchange [3] - 85:3, 85:4, 96:4 excluding [1] - 68:24 excuse [1] - 81:20 exhibit [1] - 93:5 Exhibit [41] - 3:2, 3:3, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:8, 3:9, 3:10, 3:11, 8:6, 8:17, 75:14, 75:18, 81:11, 81:15, 82:2, 82:6, 84:21, 84:25, 88:1, 91:8, 91:13, 91:19, 95:22, 96:1, 97:3, 97:7, 100:20, 106:10, 108:12, 108:16, 109:18, 109:19, 109:24, 109:25, 110:16, 111:11, 112:6, 113:6, 113:10 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 3:1 expand [1] - 117:15 expectations [3] -32:1, 122:6, 122:10 experience [2] -118:6, 118:10 experienced [1] -90:11 experiences [1] -68:16 explain [5] - 60:21, 62:2, 78:21, 84:1, 87:4

86:19 exposure [1] - 115:10 extensive [1] - 64:2 extent [1] - 121:6 **extremely** [1] - 62:22 faced [1] - 117:9 facilitating [2] - 28:20, 28:22 facilitation [1] - 33:5 facts [3] - 76:11, 110:24, 112:19 factual [6] - 19:2, 20:9, 21:10, 109:12, 110:1, 111:21 fair [5] - 6:4, 6:17, 18:20, 24:8, 115:4 fall [1] - 64:3 Falls [1] - 7:10 familiar [4] - 11:23, 108:25, 120:2, 120:4 far [3] - 100:17, 100:22, 114:24 fashion [1] - 92:7 faxes [1] - 77:4 FEBRUARY [1] -125:20 February [2] - 1:18, 22:25 federal [1] - 53:20 feedback [30] - 38:8, 47:4, 55:7, 55:23, 56:1, 56:11, 56:18, 56:20, 56:23, 56:24, 57:1, 57:10, 57:16, 57:20, 57:25, 58:2, 58:15, 58:18, 58:24, 59:6, 63:15, 83:18, 100:12, 100:17, 100:19, 100:22, 101:3, 101:7, 101:9 felt [1] - 89:25 few.[6] - 29:13, 53:25, 74:25, 89:17, 106:1, 119:21 figure [2] - 42:21, 43:7 file [2] - 32:25, 33:10 filed [12] - 33:9, 63:3, 63:4, 69:6, 69:14, 74:16, 75:24, 77:1, 81:3, 105:12, 105:19, 106:7 filing [12] - 16:15, 17:16, 19:16, 21:21, 71:25, 72:4, 72:15, 74:10, 75:22, 75:23, 78:10, 103:23 filled [1] - 80:18 final [16] - 51:12, 52:1, 62:5, 62:19, 62:25,

63:2, 63:6, 67:20,

96:14, 97:19, 99:13, 99:19, 99:25, 103:15, 105:6, 110:13 financial [1] - 64:4 findings [1] - 50:15 fine [4] - 6:14, 9:23, 9:24, 65:10 finish [4] - 5:12, 6:15, 6:16, 35:7 first [26] - 4:5, 9:18, 23:21, 24:1, 57:25. 61:1, 62:9, 74:3, 75:23, 77:2, 77:8, 77:13, 85:6, 85:7, 86:9, 86:11, 86:20, 88:1, 97:10, 102:3, 104:10, 106:12, 108:22, 115:7, 125:5 five [2] - 86:19, 116:18 focus [1] - 7:4 focused [1] - 116:21 follow [3] - 75:4, 105:3, 105:10 follow-up [1] - 75:4 following [2] - 1:13, 64:5 follows [5] - 4:3, 4:6, 34:16, 93:9, 123:3 foregoing [4] - 123:2, 125:4, 125:10, 125:12 Form [6] - 52:2, 59:8, 76:5, 76:13, 79:8, 79:17 form [49] - 31:6, 36:3, 37:12, 41:8, 43:5, 44:16, 48:25, 49:17, 50:23, 57:12, 57:17, 60:12, 61:14, 61:17, 71:23, 76:3, 76:8, 76:9, 76:14, 76:16, 77:1, 77:11, 77:16, 77:18, 78:5, 81:25, 86:21, 87:12, 89:10, 92:15, 94:22, 98:23, 104:8, 104:24, 105:14, 105:23, 107:10, 108:10, 109:15, 111:2, 111:22, 112:20, 115:1, 115:16, 115:23, 116:16, 117:4, 119:7, 120:22 formal [6] - 13:5, 68:21, 69:2, 74:11, 74:18, 96:24 format [4] - 57:17, 58:8, 83:15, 114:1 formatting [1] - 83:13

explanation [1] -

former [8] - 13:9, 14:8, 15:24, 17:3, 27:6, 100:12, 101:25, 106:24 forward [2] - 35:20, 104:20 **forwarding** [1] - 85:5 four [6] - 52:4, 52:11, 58:6, 68:1, 68:17, 116:20 framework [2] - 61:25, 105:3 Frank [21] - 5:1, 5:2, 68:24, 73:23, 74:19, 74:22, 77:1, 85:4, 85:8, 86:11, 88:14, 89:7, 89:14, 89:17, 89:25, 92:8, 93:11, 93:23, 94:2, 94:9, 97:1 Frank's [8] - 81:20, 82:10, 84:6, 86:4, 92:12, 94:14, 102:14, 102:18 fresh [1] - 120:9 front [1] - 106:10 full [4] - 85:6, 91:19, 98:3, 102:4 fully [2] - 93:25, 94:6 future [2] - 80:24, 80:25 gather [1] - 37:4 gears [3] - 54:4, 64:16, 114:9 gender [1] - 25:4 general [4] - 24:13, 34:19, 54:23, 58:19 generally [8] - 27:4, 27:16, 31:20, 41:5, 44:10, 63:9, 64:17, 71.6 geographic [1] - 29:6 given [4] - 55:3, 58:22, 58:23, 125:10 glance [1] - 78:24 Gluek [4] - 2:4, 4:10, 4:13, 124:21 governing [1] - 65:14 governs [1] - 59:18 grade [3] - 30:1, 30:7, 30:20 graduated [1] - 7:7 Graham [3] - 1:14, 125:3, 125:22 grammatical [1] - 79:1 graphic [1] - 53:6 grievances [1] - 13:6 Grimm [1] - 90:20 ground [1] - 5:7 group [2] - 92:2, 92:4

Group [8] - 1:8, 2:8, 9:6, 26:3, 29:10, 31:12, 60:3, 115:10 Group's [1] - 26:4 guess [9] - 9:4, 48:6, 63:5, 75:10, 101:19, 115:20, 115:22, 115:24 guidance [2] - 33:5, 60.9 Gustafson [4] - 2:4, 4:10, 4:12, 124:21 half 121 - 108:24. 114:16 HAND [1] - 125:20 handbook [5] - 54:13, 54:17, 64:18, 64:20, 64:24 handed [8] - 75:17, 81:14, 82:5, 84:24, 95:25, 97:6, 108:15, 113:9 handle [4] - 29:23, 29:25, 30:3, 30:6 harassment [4] - 13:8, 30:23, 65:6, 65:7 hard [3] - 5:20, 50:3, 121:9 heading [3] - 9:11, 9:13, 93:17 health [1] - 25:5 hear [4] - 77:13, 77:21, 77:23, 79:20 heavily [1] - 104:13 heightened [1] - 69:22 held [7] - 7:19, 7:25, 67:2, 76:24, 79:14, 89:24, 97:1 help [5] - 5:23, 30:3, 33:23, 34:10, 60:11 **HENNEPIN** [1] - 125:2 hereby [1] - 123:1 hereto [1] - 125:15 hide [1] - 86:22 highly [3] - 41:16, 42:12, 70:18 hire[1] - 113:15 history [2] - 66:17, 70:10 hmm [2] - 5:19, 22:14 hold [1] - 73:7 honestly [1] - 74:24 hours [1] - 22:23 **HR** [10] - 28:7, 53:16, 69:15, 69:18, 70:13, 71:7, 72:2, 72:5, 86:20, 105:8 HRdirect [13] - 25:13, 26:12, 26:20, 27:3,

27:8, 27:24, 32:24,

66:8, 69:6, 69:21, 117:16, 117:22, 118:11 Hughes [1] - 4:16 HUGHES [6] - 1:14, 4:2, 4:4, 123:1, 124:19, 125:4 Human [2] - 33:2, 77:21 human [2] - 7:4, 34:1 hypothetical [1] -44:25 identical [2] - 20:3, 20:24 identification [9] - 8:7, 75:15, 81:12, 82:3, 84:22, 95:23, 97:4, 108:13, 113:7 identified [3] - 21:2, 22:6, 89:14 identify [3] - 57:25, 59:5, 79:19 identifying [1] - 59:3 identity [1] - 58:23 IDR [33] - 61:9, 61:17, 61:18, 71:19, 72:8, 72:12, 72:14, 72:15, 72:18, 73:1, 73:2, 77:14, 77:22, 79:7, 79:17, 80:5, 83:3, 85:14, 97:11, 97:13, 97:17, 104:6, 105:3, 111:1, 111:4, 111:19, 111:25, 112:17, 112:21, 117:8, 117:11, 117:17, 118:7 ignored [1] - 86:25 imagine [1] - 122:7 immediately [2] -63:3, 63:5 impact [1] - 101:10 impacted [1] - 101:5 impacts [1] - 101:19 inappropriate [4] -42:6, 59:13, 62:23, 119:24 Inc [2] - 1:8, 2:8 incidents [1] - 119:23 include [5] - 80:22, 102:15, 103:1, 103:6, 107:8 included [4] - 64:25, 82:19, 103:3, 103:8 includes [1] - 80:23 including [7] - 13:11, 15:23, 17:2, 25:20, 32:2, 32:12, 106:23 incorrect [1] - 87:22

46:23 INDEX [1] - 2:15 indicate [9] - 41:2, 81:5, 85:7, 87:9, 87:17, 96:12, 98:18, 99:4, 99:23 indicated [3] - 18:8, 41:19, 66:4 indicates [1] - 100:15 indicating [5] - 44:4, 50:15, 61:14, 66:11, 76:17 indicating) [1] - 86:16 **indication** [1] - 87:17 individual [22] - 24:16, 35:22, 37:9, 38:2, 38:10, 38:16, 38:22, 39:2, 39:4, 39:5, 39:9, 39:13, 40:10, 41:21, 41:23, 44:2, 45:7, 47:20, 57:2, 67:18, 104:20 individual's [3] - 39:8, 42:9, 57:3 individuals [4] -34:24, 42:14, 47:9, 47:10 informal [3] - 13:6, 68:22, 69:3 informally [1] - 56:1 information [22] -34:10, 37:4, 37:8, 38:4, 38:22, 38:24, 39:1, 39:18, 39:24, 44:5, 45:15, 55:10, 57:3, 58:20, 59:3, 60:4, 83:14, 87:22, 100:15, 101:2, 101:3, 101:10 informing [1] - 58:11 initial [8] - 62:3, 62:8, 72:4, 75:3, 103:14, 104:17, 110:12, 122:8 initiate [2] - 60:24, 66:6 initiated [1] - 61:19 initiatives [1] - 14:25 instances [1] - 89:17 instead [1] - 29:17 instruct [1] - 10:7 interact [1] - 57:1 interaction [1] -121:16 interested [2] - 22:20, 125.15 interim [12] - 16:11, 17:14, 19:13, 21:19,

21:24, 55:12, 55:13,

55:21, 57:7, 58:12,

58:16, 63:23 internal [53] - 13:1, 16:9, 16:14, 16:16, 16:17, 17:16, 17:18, 19:14, 19:16, 19:17, 21:20, 21:21, 21:23, 28:22, 33:8, 33:10, 33:24, 34:12, 40:13, 47:17, 48:7, 50:13, 60:19, 60:24, 61:4, 61:13, 67:11, 67:12, 67:13, 67:23, 67:24, 70:16, 71:9, 71:10. 71:14, 71:25, 74:2, 74:6, 74:10, 75:21, 75:24, 78:8, 79:20, 81:2, 82:13, 96:5, 103:23, 104:14, 105:8, 105:11, 109:14, 111:7, 113:3 Internal [2] - 76:5, 76:12 internally [2] - 29:21, 69:5 international [2] -29:12, 29:17 internationally [1] -23:17 internet [1] - 15:9 interpret [1] - 104:12 interpretation [1] -87:13 intersect [1] - 53:21 interviewer's [1] -104:16 intranet [9] - 12:18, 53:7, 54:18, 60:7, 64:20, 64:23, 64:24, 65:1, 65:22 investigate [4] - 33:4, 35:17, 46:3, 85:23 investigated [7] -44:11, 48:17, 80:8, 80:18, 85:13, 86:8, 94:21 investigates [1] - 80:6 investigating [8] -11:11, 28:20, 34:16. 40:7, 43:25, 72:6, 73:5, 115:8 investigation [66] -15:22, 17:1, 18:25, 20:7, 21:9, 27:15, 27:25, 34:7, 34:12, 34:14, 39:7, 39:21, 40:8, 42:20, 44:14, 45:17, 45:23, 46:11, 48:2, 48:22, 49:6, 49:16, 50:11, 70:12. 71:5, 72:13, 73:13,

independently [1] -

80:10, 81:9, 81:22, 85:5, 85:19, 85:21, 85:24, 86:2, 90:14, 91:4, 91:6, 92:11, 92:20, 92:24, 94:13, 95:1, 100:25, 103:21, 106:22, 107:8, 109:11, 109:24, 110:24, 110:25, 111:4, 111:8, 111:16, 111:18, 111:20, 112:1, 112:16, 112:17, 112:18, 113:1, 113:4, 117:14, 120:17, 120:19, 121:13 investigations [2] -28:20, 54:1 investigative [8] -40:18, 41:7, 41:14, 42:10, 48:11, 83:2, 83:16, 84:3 investigator [13] -34:5, 43:3, 44:7, 44:21, 46:22, 47:13, 47:14, 47:19, 47:25, 48:8, 80:16, 80:20, involuntary [1] - 65:25 involve [3] - 43:12, 43:17, 43:21 involved [12] - 33:17, 34:2, 35:4, 35:9, 39:21, 42:9, 42:23, 53:12, 107:22, 114:19, 117:7, 120:20 involvement [2] -70:21, 117:2 involves [2] - 55:5, 121:3 involving [3] - 43:13, 117:24, 121:14 issue [9] - 27:12, 28:8, 59:16, 62:16, 63:8, 94:7, 94:19, 121:20 issued [2] - 63:6, 66:14 issues [5] - 62:21, 76:18, 79:2, 80:2, 86:25 IT [2] - 110:6, 125:3 item [1] - 110:12 items [8] - 9:12, 24:17, 72:1, 76:21, 95:11, 100:14, 104:18, 118:22 iterations [2] - 94:8, 94:20

itself [5] - 24:20, 60:13, 70:18, 121:15, 121:23 J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R [1] -108:6 January [1] - 96:8 Jen [1] - 108:4 Jennifer [5] - 13:2, 93:7, 93:10, 93:14, 94:14 Jezierski [4] - 2:9, 2:20, 4:14, 22:11 JEZIERSKI [50] - 4:14, 8:20, 10:4, 10:8, 10:12, 22:14, 23:19, 23:24, 31:5, 36:3, 37:12, 41:8, 43:5, 44:16, 48:25, 49:17, 50:23, 51:13, 52:14, 68:4, 68:12, 71:23, 72:20, 73:3, 76:14, 87:12, 89:10, 92:15, 94:22, 98:23, 104:8, 104:24, 105:14, 105:23, 107:10, 108:10, 111:2, 111:22, 112:20, 113:19, 114:3, 115:1, 115:16, 115:23, 116:16, 117:4, 119:7, 120:22, 121:5, 122:17 **job** [5] - 8:3, 13:10, 17:1, 30:14, 122:6 jobs [1] - 120:12 Johnson [1] - 2:10 Jolynn [3] - 1:14, 125:3, 125:22 jump [1] - 9:25 June [1] - 83:8 Just-In [1] - 31:24 Kaitlyn [3] - 2:3, 4:9, 124:21 kdennis@ gustafsongluek. com [1] - 2:6 keep [3] - 65:8, 65:9, 73:5 kept [6] - 24:7, 39:4, 70:13, 71:11, 72:12, 72:15 Kim [13] - 83:20, 84:2, 84:5, 84:12, 95:2, 96:10, 96:19, 100:24, 101:2, 101:14, 101:17, 101:18, 101:22

Kim's [1] - 90:10

kind [16] - 5:6, 22:21,

29:14, 29:20, 43:17, 48:5, 54:23, 62:10. 64:5, 66:10, 66:15, 69:21, 73:6, 104:2, 115:5, 121:23 knowledge [18] - 50:6. 55:9, 91:7, 92:23, 93:1, 94:23, 103:19, 103:22, 107:23, 107:25, 108:8, 108:9, 108:11, 110:6, 111:7, 111:24, 113:2, 117:5 known [1] - 42:17 KNOWN [1] - 125:3 knows [1] - 81:8 labeled [2] - 91:15, 93:17 large [2] - 36:19, 56:25 last [19] - 18:22, 52:4, 52:11, 53:25, 68:1, 68:17, 87:25, 88:5, 90:4, 91:19, 97:15, 99:12, 102:5, 114:18, 114:21, 115:5, 116:18, 116:20, 120:18 laws [1] - 53:20 lawsuits [1] - 6:20 lead [3] - 51:10, 51:24, 51:25 leader [33] - 26:23, 34:22, 34:23, 35:2, 35:4, 35:8, 35:13, 35:14, 35:17, 35:21, 35:24, 36:17, 36:25, 37:3, 37:5, 37:6, 37:7, 38:6, 40:7, 40:17, 40:23, 42:11, 42:20, 43:25, 45:22, 67:22, 70:18, 71:4, 72:6, 73:4, 73:12 leaders [1] - 121:14 leadership [3] - 30:8, 32:2, 33:3 learned [1] - 31:23 least [4] - 36:8, 45:13, 79:1, 91:8 led [1] - 86:5 left [1] - 105:2 legal [1] - 73:7 less [4] - 51:11, 52:1, 62:10, 98:12 letter [6] - 97:22, 98:3. 99:4, 104:7, 104:23, 105:1 level [16] - 26:23, 33:2, 33:12, 33:14, 62:3,

62:8, 62:19, 62:20, 62:25, 63:6, 77:12, 83:21, 110:13 levels [1] - 61:24 Lewis [1] - 2:10 likely [1] - 110:7 line [10] - 6:16, 25:19, 26:14, 26:22, 27:4, 27:17, 27:21, 27:23, 28:6, 123:4 lines [3] - 86:15, 90:5, 92:1 list [1] - 113:13 listed [4] - 14:5, 52:15, 68:6, 85:14 locate [1] - 33:21 location [1] - 36:22 look [20] - 8:16, 8:17, 40:17, 40:21, 44:5, 44:20, 44:21, 45:9, 47:15, 47:25, 70:9, 86:1, 99:8, 104:5, 104:16, 104:19, 108:17, 110:9, 111:10, 111:11 looked [3] - 80:7, 91:1, 92:22 looking [2] - 96:15, 102:16 looks [1] - 114:4 loud [4] - 11:7, 93:22, 98:7, 110:20 lunch [1] - 73:18 Lunch [1] - 73:19 main [2] - 30:17, 81:6 majority [2] - 53:22, 115:25 makeup [1] - 92:5 manage [2] - 30:4, 30.9 management [3] - 7:4, 14:9, 26:23 Manager [1] - 1:10 manager [33] - 7:16, 30:16, 32:20, 33:12, 33:15, 33:17, 33:25, 34:2, 55:6, 55:7, 55:21, 55:23, 56:2, 56:15, 56:17, 56:19, 58:25, 59:5, 60:10, 61:7, 62:7, 66:7, 66:10, 66:11, 66:23, 68:3, 69:25, 70:3, 70:6, 77:12, 83:22, 84:8, 117:8 manager's [5] - 33:16, 61:11, 62:18, 66:19 managers [23] - 25:11, 26:11, 28:18, 30:6,

30:9, 30:19, 30:25,

31:3, 31:14, 31:21, 31:25, 47:6, 54:22, 56:21, 56:23, 60:8, 64:2, 118:24, 119:2, 119:5, 119:12, 119:20, 119:22 MAP [1] - 82:14 mark [1] - 82:1 MARKED [1] - 3:1 marked [25] - 8:7, 8:17, 75:15, 75:18, 76:5, 81:12, 81:15, 82:3, 82:5, 84:22, 84:25, 91:18, 93:6, 93:13, 95:23, 96:1, 97:4, 97:7, 106:18, 108:13, 108:16, 109:18, 110:15, 113:7, 113:10 Mary [6] - 90:10, 90:23, 90:25, 91:3, 91:5, 91:8 Mary's [3] - 91:14, 92:13, 92:21 material [3] - 53:5, 53:7, 83:14 matter[1] - 32:7 Matter [1] - 1:5 mean [9] - 28:13, 33:14, 35:2, 35:6, 51:8, 69:20, 108:3, 120:7, 122:3 meaning [1] - 55:14 means [1] - 63:5 meant [1] - 9:11 measures [1] - 26:15 medical [1] - 116:11 medication [1] - 6:22 meet [4] - 12:22, 13:25, 22:11, 22:20 meeting [5] - 75:5, 75:6, 79:13, 81:4, 88:6 meetings [3] - 89:9, 89:18, 89:23 member [2] - 34:1, 90:18 members [3] - 14:7, 92:5, 92:6 memories [1] - 117:13 memory [2] - 6:23, 11:24 mention [1] - 72:1 mentioned [3] - 40:15, 79:14, 95:11 merit [2] - 25:3, 45:25 met [4] - 12:24, 23:1, 66:4, 88:6 Meyers [1] - 84:12 might [7] - 6:7, 9:22,

62:4, 62:5, 62:6,

42:6, 51:12, 53:21, 65:19, 110:5 Minneapolis [4] -1:16, 2:6, 2:11, 124:23 MINNESOTA [1] -125:1 Minnesota [4] - 1:17, 2:6, 2:11, 124:23 minute [2] - 8:17, 44:24 minutes [2] - 106:1, 110:18 minutiae [1] - 48:9 mixing [1] - 91:10 moment [3] - 23:9, 63:22, 108:17 months [2] - 88:5, most [3] - 57:2, 62:20, 90:10 motive [2] - 118:17, 119:17 MR [1] - 4:12 MS [143] - 4:9, 4:14, 4:19, 8:8, 8:20, 8:24, 10:4, 10:6, 10:8, 10:11, 10:12, 10:14, 10:15, 11:6, 11:8, 22:12, 22:14, 22:15, 23:8, 23:11, 23:13, 23:19, 23:22, 23:24, 23:25, 31:5, 31:8, 31:11, 36:3, 36:4, 36:11, 37:12, 37:13, 37:17, 37:18, 41:8, 41:11, 41:12, 43:5, 43:7, 43:10, 44:16, 44:18, 45:2, 45:12, 45:19, 46:16, 46:21, 48:25, 49:2, 49:17, 49:19, 50:23, 51:1, 51:13, 51:15, 51:17, 51:19, 52:14, 52:16, 52:17, 68:4, 68:7, 68:10, 68:12, 68:20, 71:23, 72:20, 72:24, 73:3, 73:11, 73:16, 73:20, 73:21, 75:16, 76:14, 76:25, 81:13, 82:1, 82:4, 84:23, 87:12, 87:24, 89:10, 89:11, 89:12, 92:15, 92:17, 92:19, 94:22, 94:24, 95:24, 97:5, 98:23, 99:3, 100:5, 100:10, 104:8, 104:24, 104:25, 105:14, 105:16, 105:20, 105:23,

105:25, 106:4, 107:10, 107:13, 107:17, 107:24, 108:10, 108:14, 111:2, 111:9, 111:22, 112:4, 112:20, 112:23, 113:8, 113:19, 113:21, 113:25, 114:3, 114:6, 114:8, 115:1, 115:3, 115:16, 115:21, 115:23, 116:3, 116:16, 116:24, 117:4, 117:6, 119:7, 119:11, 120:22, 121:1, 121:5, 122:12, 122:15, 122:17 multiple [1] - 24:16 MY [1] - 125:20 Myers [9] - 83:20, 84:2, 95:2, 96:10, 96:20, 100:24, 101:14, 101:17, 101:18 Myers' [1] - 101:22 name [6] - 4:21, 80:24, 93:7, 93:9, 93:14, 108:5 names [2] - 56:22, 91:11 nationally [1] - 23:16 nearly [1] - 29:14 necessarily [4] - 44:6, 58:22, 119:18 necessary [1] - 70:5 need [9] - 6:11, 6:13, 6:14, 44:24, 55:17, 71:1, 85:13, 86:1, 110:19 needs [1] - 85:12 negative [1] - 88:7 neutral [4] - 33:21, 33:25, 35:8, 35:9 next [6] - 14:4, 27:22, 35:16, 61:8, 79:17, 79:19 Nilan [1] - 2:10 No.____Change [11]

- 123:8, 123:11,

123:14, 123:17,

123:20, 123:23,

124:11, 124:14

123:8, 123:11,

123:14, 123:17,

123:20, 123:23,

124:1, 124:5, 124:8,

No.

124:1, 124:5, 124:8,

Line [11] -

124:11, 124:14 non [3] - 39:23, 65:7, 122:1 non-retaliation [2] -39:23, 65:7 non-TDP [1] - 122:1 **NORDIN** [1] - 4:12 Nordin [2] - 2:4, 4:12 Nos [1] - 8:6 **NOT** [1] - 125:12 Notary [2] - 1:14, 125:23 note [1] - 5:18 notes [11] - 16:16, 17:17, 19:15, 49:8, 69:23, 72:9, 73:8, 96:21, 96:22, 96:23, 105:6 nothing [2] - 96:24, 125:6 notice [4] - 9:6, 72:21, 105:15, 113:20 Notice [2] - 1:15, 68:6 noticed [2] - 94:2, 125:17 notifying [1] - 63:18 noting [1] - 123:4 number [15] - 10:18, 11:5, 23:14, 25:13, 26:12, 27:5, 27:19, 29:14, 32:24, 93:13, 93:18, 106:19, 107:14, 110:3, 123:4 numbered [3] - 9:12, 109:8, 112:7 oath [1] - 4:6 object [2] - 36:3, 68:5 objected [2] - 52:21, 106.7 objection [38] - 31:5, 37:12, 41:8, 43:5, 44:16, 48:25, 49:17, 50:23, 52:14, 68:12, 71:23, 72:20, 73:3, 76:14, 87:12, 89:10, 92:15, 94:22, 98:23, 104:8, 104:24, 105:14, 105:23, 107:10, 108:10, 111:2, 111:22, 112:20, 113:19, 114:3, 115:1, 115:16, 115:23, 116:16, 117:4, 119:7, 120:22, 121:5 objections [1] - 6:7 OBJECTIONS [1] -2:19

occurred [4] - 44:3, 87:3, 118:19, 119:3 **OF** [2] - 125:1, 125:2 Off-the-record [1] -23:10 office [2] - 28:23, 29:6 official [4] - 7:17, 11:10, 54:11, 113:4 often [1] - 114:25 old [4] - 85:9, 88:20, 98:10, 98:11 on-site [1] - 36:23 once [3] - 32:15, 63:16, 70:12 one [30] - 6:15, 20:3, 28:4, 28:5, 29:5, 36:25, 37:3, 42:9, 43:2, 43:13, 44:19, 45:1, 60:11, 66:9, 74:25, 78:22, 88:6, 88:20, 89:9, 89:18, 89:23, 90:19, 106:12, 120:24 one-on-one [6] - 43:2, 43:13, 88:6, 89:9, 89:18, 89:23 open [1] - 66:8 opinion [2] - 94:7, 94:18 opportunity [4] -63:10, 110:21, 111:13, 112:10 Opportunity [1] -15:15 option [1] - 38:7 Optum [3] - 77:20, 78:2, 88:5 order [1] - 49:23 ordered [1] - 125:18 organization [4] -26:24, 31:1, 53:15, 120:13 organizations [1] -59:11 original [3] - 81:25, 83:12, 125:17 Original [1] - 124:20 otherwise [3] - 30:24, 42:7, 83:13 outcome [1] - 125:15 outside [14] - 52:21, 73:7, 74:6, 74:8, 105:15, 106:8, 111:4, 111:24, 111:25, 112:3, 112:17, 112:21, 113:2, 120:8 overall [1] - 95:9 overestimating [1] -

overview [1] - 54:24 own [5] - 11:24, 42:11, 55:9, 63:14, 68:15 owner [3] - 83:23, 84:10, 101:18 owning [1] - 53:14 p.m [1] - 96:8 Page [11] - 123:8, 123:11, 123:14, 123:17, 123:20, 123:23, 124:1, 124:5, 124:8, 124:11, 124:14 page [27] - 9:9, 9:11, 9:13, 14:5, 18:22, 18:23, 85:7, 86:9, 88:1, 91:13, 91:15, 91:16, 91:18, 91:20, 91:22, 93:6, 93:7, 97:10, 98:2, 102:4, 106:15, 109:7, 110:16, 111:10, 112:5, 112:7, 123:4 pages [1] - 9:16 paragraph [34] -20:10, 85:6, 86:11, 86:16, 87:6, 88:1, 88:2, 88:4, 90:4, 91:19, 91:21, 92:1, 98:3, 98:18, 99:2, 99:8, 99:12, 99:23, 101:24, 102:3, 102:4, 106:18, 106:21, 109:8, 109:13, 109:20, 109:23, 110:1, 110:15, 110:25, 112:7, 112:12, 112:13, 112:19 paragraphs [6] - 19:3, 20:25, 21:11, 111:11, 111:21, 112:25 part [50] - 24:1, 35:10, 35:19, 38:25, 40:2, 40:8, 40:18, 41:20, 42:10, 46:8, 47:4, 47:6, 47:12, 48:1, 48:7, 49:15, 54:13, 55:15, 55:22, 56:7, 61:5, 73:1, 78:9, 82:16, 83:16, 84:2, 86:1, 86:9, 86:10, 90:14, 91:4, 91:5, 92:11, 92:13, 92:20, 92:21, 94:13, 94:25, 97:16, 100:25, 101:4, 103:21, 104:14, 104:15, 104:20, 107:18,

116:19

objective [3] - 99:14,

99:19, 100:1

111:1, 111:19, 112:16, 121:16 participants [1] -121:25 participated [2] -120:18, 120:19 particular [19] - 28:23, 33:11, 39:10, 41:2, 42:5, 47:11, 48:9, 59:18, 62:6, 68:14, 77:11, 78:5, 92:21, 94:17, 104:1, 104:5, 116:22, 117:13, 119:15 parties [3] - 53:22, 125:14, 125:18 party [4] - 33:22, 33:23, 33:25, 125:17 pass [1] - 28:8 past [1] - 93:25 pasted [3] - 81:24, 82:9, 82:12 pattern [5] - 43:1, 43:17, 43:24, 44:3, 44:4 payroll [1] - 27:9 pays [1] - 98:11 peer [3] - 78:8, 78:17, 101:3 peers [5] - 100:13, 100:16, 100:17, 101:8 people [25] - 10:18, 23:15, 25:19, 27:7, 28:24, 29:3, 29:9, 29:12, 38:5, 53:12, 53:16, 53:18, 54:20, 69:20, 77:8, 88:17, 88:21, 98:9, 98:10, 98:11, 98:12, 121:17, 121:18, 121:24 people's [1] - 56:5 per [3] - 73:7, 111:4, 116:14 percent [1] - 50:5 perform [1] - 30:24 performance [42] -12:7, 14:6, 17:2, 45:23, 46:5, 46:6, 46:10, 46:11, 46:24, 54:5, 54:8, 54:24. 55:1, 55:4, 55:17, 56:20, 57:3, 57:8, 59:2, 59:13, 59:16, 59:23, 62:11, 62:16, 62:21, 63:12, 63:21, 63:24, 64:1, 76:18, 84:6, 84:8, 84:9, 87:15, 99:14, 99:20,

100:2, 102:11, 102:14, 102:18, 102:24, 103:4 performed [3] - 47:15, 48:1, 76:23 performing [4] -45:22, 66:12, 71:4, 73:12 perhaps [2] - 55:16, 90:25 period [2] - 10:19. 13:13 person [21] - 33:17, 36:18, 37:20, 44:8, 46:12, 50:5, 51:22, 52:8, 56:5, 58:1, 58:16, 58:23, 66:13, 70:4, 77:2, 77:7, 78:7, 78:8, 80:6, 96:14 personal [9] - 8:13. 12:2, 18:17, 24:5, 31:7, 65:4, 77:25, 114:10 personnel [1] - 53:23 phases [1] - 94:4 phone [1] - 27:19 phrase [1] - 43:8 Placella [1] - 1:10 plaintiff [1] - 6:19 plan [14] - 17:14, 59:9, 60:10, 60:16, 60:23, 61:18, 61:25, 63:1, 63:2, 63:15, 64:11, 65:12, 66:5, 76:22 plans [4] - 16:15, 17:17, 21:25, 59:19 PLLC [2] - 2:4, 124:21 point [3] - 47:19, 63:1, 94:10 pointed [1] - 44:9 pointing [1] - 87:14 policies [15] - 14:6, 26:2, 31:22, 32:3, 32:4, 32:11, 64:18, 64:25, 65:8, 65:13, 65:16, 119:25, 122:1, 122:4, 122:5 policy [42] - 12:5, 15:1, 24:10, 24:14, 24:18, 24:20, 24:22, 25:1, 25:7, 25:10, 25:17, 25:22, 26:5, 29:23, 31:4, 39:24, 53:2, 53:5, 53:7, 53:8, 53:11, 53:13, 53:14, 54:7, 54:9, 54:11, 59:14, 59:18, 59:20, 62:17, 62:23, 64:23, 65:2, 65:3,

65:4, 65:5, 65:6, 65:7, 65:18, 65:23 **Policy** [1] - 15:15 population [1] - 36:20 portion [3] - 45:4, 46:18, 100:7 position [3] - 7:14, 35:9, 67:7 positions [1] - 7:19 possibility [1] - 38:2 possible [2] - 53:5, 64:6 possibly [6] - 27:8, 27:14, 28:1, 41:2, 51:10, 51:25 posting [1] - 53:18 potential [2] - 13:10, 112:15 practice [1] - 43:17 practices [2] - 11:11, 14:25 preceded [1] - 76:19 prepare [36] - 10:23, 11:16, 11:19, 11:21, 12:10, 12:13, 12:19, 12:23, 13:16, 13:23, 13:25, 14:12, 14:18, 14:22, 15:5, 15:19, 16:5, 16:22, 17:9, 17:21, 17:24, 18:5, 19:7, 19:8, 19:20, 19:24, 20:14, 20:18, 21:2, 21:16, 22:2, 22:6, 22:8, 22:16, 23:2, 23:5 prepared [18] - 10:1, 10:8, 10:19, 11:13, 12:7, 13:13, 14:10, 15:3, 16:2, 17:6, 18:9, 19:4, 20:11, 21:13, 93:10, 103:17, 107:4, 107:21 present [1] - 57:21 presently [1] - 29:2 president [1] - 77:20 pretty [2] - 114:24, 120:24 prevent [1] - 39:13 previous [6] - 17:21, 47:5, 47:6, 49:14, 84:7, 101:7 previously [2] - 62:22, 66:14 primarily [2] - 25:25, 65:24 primary [3] - 79:2, 80:3, 116:20 privileged [1] - 121:6

55:13 procedural [1] - 105:3 procedure [15] -34:15, 36:1, 54:10, 54:12, 54:24, 55:4. 59:21, 59:22, 59:23, 60:1, 60:14, 60:18, 60:20, 66:6 procedures [6] -11:10, 12:6, 14:7, 65:13, 105:2, 117:20 process [68] - 12:15, 12:17, 14:17, 28:11, 33:7, 33:8, 33:11, 33:24, 34:13, 38:25, 39:12, 40:3, 40:14, 40:19, 41:7, 41:14, 42:10, 44:25, 47:18, 48:8, 48:11, 55:19, 55:20, 55:22, 56:8, 60:5, 60:25, 61:4, 61:5, 61:9, 61:19, 62:13, 64:2, 64:6, 66:3, 67:14, 70:17, 71:14, 71:19, 72:8, 72:12, 72:19, 73:1, 73:2, 74:7, 74:8, 75:24, 77:13, 83:2, 83:17, 84:3, 97:17, 97:20, 104:15, 105:9, 111:1, 111:8, 111:19, 111:25, 112:22, 113:3, 117:8, 117:11, 117:16, 117:17, 118:7, 118:11 processes [2] - 32:24, 112:3 produce [1] - 55:10 produced [1] - 113:18 product [4] - 83:23, 101:4, 101:19, 104:11 products [2] - 84:10, 101:23 professional [4] -7:12, 70:22, 78:1, 114:20 program [15] - 120:2, 120:3, 120:7, 120:8, 120:10, 120:20, 121:4, 121:14, 121:15, 121:17, 121:18, 121:19, 121:23, 122:8, 122:10 programs [1] - 14:25 progress [1] - 110:8 progressive [1] - 62:6

prohibited [2] - 24:17,

40:1 project [2] - 110:2, 110:4 projects [2] - 48:9. 76:22 proper [1] - 55:24 provide [23] - 25:11, 25:12, 30:24, 33:4, 36:7, 36:25, 37:8, 37:10, 37:21, 38:4, 39:14, 49:8, 56:19, 56:22, 57:15, 57:20, 63:14, 63:19, 66:18, 66:22, 71:15, 79:7, 87.22 provided [15] - 31:2, 31:13, 31:21, 34:9, 38:12, 39:18, 39:24, 58:17, 58:19, 58:20, 59:3, 59:6, 76:20, 101:3, 101:9 providing [3] - 38:7, 55:7, 87:20 proving [1] - 67:11 Public [2] - 1:15, 125:23 pull [1] - 81:25 purpose [5] - 24:13, 79:23, 79:25, 80:3, 86:22 purposes [1] - 58:10 pursuant [1] - 1:15 put [2] - 49:9, 103:8 questioning [1] - 6:17 questions [24] - 4:23, 5:8, 5:9, 5:19, 6:7, 9:25, 18:15, 18:17, 18:18, 19:24, 24:3, 24:5, 29:24, 33:5, 57:24, 58:7, 73:23. 80:6, 93:3, 93:24, 114:7, 114:10, 122:16, 122:17 quick [2] - 35:1, 106:2 quickly [1] - 77:6 quiz [1] - 32:13 quote [3] - 58:5, 98:11, 98:12 race [11] - 25:4, 116:11, 116:13, 117:1, 117:24, 118:3, 118:12, 118:21, 118:25, 119:4, 119:13 racial [2] - 118:7, 119:25 raise [2] - 74:22, 80:1 raised [1] - 79:3 random [1] - 44:7 rarely [1] - 119:21

proactive [2] - 55:3,

rate [1] - 125:18 rather [3] - 19:13. 114:10, 121:21 **RE**[1] - 1:6 reach [11] - 27:11, 32:25, 33:1, 33:12, 33:21, 34:21, 36:2, 44:7, 61:22, 97:23, 99:24 reaches [1] - 35:13 reaching [2] - 36:17, 56:10 reactive [1] - 55:13 read [16] - 14:4, 45:2, 45:4, 46:16, 46:18, 88:9, 93:21, 98:7, 100:5, 100:7, 110:19, 110:20, 111:13, 112:9, 122:18, 123:2 reading [8] - 87:5, 90:12, 92:8, 94:11, 98:16, 99:15, 102:11, 125:12 reads [17] - 10:17, 11:9, 12:5, 13:5, 14:24, 15:21, 16:25, 18:23, 20:5, 21:7, 86:18, 90:8, 92:4, 93:23, 99:13, 102:8, 106:21 real [2] - 86:23, 86:24 realize [1] - 11:6 really [4] - 73:9, 116:2, 121:9, 122:8 reason [8] - 24:25, 39:3, 39:6, 63:24, 78:16, 87:15, 123:5, 124:3 Reason [10] - 123:10, 123:13, 123:16, 123:19, 123:22, 123:25, 124:7, 124:10, 124:13, 124:16 reasons [3] - 62:15, 74:25, 76:17 recalled [1] - 11:25 receive [3] - 32:16, 50:14, 79:13 received [5] - 77:17, 78:5, 78:15, 79:16, 97:16 receives [1] - 77:4 receiving [1] - 105:10 recognize [10] - 8:18, 75:18, 81:15, 82:6, 84:25, 96:1, 97:7, 108:16, 113:10, 114:1

recognized [1] - 8:21 recollection [4] - 12:3, 75:6, 88:15, 88:22 recommendation [4] -66:18, 66:22, 67:17, 67:19 record [19] - 4:21, 5:14, 5:21, 5:23, 6:12, 10:4, 23:9, 23:10, 23:12, 23:19, 51:18, 51:21, 73:18, 73:20, 93:22, 106:1, 106:5, 122:13, 125:10 recorded [1] - 125:7 recording [3] - 15:25, 17:4, 106:25 records [3] - 70:9, 73:5, 96:19 recruitment [4] - 15:2, 27:9, 121:17, 121:21 reduced [1] - 125:8 refer [9] - 5:1, 11:18, 20:21, 21:15, 59:10, 69:2, 100:23, 102:19, 109:14 REFERENCE [1] -2:15 referred [12] - 4:25, 17:24, 19:20, 19:24, 20:18, 54:13, 90:16, 90:24, 92:13, 92:16, 100:18, 110:3 referring [2] - 101:16, 102:20 refers [4] - 101:16, 101:25, 102:13, 102:17 reflect [3] - 69:10, 96:9, 97:22 reflected [10] - 19:3. 20:10, 21:11, 54:15, 54:19, 71:7, 100:19, 109:12, 109:25 reflecting [2] - 84:12, 100:18 reflection [3] - 99:14, 99:19, 100:1 reflects [1] - 109:4 refreshed [1] - 11:24 regard [3] - 109:23, 116:12, 118:4 regarding [9] - 13:11, 38:18, 68:22, 69:11, 73:23, 93:11, 94:18, 96:5, 109:20 regardless [3] - 34:13, 55:3, 61:5 regular [1] - 71:8 regularly [1] - 57:1

reinstate [2] - 67:18, 67:25 reinstated [2] - 67:7, 68:2 reinstatements [1] -68:19 related [30] - 4:23, 14:25, 16:16, 19:17, 19:25, 25:14, 25:15, 25:20, 30:7, 39:21, 40:20, 40:25, 41:3, 46:4, 54:8, 68:23, 71:11, 84:7, 84:9, 95:15, 98:15, 99:2, 104:1, 104:5, 111:17, 115:11, 116:13, 116:22, 121:20, 125:14 relates [3] - 39:10, 39:19, 119:25 relation [4] - 28:18, 30:5, 74:16, 122:3 relations [37] - 7:16, 7:24, 27:10, 28:14, 28:15, 28:16, 28:25, 29:3, 29:12, 29:19, 29:22, 29:24, 30:2, 30:4, 30:10, 30:11, 30:15, 30:19, 32:19, 32:25, 34:21, 35:12, 53:14, 53:19, 53:23, 61:14, 66:8, 66:13, 66:21, 67:1, 67:3, 69:21, 70:4, 70:6, 70:21, 78:12 relationship [1] - 58:1 relative [1] - 125:6 relevant [4] - 10:19, 13:12, 70:5, 70:23 relied [7] - 12:2, 18:24, 20:6, 21:8, 22:6, 97:23, 99:24 religion [1] - 25:4 rely [4] - 20:17, 36:25, 44:21, 45:23 remain [1] - 50:22 remaining [1] - 105:2 remember [5] - 11:2, 74:9, 88:24, 91:9, 121:10 remembering [1] -83:9 remind [1] - 30:13 repeat [5] - 37:15, 41:10, 45:1, 46:14, 100:4 rephrase [7] - 6:2, 21:3, 34:5, 38:15, 42:21, 89:11, 92:17

25:13, 25:19, 26:13, 27:18, 27:20, 73:23, 74:1 reported [15] - 26:19. 26:20, 26:21, 26:22, 27:1, 27:12, 38:18, 41:17, 69:16, 76:18, 84:7, 84:15, 87:16, 87:19, 89:17 reporter [4] - 5:10, 45:5, 46:19, 100:8 reporting [1] - 94:4 reports [7] - 11:11, 13:6, 16:1, 17:5, 37:8, 69:10, 107:1 representative [6] -8:10, 18:16, 24:3, 68:15, 93:3, 114:11 representing [1] -4:10 repurpose [1] - 49:23 request [7] - 37:3, 56:4, 56:22, 56:23, 56:25, 58:2, 58:3 requested [4] - 45:4, 46:18, 82:16, 100:7 requesting [1] - 81:19 require [7] - 34:4, 34:6, 34:11, 38:4, 44:6, 71:19, 72:9 required [3] - 32:13, 62:5, 62:7 requirement [4] -55:20, 56:11, 72:8, requires [5] - 34:13, 36:1, 55:15, 61:21, 71:14 research [4] - 18:25, 20:7, 21:9, 109:11 resolution [49] -16:10, 16:15, 16:17, 16:18, 17:16, 17:18, 19:14, 19:16, 19:18, 21:20, 21:21, 33:6, 33:8, 33:11, 33:24, 34:13, 40:14, 47:17, 48:7, 50:14, 60:19, 60:24, 61:4, 61:11, 61:14, 67:11, 67:13, 67:14, 67:24, 70:17, 71:9, 71:11, 71:14, 71:25, 74:2, 74:7, 75:22, 75:24, 76:3, 78:9, 79:21, 81:2, 96:6, 103:23, 104:15, 105:11, 109:15, 111:8, 113:3 Resolution [2] - 76:5, 76:12

resolutions [1] - 28:22 resources [1] - 7:5 respect [3] - 98:4, 98:8, 99:9 respective [1] -125:13 respondent [1] -10:18 Respondent [5] -13:12, 14:9, 16:2, 17:6, 107:2 respondent's [3] -11:9, 12:5, 13:9 Respondent's [4] -14:24, 15:21, 16:25, 106:22 Respondents [3] -19:1, 20:8, 21:9 Respondents' [3] -19:2, 20:9, 21:10 responding [1] -105:21 response [25] - 50:14, 71:15, 71:16, 71:20. 72:3, 72:15, 78:9, 78:11, 78:16, 78:25, 79:3, 79:7, 79:9, 79:13, 80:16, 81:23, 85:14, 96:6, 97:11. 97:13, 97:14, 105:5, 105:11, 109:17, 109:21 responses [1] - 76:20 responsibilities [2] -30:18, 116:21 responsibility [3] -26:8, 47:18, 104:16 responsible [14] -25:25, 26:4, 28:16, 28:19, 28:21, 31:25, 53:10, 53:14, 53:17, 67:23, 70:18, 83:24, 92:24, 104:10 result [12] - 25:3, 33:9, 46:2, 51:12, 52:1, 65:16, 65:19, 90:2, 101:4, 107:9, 118:25, 119:13 resulting [3] - 16:1, 17:5, 107:1 retain [1] - 73:8 retained [1] - 73:2 retaliated [1] - 40:2 retaliation [6] - 13:7, 39:13, 39:23, 39:25, 65:6, 65:7 returned [1] - 81:1 review [73] - 10:22, 10:25, 11:15, 12:14,

12:16, 12:17, 14:16,

report [9] - 11:1, 11:3,

15:11, 15:16, 16:11, 17:14, 19:13, 20:25, 21:19, 41:4, 46:5, 46:10, 46:11, 46:12, 46:24, 47:4, 47:5, 47:10, 47:19, 55:2, 55:3, 55:10, 55:11, 55:12, 55:21, 56:6, 56:8, 56:12, 56:18, 57:6, 57:7, 57:17, 57:21, 58:11, 58:12, 58:21, 59:1, 59:23, 63:23, 63:24, 64:2, 64:13, 64:14, 66:13, 67:12, 69:5, 69:7, 69:23, 81:20, 82:10, 82:13, 86:19, 91:9, 91:14, 92:13, 92:21, 93:10, 94:15, 95:16, 102:21, 109:20 reviewed [28] - 9:12, 9:15, 12:14, 13:20, 14:16, 15:9, 15:14, 16:9, 16:10, 16:19, 17:13, 17:16, 17:21, 19:12, 21:17, 21:18, 71:17, 71:21, 77:6, 77:15, 78:8, 78:16, 78:17, 100:15, 101:6, 104:2, 110:10, 110:14 reviewing [1] - 35:19 reviews [36] - 12:7, 16:12, 17:15, 19:12, 19:14, 21:22, 21:24, 45:24, 46:6, 47:5, 54:5, 54:8, 54:21, 54:25, 55:1, 55:13, 56:12, 57:8, 57:13, 58:10, 58:16, 58:21, 63:22, 67:23, 81:19, 81:24, 82:10, 82:12, 82:15, 82:16, 82:19, 83:1, 83:11, 103:4, 103:8 revised [1] - 53:3 Rights [1] - 24:23 River [1] - 7:10 role [3] - 30:18, 32:19, 105:21 roles [1] - 120:15 root [1] - 86:24 roughly [1] - 116:14 **RPR** [2] - 1:14, 125:22 rule [2] - 44:14, 45:16 rules [2] - 5:7, 14:6 S-E-R-V-I-C-E [1] -108:6 safe [1] - 104:4 sake [2] - 4:24, 5:11

salary [1] - 30:1 Sandra [2] - 2:9, 12:24 sandra [1] - 4:14 saw [2] - 9:18, 108:22 scenario [2] - 42:8, 52:7 schedule [2] - 79:17, 79:21 Schedule [1] - 9:10 school [1] - 120:9 scope [5] - 52:15, 68:5, 72:21, 105:15, 113:20 se [1] - 111:4 SEAL [1] - 125:20 second [6] - 33:7, 55:11, 88:24, 98:2, 98:3, 121:11 section [2] - 15:13, 110:8 see [32] - 46:9, 48:11, 69:5, 77:2, 77:16, 79:4, 80:9, 86:12, 86:14, 86:21, 87:25, 88:2, 89:6, 90:5, 90:7, 91:13, 91:19, 91:25, 93:6, 93:13, 93:19, 98:3, 99:10, 102:4, 104:18, 106:18, 108:17, 109:8, 110:15, 112:6, 116:15, 121:20 seek [5] - 42:11, 42:14, 43:25, 56:17, 83:17 seem [1] - 89:2 select [1] - 56:19 self [1] - 55:6 self-evaluation [1] -55:6 send [4] - 56:4, 80:15, 80:17, 124:20 senior [4] - 7:23, 29:24, 30:4, 30:9 sense [4] - 5:2, 5:16, 5:23, 52:10 sent [9] - 74:12, 75:5, 85:17, 86:20, 96:8, 104:23, 105:1, 105:4, 105:10 sentence [13] - 58:25, 86:14, 86:18, 90:8, 90:12, 90:17, 90:24, 91:25, 92:4, 92:9, 99:12, 102:5, 102:8 sentences [1] - 87:8 separate [4] - 18:19, 30:12, 31:17, 32:23

separately [2] - 39:4,

117:23 series [2] - 4:22, 5:9 serious [2] - 62:16 Service [2] - 13:2, 108:4 serving [1] - 33:24 set [2] - 39:12, 39:16 several [1] - 120:12 severe [1] - 62:20 severity [1] - 51:9 shared [1] - 26:8 SHEET [1] - 123:7 sheet [1] - 124:20 shift [2] - 64:16, 114:9 Short [3] - 51:16, 106:3, 122:14 shorthand [1] - 125:8 **show** [1] - 69:2 sic [1] - 32:9 sides [2] - 94:8, 94:19 sign [1] - 122:18 signed [1] - 105:5 signing [1] - 125:12 similar [4] - 5:18, 39:20, 59:22, 62:15 simply [1] - 44:4 single [1] - 42:23 site [7] - 12:18, 15:10, 36:23, 54:18, 60:7, 64:21, 65:22 situation [7] - 12:1, 36:9, 42:13, 47:9, 51:10, 65:25, 70:24 situations [3] - 37:25, 86:23 six [1] - 116:18 sjezierski@ nilanjohnson.com [1] - 2:12 skills [1] - 93:24 slew [1] - 118:18 slight [1] - 45:13 slightly [1] - 73:17 so.. [1] - 65:20 soft [2] - 54:20, 56:5 someone [3] - 10:9, 27:23, 87:23 sometimes [1] - 43:12 sorry [40] - 8:22, 8:23, 9:10, 11:6, 11:16, 19:8, 21:3, 23:22, 26:6, 35:6, 37:1, 38:13, 38:15, 41:9, 42:20, 42:24, 43:21, 44:25, 49:5, 50:19, 52:9, 64:10, 64:12, 69:9, 70:11, 72:9, 76:3, 78:21, 89:11, 92:17, 93:15, 95:11, 97:2, 97:15, 99:21,

100:3, 102:16, 103:2, 104:2, 118:4 sort [4] - 59:11, 79:6, 120:10, 120:12 sounded [1] - 31:7 source [2] - 31:23, 83:12 South [4] - 1:16, 2:5, 2:10, 124:22 speaking [11] - 28:17, 28:18, 29:11, 33:1, 35:18, 38:19, 39:25, 40:6, 40:12, 78:11, 79:9 speaks [1] - 79:2 specific [20] - 19:2, 20:9, 21:10, 34:15, 35:25, 39:10, 40:18, 44:10, 44:19, 45:15, 45:20, 59:2, 75:13, 76:11, 76:22, 95:11, 104:1, 104:19, 109:12, 119:19 specifically [5] - 6:8, 15:11, 52:19, 94:21, 115:11 **specifics** [1] - 68:17 specify [2] - 24:18, 24:20 spell [1] - 108:5 spoken [3] - 71:17, 71:22, 91:5 spreadsheet [1] - 11:4 staff [1] - 121:16 stages [1] - 122:8 stand [1] - 97:25 standard [2] - 57:12, 122:4 standpoint [1] - 68:15 start [6] - 37:1, 64:3, 81:4, 89:15, 115:8, 117:25 started [2] - 80:10, 83:3 starts [1] - 91:16 **STATE** [1] - 125:1 state [3] - 4:20, 36:8, 53:20 statement [2] - 89:2, 94:17 statements [12] -15:25, 17:4, 18:24, 20:6, 21:8, 38:17, 85:8, 86:4, 86:8, 89:8, 98:15, 107:1 States [1] - 29:17 status [1] - 96:5 stay [1] - 80:19

step [8] - 11:25, 27:22,

61:1, 61:8, 79:17,

79:19, 95:12, 97:20 steps [1] - 105:9 still [4] - 52:22, 63:9. 83:22, 93:2 stopped [1] - 94:10 stories [1] - 86:23 straight [1] - 115:20 Street [4] - 1:16, 2:5, 2:10, 124:22 strike [5] - 49:5, 52:5, 72:10, 97:2, 99:17 structured [1] - 29:20 struggled [1] - 94:2 subject [3] - 32:7, 121:25, 122:5 submission [1] -13:20 submit [2] - 61:13, 61:16 submitted [6] - 47:4, 47:6, 63:17, 63:24, 74:9, 80:5 subsequent [1] -103:24 substance [4] - 22:21, 38:11, 60:15, 60:23 substantiate [9] -41:6, 41:15, 42:5, 45:10, 46:23, 98:13, 98:21, 99:1, 99:6 substantiated [19] -35:23, 41:25, 42:2, 51:4, 51:5, 51:11, 51:23, 51:24, 52:13, 115:15, 115:25, 117:3, 118:4, 118:8, 118:12, 118:20, 118:22, 119:10, 119:23 substantiation [1] -116:1 successful [1] - 67:10 Suite [4] - 1:16, 2:5, 2:11, 124:22 Sujatha [16] - 1:8, 2:8, 68:22, 85:8, 86:21, 86:25, 87:3, 88:6, 89:14, 89:17, 89:24, 95:3, 95:4, 95:7, 95:8 summarized [1] -84:18 supervision [1] - 14:8 supervisor [7] - 50:10, 50:22, 66:3, 100:12, 100:16, 101:8, 118:2 supervisor' [1] -101:25 supervisors [3] -

15:24, 17:3, 106:25

supplied [1] - 91:8 **supply** [1] - 78:10 support [1] - 35:20 supported [1] - 42:4 supposed [1] - 81:1 switch [1] - 54:4 sworn [2] - 4:5, 125:5 system [21] - 27:13, 27:25, 56:4, 60:12, 64:9, 64:15, 67:4, 69:15, 69:18, 69:24, 70:8, 70:13, 70:23, 71:3, 71:7, 71:12, 72:2, 72:5, 72:16, 82:13, 105:8 talks [2] - 65:18, 65:23 TANYA [6] - 1:14, 4:2, 4:4, 123:1, 124:19, 125:4 Tanya [2] - 4:16, 10:5 task [3] - 94:6, 104:2, 104:5 task-related [1] -104:5 tasks [2] - 104:13, 104:19 **TDP** [5] - 120:8, 121:14, 121:19, 121:25, 122:1 team [16] - 14:7, 26:21, 28:9, 28:12, 30:8, 56:24, 56:25, 78:13, 88:7, 90:3, 90:10, 90:11, 90:19, 92:6, 94:1, 113:14 teammates 131 - 88:9. 89:4, 90:9 technical [2] - 90:11, 120:15 technically [1] - 77:3 technological [1] -104:14 technology [7] - 50:4, 101:21, 104:13, 120:3, 120:7, 120:20, 121:3 telecommuting [1] -36:20 telephone [1] - 36:21 template [4] - 80:16, 80:20, 80:22, 80:23 terminate [4] - 66:24, 95:10, 95:18, 104:18 terminated [12] -48:14, 48:24, 49:4, 49:13, 63:7, 63:25, 64:7, 68:3, 119:13, 119:19, 119:20, 120:1 termination [30] -

33:19, 47:22, 51:10. 51:25, 63:3, 65:14, 65:17, 65:20, 65:23, 66:6, 66:20, 66:23, 67:3, 67:6, 67:15. 76:10, 76:17, 76:20, 95:9, 96:17, 97:24, 97:25, 102:6, 102:9, 102:23, 104:19, 109:22, 113:5, 119:9 terminology [1] -120:4 terms [4] - 34:20, 80:19, 111:7, 122:6 testify [47] - 6:23, 8:13, 10:2, 10:10, 10:20, 10:23, 11:13, 11:16, 11:19, 11:22, 12:7, 12:11, 12:20, 12:23, 13:13, 13:17, 13:23, 14:1, 14:10, 14:13, 14:19, 15:3, 15:6, 16:2, 16:6, 16:22, 17:6, 17:10, 17:25, 18:5, 18:9, 18:14, 19:5, 19:8, 20:11, 20:15, 21:2, 21:13, 21:16, 22:2, 22:7, 22:8, 23:2, 23:5, 107:4, 111:16, 125:5 testifying [4] - 8:10, 10:5, 24:7, 125:5 testimony [8] - 37:10, 37:21, 38:11, 39:14, 45:4, 46:18, 125:7, 125:10 testing [1] - 94:5 THE [46] - 4:16, 8:22, 31:10, 36:6, 37:15, 41:9, 43:6, 43:9, 44:17, 45:6, 45:18, 46:20, 49:1, 49:18, 50:24, 68:9, 68:13, 71:24, 72:22, 73:4, 76:15, 87:13, 92:16, 94:23, 98:24, 100:9, 104:9, 105:18, 105:24, 107:11, 107:14, 107:20, 108:11, 111:3, 111:23, 112:21, 113:23, 114:4, 115:2, 115:17, 115:24, 116:17, 117:5, 119:8, 120:23, 121:9 themselves [5] -

37:11, 38:23, 39:22,

39:23, 58:21

therefore [3] - 38:25, 86:7 they've [1] - 33:19 thinking [2] - 78:6, 95:12 thinks [1] - 70:6 third [4] - 33:12, 33:14, 77:9, 77:12 thorough [3] - 102:10, 102:14, 102:18 thoroughly [1] - 48:17 three [5] - 22:23, 86:15, 90:5, 91:2, 91:25 throughout [1] - 29:9 Thursday [2] - 9:21, 22:25 timeframe [1] - 79:12 timeframes [1] - 64:7 timeline [1] - 79:6 timing [2] - 64:1, 80:19 title [2] - 7:17, 30:14 titled [1] - 9:10 titles [3] - 7:25, 8:3, 113:16 today [27] - 4:23, 5:8, 6:24, 8:9, 8:12, 10:20, 11:13, 11:22, 12:8, 13:13, 13:17, 14:10, 15:3, 16:3, 16:6, 17:7, 18:15, 19:5, 19:9, 20:12, 21:13, 21:16, 22:7, 22:9, 23:2, 23:5, 107:3 today's [1] - 22:16 together [2] - 83:23, 103.9 took [2] - 60:22, 125:3 tool [6] - 31:23, 54:20, 56:5, 94:3, 94:9, 94:20 tools [1] - 83:24 top [3] - 9:10, 76:4, 93:7 topic [46] - 9:24, 10:2, 10:20, 10:23, 11:13, 11:17, 11:19, 11:22, 11:23, 12:5, 12:8, 12:11, 12:20, 12:23, 13:5, 13:14, 13:17, 13:23, 14:1, 14:4, 14:10, 14:13, 14:19, 14:24, 15:3, 15:6, 15:21, 16:3, 16:6, 16:23, 16:25, 17:7, 17:10, 17:21, 18:6, 18:14, 18:23, 19:5, 19:9, 20:12, 20:15,

20:24, 23:6, 106:8. 107:4, 107:8 Topic [11] - 10:5, 10:17, 11:9, 14:5, 18:9, 19:25, 20:5, 21:2, 21:13, 21:16, 22:3 Topics [7] - 9:11, 9:13, 9:15, 19:21, 20:2, 20:19, 21:18 topics [10] - 10:17, 17:25, 22:7, 22:9, 32:12, 32:15, 52:15, 52:22, 68:5, 106:8 topument [1] - 11:16 track [4] - 57:17, 80:19, 94:5, 120:13 tracks [2] - 34:4, 34:6 trainees [2] - 13:10, 14:8 training [11] - 25:11, 25:12, 26:11, 26:12, 31:2, 31:13, 31:16, 31:18, 31:21, 31:25, 32:15 trainings [1] - 30:24 transcribe [1] - 5:21 transcription [1] -45:13 treated [1] - 25:3 treatment [1] - 13:8 true [2] - 123:3, 125:10 truly [1] - 83:14 truth [2] - 125:5, 125:6 truthfully [1] - 6:24 try [9] - 33:21, 34:24, 35:22, 43:7, 44:24, 46:6, 80:19, 117:12, 121:11 trying [5] - 9:21, 10:9, 88:18, 119:16, 121:9 turn [7] - 9:9, 18:22, 93:5, 98:2, 106:15, 109:7, 112:5 two [13] - 28:3, 32:23, 42:13, 59:1, 70:5, 77:8, 86:4, 86:7, 88:5, 89:20, 99:1, 114:23, 121:14 type [16] - 31:20, 33:20, 34:9, 41:5, 41:13, 44:13, 44:20, 45:15, 50:6, 53:13, 55:11, 71:2, 85:24, 116:23, 117:13, 119:25 types [6] - 31:17, 48:4, 57:7, 85:25, 116:4,

typewriting [1] - 125:8 typical [6] - 48:7, 55:22, 79:12, 87:1, 87:21, 114:24 typically [32] - 33:9, 36:7, 36:23, 38:19, 38:24, 39:17, 39:20, 47:18, 49:18, 49:21, 50:19, 50:22, 50:24, 51:6, 53:22, 55:5, 56:3, 58:22, 62:9, 63:1, 63:3, 63:4, 63:6, 64:1, 64:3, 66:21, 71:16, 80:5, 80:23, 81:4, 118:17, 119:8 typos [1] - 78:25 UHG [3] - 91:16, 91:18, 93:6 UHG-Zhang000917 [1] - 93:6 UHG-Zhang00092 [1] - 91:18 UHG-Zhang000921 [1] - 91:16 ultimate [1] - 95:18 um-hmm[1] - 5:19 unable [3] - 98:13, 98:21, 98:25 unaware [1] - 113;1 unclear [1] - 72:3 under [8] - 4:6, 9:11, 9:12, 14:8, 15:13, 31:9, 50:22, 125:8 undergo [1] - 112:18 underneath [2] -93:14, 93:22 understood [4] - 6:3, 68:8, 93:25, 94:6 undertaken [1] -111:18 undertook [3] - 19:1, 20:8, 21:9 United [1] - 29:17 UnitedHealth [48] -1:8, 2:8, 4:15, 4:17, 7:15, 7:20, 8:1, 8:4, 8:10, 9:6, 18:13, 18:16, 23:15, 24:4, 24:10, 25:1, 25:6, 25:9, 25:16, 26:3, 26:4, 26:19, 27:1, 29:10, 31:12, 31:13, 34:16, 50:11, 50:20, 52:12, 54:6, 54:7, 59:18, 60:2, 60:3, 64:19, 65:13, 68:2, 73:24, 74:1, 93:3, 97:23, 109:10,

112:17, 114:12,

120:15

114:15, 115:10, 122:1 UnitedHealth's [4] -26:1, 31:3, 53:1, 64:17 **University** [1] - 7:9 unless [3] - 6:7, 69:15, 73:6 unplanned [1] - 65:19 unsubstantiated [1] -41:23 up [21] - 26:7, 39:12, 39:16, 57:11, 59:11, 59:25, 73:6, 74:13, 75:3, 75:4, 75:12, 76:3, 90:1, 90:5, 90:15, 91:10, 102:16, 103:2, 105:3, 114:25 update [1] - 110:8 updates [1] - 53:6 updating [2] - 53:10, 53:13 US[1] - 29:11 user [2] - 101:20, 101:22 utilize [1] - 27:9 utilized [1] - 59:15 vague [2] - 104:3, 110:3 validity [1] - 34:25 values [3] - 88:7, 88:21, 98:12 variety [1] - 48:3 various [5] - 27:7, 53:12, 53:22, 61:24, 65:15 venture [1] - 101:1 verbal [1] - 5:22 verbally [1] - 80:3 verbatim [1] - 88:18 verify [8] - 19:1, 20:8, 21:10, 109:11, 109:25, 110:24, 111:20, 112:18 versus [2] - 28:7, 118:17 vice [1] - 77:20 vice-president [1] -77:20 view [1] - 120:8 violation [4] - 59:14, 62:11, 62:17, 119:24 violations [1] - 62:23 violence [1] - 65:5 Viveros [3] - 93:7, 93:10, 93:14 wait [1] - 5:12 WAIVED [1] - 125:13 walk [3] - 6:14, 32:20,

66:2 warning [1] - 51:12 warranted [5] - 47:23, 67:16, 96:17, 104:18, 109:22 WAS [1] - 125:12 water[1] - 6:13 ways [4] - 25:17, 25:22, 26:25, 42:1 week [1] - 108:24 weight [2] - 46:9, 46:10 whole [1] - 125:5 wide [2] - 26:15, 48:3 wiped [1] - 49:22 Wisconsin [1] - 7:10 Wisconsin-River [1] -7:10 witness [18] - 18:13, 31:6, 36:25, 37:3, 37:4, 37:5, 37:6, 37:10, 37:22, 38:3, 39:6, 71:6, 72:7, 125:5, 125:7, 125:10, 125:12, 125:13 WITNESS [47] - 4:16, 8:22, 31:10, 36:6, 37:15, 41:9, 43:6, 43:9, 44:17, 45:6, 45:18, 46:20, 49:1, 49:18, 50:24, 68:9, 68:13, 71:24, 72:22, 73:4, 76:15, 87:13, 92:16, 94:23, 98:24, 100:9, 104:9, 105:18, 105:24, 107:11, 107:14, 107:20, 108:11, 111:3, 111:23, 112:21, 113:23, 114:4, 115:2, 115:17, 115:24, 116:17, 117:5, 119:8, 120:23, 121:9, 125:20 witnesses [23] -35:18, 35:25, 36:1, 36:7, 36:8, 36:10, 36:18, 36:23, 38:6,

38:12, 38:17, 38:24,

39:24, 40:7, 40:10,

40:11, 40:12, 42:3,

42:11, 42:15, 43:4,

44:1, 44:7

42:9

65:5

words [2] - 11:17,

workers [1] - 106:24

workplace [2] - 26:16,

works [4] - 27:4, 27:17, 69:18, 120:11 worthy [1] - 98:10 write [2] - 59:11, 60:11 write-up [1] - 59:11 writing [1] - 50:15 written [3] - 71:15, 71:20, 72:9 wrote [1] - 46:12 year [10] - 114:18, 114:21, 114:25, 115:18, 115:19, 116:14, 116:18, 116:20 yearly [3] - 32:5, 32:17, 55:3 years [8] - 52:4, 52:11, 53:25, 68:1, 68:17, 91:2, 114:16, 115:5 young [7] - 85:9, 88:9, 88:17, 88:21, 89:5, 98:9, 98:12 younger [1] - 92:6 yourself [2] - 17:24, 22:6 Yufan [6] - 1:7, 2:2, 4:10, 4:23, 73:25, 75:22 **Zhang** [21] - 1:7, 2:2, 4:11, 16:10, 16:14, 19:17, 73:25, 74:11, 75:22, 75:25, 76:16, 78:1, 91:17, 93:11, 95:15, 97:16, 104:23, 105:2, 105:12, 106:6, 113:14 Zhang's [21] - 4:23, 16:11, 16:14, 17:13, 19:13, 68:24, 73:23, 77:22, 83:18, 97:24, 98:22, 99:18, 99:25, 100:1, 103:9, 104:6, 104:10, 107:9, 108:1, 108:8, 109:4 Zhang000917 [1] -93:6 Zhang00092 [1] -91:18 Zhang000921 [1] -91:16 Zuelke [2] - 90:25, 91:3